

STABLE GEOMETRIC DIMENSION OF VECTOR BUNDLES OVER ODD-DIMENSIONAL REAL PROJECTIVE SPACES

MARTIN BENDERSKY AND DONALD M. DAVIS

ABSTRACT. In [6], the geometric dimension of all stable vector bundles over real projective space P^n was determined if n is even and sufficiently large with respect to the order 2^e of the bundle in $\widetilde{KO}(P^n)$. Here we perform a similar determination when n is odd and $e > 6$. The work is more delicate since P^n does not admit a v_1 -map when n is odd. There are a few extreme cases which we are unable to settle precisely.

1. STATEMENT OF RESULTS

The geometric dimension $\text{gd}(\theta)$ of a stable vector bundle θ over a space X is the smallest integer m such that θ is stably equivalent to an m -plane bundle. Equivalently, $\text{gd}(\theta)$ is the smallest m such that the classifying map $X \xrightarrow{\theta} BO$ factors through $BO(m)$. The group $\widetilde{KO}(P^n)$ of equivalence classes of stable vector bundles over real projective space is a finite cyclic 2-group generated by the Hopf line bundle ξ_n .

In [6], it was shown that, for sufficiently large even n , the geometric dimension of a stable vector bundle over P^n depends only on its order in $\widetilde{KO}(P^n)$ and the mod 8 value of n . For bundles of order 2^e , this value, called $\text{sgd}(n, e)$ or $\text{sgd}(\bar{n}, e)$, where \bar{n} is the mod 8 residue of n , was completely determined; its approximate value is $2e$. A key role in this analysis was played by KO -equivalences $P_{k+8}^{n+8} \rightarrow P_k^n$, defined if n is even, k is odd, and $n + 8 < 2k - 1$. Such maps do not exist when n is odd, and so the methods and results are somewhat more complicated. The term “stable” geometric dimension (sgd) refers to the fact that the geometric dimension achieves a stable value as n gets large within its congruence class.

Date: June 14, 2005.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 55S40, 55R50, 55T15.

Key words and phrases. geometric dimension, vector bundles, homotopy groups.

We would like to thank Mark Mahowald for valuable conversations related to this work.

An important role in [6] was played by the v_1 -periodic spectrum functor Φ described in [7, 7.2]. We are interested in the stable portion of $[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)]$, i.e. the portion which persists under $j_m : BSO(m) \rightarrow BSO$. To achieve this, we define the **stable** portion

$$\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)] = [P^n, \Phi BSO(m)] / \ker(j_{m*}),$$

and similarly for spectral sequence groups that approximate these groups. The group $\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)]$ is cyclic since it maps injectively to the cyclic group $[P^n, \Phi BSO]$.

In [6], we proved that, if n is even,

$$\text{sgd}(n, e) \leq m \quad \text{iff} \quad \nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)]) \geq e. \quad (1.1)$$

Here and throughout, $\nu(-)$ denotes the exponent of 2 in an integer, and if C is a cyclic group, then $\nu(C)$ denotes $\nu(|C|)$. The backwards implication has a simple and natural proof ([6, 1.5]), while the forward implication was proved by noting that all the requisite nonlifting results were already in the literature.

For odd n , we determine $\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)])$ completely in Theorem 1.2, provided $m \geq 12$. We prove in 2.1 that the backwards implication of (1.1) holds when n is odd, except that here this sgd refers to stable bundles of order 2^e over projective spaces of sufficiently large dimension $\equiv n \pmod{2^L}$, with L usually, but perhaps not always, equal to 3. We will observe in Theorem 1.3 that, in almost all cases, known nonlifting results of Section 3 imply the converse; i.e. (1.1) holds in almost all cases when n is odd. However, there are some rare cases in which our computation of $\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)])$ suggests there should be an extra nonlifting result which we have been unable to establish.

Most of our work is devoted to proving the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *If $m = 8i + d \geq 12$, then $\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)]) = 4i + t$, where t is given by the following table. The two entries indicated by asterisks must be decreased by 1 if $\nu(n + 1 - m) \geq \frac{1}{2}m - 2$.*

		d							
		0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$n \pmod{8}$	1	0	0	1*	1	2	2	3	3
	3	0	0	1	2	3	3	3	3
	5	0	0	1	1	2	2	3*	3
	7	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	3

Combining this with 2.1 for liftings, and using 3.1 and 3.2 for nonliftings, yields the following result, which is our main theorem.

Theorem 1.3. *Define $\delta(\bar{n}, e)$ by the table*

		$e \pmod 4$			
		0	1	2	3
1		0	0*	0	0
\bar{n}	3	0	0	-1	-2
	5	0	0	0	0*
	7	0	2	2	1

Let $e \geq 7$. For sufficiently large $n \equiv \bar{n} \pmod 8$,¹ the geometric dimension of stable vector bundles of order 2^e over P^n equals $2e + \delta(\bar{n}, e)$, except that entries indicated with an asterisk might be 1 greater than indicated if $\nu(n + 1 - 2e) \geq e - 2$.

The idea of stable geometric dimension was first proposed in [10]. It was claimed there that if $e \geq 75$, then $\text{sgd}(n, e) \leq 2e + \delta(\bar{n}, e)$ with $\delta(\bar{n}, e)$ as in Theorem 1.3, ignoring the asterisks. We do not contradict those results here. However, if the exotic nonlifting results mentioned above can be proved, they would contradict this lifting result of [10], for certain extreme cases with n odd. This does not seem to be out of the question, for the sentence near the bottom of [10, p.60] which includes a commutative diagram seems to lack justification, which could render that proof invalid.

For even-dimensional projective spaces, we also obtained, in [6], results about stable geometric dimension for bundles of order 2^e when $e < 7$. We could do that here for odd-dimensional projective spaces, but the arguments are extremely delicate. Consequently, we will defer these cases of small m and e to the future.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.2. We begin with a general result similar to [6, 1.6].

Proposition 2.1. *Let n be odd and e a fixed positive integer. For each m , there exists an integer L such that if $\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)]) \geq e$ then, for sufficiently large N satisfying $N \equiv n \pmod{2^L}$, the geometric dimension of any stable vector bundle of order 2^e over P^N is less than or equal to m .*

¹If the asterisked entries are increased to 1, then $n \equiv \bar{n} \pmod 8$ must be modified to $n \equiv \bar{n} \pmod{2^{e-2}}$ in these cases.

Proof. From the definition of ΦX in [11]² as a periodic spectrum whose spaces are telescopes of

$$\Omega^{L_1} X \rightarrow \Omega^{L_1+2^L} X \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \Omega^{L_1+k2^L} X \rightarrow \dots,$$

with $L_1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^L}$ for the 0th space, it follows, using James periodicity, that

$$[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)] \approx \operatorname{colim}_k [P_{1+k2^L}^{n+k2^L}, BSO(m)].$$

Thus the hypothesis implies that the stable bundle of order 2^e over P^{n+k2^L} lifts to $BSO(m)$ if k is sufficiently large. ■

The informal claim that we made in Section 1 that L can usually be chosen to be 3 can be seen either from the fact that $\nu(\mathbf{s}[P^n, BSO(m)])$ determined in 1.2 usually only depends on $n \pmod{8}$, or by restricting to P^{n-1} and using the result from [6] that geometric dimension over these even-dimensional projective spaces eventually only depends on the mod 8 value of $n - 1$. The way in which Proposition 2.1 will be used in the proof of Theorem 1.2 is to use known nonlifting results (3.1 and 3.2) to assert that $\nu(\mathbf{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)]) < e$ for various values of the parameters.

The proof of the following result occupies most of the rest of this section.

Theorem 2.2. *Let n be odd, $m \geq 12$, and $\phi_{n,m}$ denote the restriction homomorphism*

$$\mathbf{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(m)] \rightarrow \mathbf{s}[P^{n-1}, \Phi BSO(m)]$$

between cyclic 2-groups. Then

$$|\ker(\phi_{n,m})| = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{8} \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

$$|\operatorname{coker}(\phi_{n,m})| = \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } n - m \equiv 0, 1, 2 \pmod{8} \\ 2 & \text{if } n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \text{ and } \nu(n + 1 - m) \geq m/2 - 2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1.2 follows directly from 2.2 and the following recapitulation of results of [6].

²called $\mathbf{Tel}_1 X$ there

Theorem 2.3. ([6, 1.7,1.8,1.10]) *If $n \equiv 6, 8 \pmod{8}$ and $8i + d \geq 9$, then*

$$\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(8i + d)]) = 4i + \begin{cases} -1 & d = -1 \\ 0 & d = 0, 1, 2, 3 \\ 1 & d = 4, 5 \\ 2 & d = 6. \end{cases}$$

If $n \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{8}$ and $8i + d \geq 9$, then

$$\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^n, \Phi BSO(8i + d)]) = 4i + \begin{cases} 0 & d = 0, 1 \\ 1 & d = 2 \\ 2 & d = 3 \\ 3 & d = 4, 5, 6, 7. \end{cases}$$

The lengthy proof of Theorem 2.2 will occupy the remainder of this section. We let $n = 2k + 1$. Viewing $\mathfrak{s}[P, \Phi BSO(m)]$ as

$$\text{im}([P, \Phi BSO(m)] \xrightarrow{j_{m*}} [P, \Phi BSO]),$$

it is clear that the kernel of $\phi_{2k+1,m}$ in 2.2 equals the kernel of

$$[P^{2k+1}, \Phi BSO] \xrightarrow{i^*} [P^{2k}, \Phi BSO].$$

The proof of 2.1 implies that this kernel equals that of

$$\text{colim}[P^{2k+1+c2^L}, BSO] \xrightarrow{i^*} \text{colim}[P^{2k+c2^L}, BSO],$$

which, by the calculation of $\widetilde{KO}(P^n)$ in [1], has order 2 if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, and is trivial otherwise. This establishes the kernel part of 2.2.

The cokernel of $\phi_{2k+1,m}(= \mathfrak{s}i^*)$ is much more delicate. It involves the exact sequence

$$[P^{2k+1}, \Phi BSO(m)] \xrightarrow{i^*} [P^{2k}, \Phi BSO(m)] \xrightarrow{\alpha^*} v_1^{-1}\pi_{2k}(BSO(m)), \quad (2.4)$$

where α denotes the attaching map. The following proposition is elementary.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $x \in [P^{2k}, \Phi BSO(m)]$ satisfy $j_{m*}(x) \neq 0$, so its equivalence class $[x]$ is a nonzero element in $\mathfrak{s}[P^{2k}, \Phi BSO(m)]$.*

- *If $\alpha^*(x) = 0$, then $[x] \in \text{im}(\phi_{2k+1,m})$.*
- *If $\alpha^*(x) \neq 0$ and there is no $y \in \ker(j_{m*})$ such that $\alpha^*(y) = \alpha^*(x)$, then $[x]$ is a nonzero element of $\text{coker}(\phi_{2k+1,m})$.*

The main point here is the necessity of checking for y .

The proof of the cokernel part of 2.2 varies depending on the mod 4 value of k and mod 8 value of m in (2.4).

Case 1: $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv -1, 0, 1 \pmod{8}$. Here $v_1^{-1}\pi_{2k}(BSO(m)) = 0$ by [3, 1.2,3.4,3.6] and so by Proposition 2.5 $\phi_{2k+1,m}$ is surjective in 2.2 in this case.

Case 2: $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv 3, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$. By §3³,

$$\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^{8\ell+5}, \Phi BSO(8i+d)]) \leq 4i + \begin{cases} 1 & d = 3 \\ 2 & d = 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 2.3,

$$\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^{8\ell+4}, \Phi BSO(8i+d)]) = 4i + \begin{cases} 2 & d = 3 \\ 3 & d = 4, 5. \end{cases}$$

Thus $\phi_{2k+1,m}$ in 2.2 must have nontrivial cokernel when $m \equiv 3, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$ (and still $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$). This cokernel can have order at most 2 because $v_1^{-1}\pi_{2k}(BSO(m)) = \mathbf{Z}/2$ if $m \equiv 3, 5 \pmod{8}$ by [3, 3.10], while $v_1^{-1}\pi_{2k}(BSO(8i+4)) \approx \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$.

Case 3: $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv -1, 0, 1 \pmod{8}$. By §3,

$$\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^{8\ell+1}, \Phi BSO(8i+d)]) \leq 4i + \begin{cases} -1 & d = -1 \\ 0 & d = 0, 1. \end{cases}$$

By 2.3

$$\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^{8\ell}, \Phi BSO(8i+d)]) = 4i + \begin{cases} -1 & d = -1 \\ 0 & d = 0, 1. \end{cases}$$

We have already proved $\ker(\phi_{8\ell+1,m}) = \mathbf{Z}/2$, and hence $\text{coker}(\phi_{8\ell+1,m}) \neq 0$. We must prove the order of this cokernel is only 2.

By [3, 1.2,1.3,1.4], $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell-1}(SO(m))$ is an extension of two $\mathbf{Z}/2$ -vector spaces⁴, one in filtration 2 and the other in filtration 4. We will show that the filtration-4 elements are in the image of α^* in (2.4); they are hit not by the stable summand but rather by elements of order 2. This implies that the desired cokernel has order only 2.

³As was remarked prior to Theorem 1.3, all the lower bounds of that theorem are immediate from 3.1 and 3.2, and by 2.1, all the non-asterisked “ \leq ” parts of 1.2 follow from this. When we invoke one of these (sgd($-$, $-$) $\leq -$)-results, we will just say “By §3.”

⁴This is the first time of many that we will utilize the isomorphism $v_1^{-1}\pi_i(SO(m)) \approx v_1^{-1}\pi_{i+1}(BSO(m))$.

The attaching map for the top cell of $P^{8\ell+1}$ is η on the $(8\ell - 1)$ -cell. By [6, (2.4)],

$$[P^{8\ell}, \Phi BSO(m)] \approx [P_{1-8\ell}^0, \Phi BSO(m)] \approx [M^0(2^{4\ell}), \Phi BSO(m)].$$

Since, by [6, (2.6)], the stable summand of $[M^0(2^{4\ell}), \Phi BSO(m)]$ comes from the bottom cell of the Moore space, α^* in (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\mu_\ell^* : v_1^{-1}\pi_{-1}(BSO(m)) \rightarrow v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell}(BSO(m)), \quad (2.6)$$

where μ_ℓ is the element of highest Adams filtration in the $(8\ell + 1)$ -stem, detected by $P^\ell h_1$ in the Adams spectral sequence. This is seen by observing that

$$S^{8\ell} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^{8\ell} \xrightarrow{\phi^\ell} P_{1-8\ell}^0$$

and

$$S^{8\ell} \xrightarrow{\mu_\ell} S^{-1} \xrightarrow{\text{deg } 1} P_{1-8\ell}^0$$

become equal in $\pi_{8\ell}(P_{1-8\ell}^0 \wedge J) \approx \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$, where each equals the element of highest filtration. Thus, since $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(P) \approx v_1^{-1}\pi_*(P \wedge J)$ for spectra P by [12], the two composites become equal in $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell}(P_{1-8\ell}^0)$. Thus they are equal in $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell}(BSO(m))$. Here we have used the 2-local J -spectrum which is the fiber of $\psi^3 - 1 : bo \rightarrow \Sigma^4 bsp$. This spectrum played a key role in the early days of v_1 -periodic homotopy theory, especially in [12].

In the spectral sequence of [3] converging to $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(SO(m))$, elements in filtration ≥ 2 occur in eta-towers, with their Pontryagin duals described by elements in $QK^1(\text{Spin}(m))/\text{im}(\psi^2)$, occurring with period 4. Dual to the composition (2.6) is

$$E_2^{s+1, t+2+8\ell}(\text{Spin}(m))^\# \xrightarrow{v_1^{4\ell}} E_2^{s+1, t+2}(\text{Spin}(m))^\# \xrightarrow{h_1^\#} E_2^{s, t}(\text{Spin}(m))^\#, \quad (2.7)$$

where v_1^4 is the isomorphism which shifts eta towers to elements with the same name, and $h_1^\#$ stays in the same eta tower. To see this, note that, with $Y = \text{Spin}(m)$, if $g \in \pi_n(Y)$, then $g \circ \mu_\ell (= \mu_\ell^*(g)$ in (2.6)) can be obtained as the composite

$$S^{8\ell+n+1} \hookrightarrow M^{8\ell+n+2}(2) \xrightarrow{A^\ell} M^{n+2}(2) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\eta}} S^n \xrightarrow{g} Y, \quad (2.8)$$

where A is an Adams map and $\tilde{\eta}$ an extension over the mod-2 Moore spectrum of $S^{n+1} \xrightarrow{\eta} S^n$. Then (2.7) is dual to the horizontal composition in Diagram 2.9, while (2.8) induces the composition around the top. The vertical maps ∂ are Bockstein homomorphisms for $\cdot 2$.

Diagram 2.9. *Diagram involving Bockstein and h_1*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
& & E_2^{s,s+n+2}(Y; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{v_1^{4\ell}} & E_2^{s,s+n+2+8\ell}(Y; \mathbf{Z}_2) \\
& \nearrow \tilde{\eta}^* & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial \\
E_2^{s,s+n}(Y) & \xrightarrow{h_1} & E_2^{s+1,s+n+2}(Y) & \xrightarrow{v_1^{4\ell}} & E_2^{s+1,s+n+2+8\ell}(Y)
\end{array}$$

Now the claim about filtration-4 elements y being $\alpha^*(x)$ with x an element of filtration 3 follows from (2.7), since x is the element in an earlier eta-tower with the same name as y . This completes the proof of Case 3.

For the remaining cases, we will need the following result, where $Q(-)$ denotes the indecomposables.

Theorem 2.10. *For any positive integers n and m , there is a spectral sequence $E_r(n, m)$ converging to $[P^n, \Phi SO(m)]_*$ with*

$$E_2^{s,t}(n, m) = \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^s(K^*(\Phi \text{Spin}(m)), K^*(\Sigma^t P^n)). \quad (2.11)$$

If n is even, then $E_2^{s,2r}(n, m) = 0$, and if n is also sufficiently large, there is a short exact sequence

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \rightarrow & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^s(QK^1 \text{Spin}(m)/\text{im}(\psi^2), K^1 S^{2r+1}) & \rightarrow & E_2^{s,2r+1}(n, m) & & \\
& & \xrightarrow{\delta} & & \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s+1}(QK^1 \text{Spin}(m)/\text{im}(\psi^2), K^1 S^{2r+1}) & \rightarrow & 0.
\end{array} \quad (2.12)$$

If n is odd and sufficiently large, there is a split short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,n+t}(QK^*(\text{Spin}(m))/\text{im}(\psi^2)) \xrightarrow{q^*} E_2^{s,t}(n, m) \xrightarrow{i^*} E_2^{s,t}(n-1, m) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.13)$$

Several remarks are in order here. (i) We omit 2-adic coefficients from all $K^*(-)$ -groups, and will continue to do so. (ii) \mathcal{A} is the category of 2-adic stable Adams modules. ([7]) (iii) We have replaced $SO(m)$ by its double cover $\text{Spin}(m)$. This does not change $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(-)$, and indeed $\Phi SO(m) = \Phi \text{Spin}(m)$. But for calculations such as (2.14), it is essential that the underlying space be simply-connected. (iv) Beginning with (2.13), we will often abbreviate $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^s(M, K^* S^t)$ as $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(M)$. (v). The splitting of (2.13) is just claimed for E_2 , not necessarily for the entire spectral sequence.

Proof. By [7, 7.2], the spectrum $\Phi SO(m)$ is $K/2_*$ -local, and so the existence of the spectral sequence follows from [7, 10.4].⁵ By [8, 9.1], there is an isomorphism in \mathcal{A}

$$K^i(\Phi \text{Spin}(m)) \approx \begin{cases} 0 & i = 0 \\ QK^1(\text{Spin}(m))/\text{im}(\psi^2) & i = 1. \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

By [1], if n is even, then

$$K^i(P^n) \approx \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/2^{n/2} & i = 0 \\ 0 & i = 1 \end{cases}$$

with $\psi^k = 1$ on $K^0(P^n)$.

Let $M_r = K^*(S^{2r+1}) = \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}_2^\wedge & * = 1 \\ 0 & * = 0 \end{cases}$ with $\psi^k = k^r$. With n still even, there is a short exact sequence in \mathcal{A}


$$0 \rightarrow M_r \xrightarrow{2^{n/2}} M_r \rightarrow K^*(\Sigma^{2r+1}P^n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.15)$$

We choose n larger than any of the exponents of Ext groups that occur (roughly $m/2$). Then the long exact sequence with (2.15) in the second variable of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}(K^*(\Phi \text{Spin}(m)), -)$ breaks up into short exact sequences (2.12).

If n is odd, the cofibration $P^{n-1} \rightarrow P^n \rightarrow S^n$ induces a split short exact sequence in $K^*(-)$. In fact, $K^*(S^n)$ and $K^*(P^{n-1})$ are nonzero only in distinct gradings. The split short exact sequence (2.13) is immediate from this. ■

By (2.12), if n is even and sufficiently large, the E_2 -chart is independent of n , and, using results of [3] about the general form of $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{**}(QK^1 \text{Spin}(m)/\text{im}(\psi^2))$, the chart, in the vicinity of $t - s = -1$, has the form pictured in Diagram 2.16.

⁵Although [7] just deals with odd primes, this result is also valid for the prime 2.

Diagram 2.16. General form of $E_2^{*,*}(n, m)$ when n is even and large

The notation here is as follows. As is customary with Adams spectral sequence charts, the group in position $(t - s, s)$ is $E_2^{s,t}$. In [3, esp. 1.3, 3.7, 3.12], charts for $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{*,*}(QK^1 \text{Spin}(m))$ are presented for various mod 8 congruences of m . The group \tilde{C} of Diagram 2.16 is usually⁶ a sum of two cyclic groups usually denoted $C_1 \oplus C_2$ in [3]. Our group \tilde{C}' is a group isomorphic to \tilde{C} coming from the second half of (2.12). The summand C_1 in \tilde{C}' is our stable summand $\mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(n, m)$. The groups G and G' have the same order as \tilde{C} , but usually have many more summands; they are also denoted by G in the charts of [3]. The big \bullet 's in 2.16 are sums of \mathbf{Z}_2 's.

By the proof of [6, 1.7 and 1.10.1], (2.12) splits as spectral sequences, and the stable summand in which we are interested occurs in the summand which comes from δ^{-1} . We may ignore the other summand and, if $n \equiv 6$ or $8 \pmod{8}$, think of the spectral sequence for $[P^n, \Phi SO(m)]_*$ as being the spectral sequence for $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(SO(m))$ shifted one unit down and one unit to the right. If $n \equiv 2$ or $4 \pmod{8}$, we may think of the spectral sequence for $[P^n, \Phi SO(m)]_*$ as a similar shift of the spectral sequence of [6, 2.16] converging to $v_1^{-1}\pi'_*(SO(m))$. We will review these $v_1^{-1}\pi'_*(-)$ -groups later.


⁶If $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, there are three summands.

When n is odd, the Ext groups from the two parts of (2.13) occur in distinct bigradings. The group $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,n+t}(QK^1(\text{Spin}(m))/\text{im}(\psi^2))$ is nonzero if t is even and $s \geq 1$, while, as depicted in Diagram 2.16, $E_2^{s,t}(n-1, m)$ is nonzero if t is odd and $s \geq 0$. For odd n , appended to Diagram 2.16 should be a chart such as those of [3] shifted left by n gradings. The issue for α^* in (2.4) is whether the group \tilde{C}' in 2.16 supports a d_2 - or d_4 -differential in this new spectral sequence.

Now we return to the consideration of the various cases in the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Case 4: $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv 3, 4, 5 \pmod{8}$. Let $k = 4\ell$. We first consider the cases when $m \equiv 3$ or $5 \pmod{8}$. In this case, the relevant elements of $E_2^{*,*}(8\ell + 1, m)$ are depicted in Diagram 2.17.

Diagram 2.17. A portion of $E_2^{*,*}(8\ell + 1, m)$ when $m \equiv 3$ or $5 \pmod{8}$



In (2.13), the part in i^{*-1} (resp. $\text{im}(q^*)$) is that in positions (x, y) with $x + y$ odd (resp. even). The indicated d_2 -differentials are a consequence of the argument of Case 3; see especially the last paragraph of the proof. We consider the morphism of spectral sequences

$$E_r^{*,*}(8\ell + 1, m) \xrightarrow{i^*} E_r^{*,*}(8\ell, m). \tag{2.18}$$

The result for $\mathfrak{s}[P^{8\ell}, \Phi BSO(m)]$ in [6, 1.7,1.8] was obtained from a nonzero d_3 -differential from $E_3^{1,-1}$ in the spectral sequence for $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(\text{Spin}(m))$ as established in [3, 3.8], which implies that $d_3 \neq 0$ on $\mathfrak{s}E_3^{0,-1}(8\ell, m)$. Hence either $d_2 \neq 0$ or $d_3 \neq 0$ on the generator of C in Diagram 2.17. To know that $\text{coker}(\phi_{8\ell+1, m}) = 0$, we need to know that it is not the case that d_2 is nonzero on the generator of C , and also d_3 nonzero on twice the generator; this follows by naturality using (2.18), since i^* is injective on C and the \mathbf{Z}_2 in filtration 3.

If $m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$, the same situation applies. There are more target classes for differentials, but those in filtration 4 are killed by d_2 -differentials, as indicated in Diagram 2.17, because the relevant new classes from $E_2(S^{m-1})$ occur in the same sort of eta-towers as did those in $E_2(\text{Spin}(m-1))$. (See, e.g., [3, 3.16].) The filtration-3 targets map isomorphically to those in $E_2(8\ell, m)$, and $d_3 \neq 0$ on $\mathfrak{s}E_3^{0,-1}(8\ell, m)$, this time by [3, 3.14]. Thus the same naturality argument implies that it is impossible that both d_2 and d_3 are nonzero from $E_2^{0,-1}$. Hence $\text{coker}(\phi_{8\ell+1,m}) = 0$. This completes the proof of Case 4.

Case 5: $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. Let $k = 4\ell + 2$ and $m = 8i + 6$. We use the commutative diagram of exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} [P^{8\ell+5}, \Phi BSO(8i+5)] & \xrightarrow{i^*} & [P^{8\ell+4}, \Phi BSO(8i+5)] & \xrightarrow{\alpha_1^*} & v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell+3}(SO(8i+5)) \\ j_1 \downarrow & & j_2 \downarrow & & j_3 \downarrow \\ [P^{8\ell+5}, \Phi BSO(8i+6)] & \xrightarrow{i'^*} & [P^{8\ell+4}, \Phi BSO(8i+6)] & \xrightarrow{\alpha_2^*} & v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell+3}(SO(8i+6)) \end{array}$$

By [6, 1.10], j_2 on stable summands is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}/2^{4i+3}$. By §3,

$$\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^{8\ell+5}, \Phi BSO(8i+5)]) < 4i + 3,$$

and hence $\phi_{8\ell+5,8i+5}(= \mathfrak{s}i^*)$ is not surjective. By [3, 3.7,3.8,3.10],

$$v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell+3}(SO(8i+5)) \approx \mathbf{Z}/2,$$

with generator D . By [3, 3.11,3.12,3.13], $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell+3}(SO(8i+6)) \approx \mathbf{Z}/2^{\min(4i+2, \nu(\ell-i)+4)}$. (The 2-line group has exponent 1 larger than this, but it supports a nonzero differential.) Thus, with gen denoting a generator of the stable summand, $\alpha_2^*(\text{gen}) = j_3^\#(D)$ and $\alpha_2^*(2 \cdot \text{gen}) = 0$. Hence $|\text{coker}(\phi_{8\ell+5,8i+6})| \leq 2$ and it equals 2 if and only if $j_3^\#$ sends the generator of $E_2^{2,8\ell+5}(\text{Spin}(8i+6))^\#$ to $D \in E_2^{2,8\ell+5}(\text{Spin}(8i+5))^\#$.

In the proof of [3, 3.11], which appears near the end of [3, §7], it is proved that the relevant summand of $E_2^{2,8\ell+5}(\text{Spin}(8i+6))^\#$ is $\mathbf{Z}/2^{4i+3}$ generated by D_+ if $\nu(\ell-i) > 4i-2$, while if $\nu(\ell-i) \leq 4i-2$, it is $\mathbf{Z}/2^{5+\nu(\ell-i)}$ generated by $2^{4i-2-\nu(\ell-i)}D_+ - x_{4i-1}$. Since restriction $j_3^\#$ to $\text{Spin}(8i+5)$ sends D_+ to D and x_{4i-1} to x_{4i-1} , we deduce that $j_3^\#$ maps onto D if and only if $\nu(\ell-i) \geq 4i-2$, establishing the claim in 2.2 about $\text{coker}(\phi_{8\ell+5,8i+6})$, one of the asterisk cases in 1.2 and 1.3.

Case 6: $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. The argument is similar to that of Case 5, although it has one additional complication. We use a diagram of exact sequences

analogous to that of Case 5, with dimensions of projective spaces and indices of $\Phi BSO(-)$ decreased by 4. By [6, 1.7,1.8], $\mathfrak{s}j_2$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{Z}/2^{4i}$. Using §3, $\nu(\mathfrak{s}[P^{8\ell+1}, BSO(8i+1)]) < 4i+1$. As we showed at the beginning of the proof of 2.2, $\ker(\phi_{8\ell+1,8i+1}) = \mathbf{Z}/2$, and hence $\phi_{8\ell+1,8i+1}$ cannot be surjective.

What complicates the argument as compared to Case 5 is that $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell-1}(SO(8i+1))$ and $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell-1}(SO(8i+2))$ are larger than the corresponding groups that appeared in Case 5. These groups are taken from [3, 1.3,3.12]. Both of these groups have a large \mathbf{Z}_2 -vector space in filtration 4, which maps isomorphically under j_3 . It is not an issue as possible image of α_1^* on the stable summand because, as in Case 3, it is in the image under α_1^* from a similar sum of \mathbf{Z}_2 's. From the point of view of the spectral sequence of 2.10, they are already hit by d_2 -differentials, and so we don't have to worry about whether they are hit by d_4 's.

What is more of a worry is that $E_\infty^{2,8\ell+1}(\text{Spin}(8i+1))$ and $E_\infty^{2,8\ell+1}(\text{Spin}(8i+2))$ have, in addition to, respectively, the \mathbf{Z}_2 -class D and the larger cyclic summand C' that they had in Case 5, also a summand L , which is the sum of many \mathbf{Z}_2 's and maps isomorphically under j_3 , while the first group also has an additional \mathbf{Z}_2 -class labeled x_{4i-3} . The summand L is depicted by the big dots in [3, 1.3,3.12] and has dimension $[\log_2(\frac{4}{3}(4i-1))]$. We will show that α_1^* sends the generator of the stable summand to just the class D . The analysis of whether D hits the element of order 2 in C' proceeds exactly as in Case 5. We obtain that j_3 sends D nontrivially, and hence $\text{coker}(\phi_{8\ell+1,8i+2}) = \mathbf{Z}/2$, if and only if $\nu(\ell-i) \geq 4i-4$, which translates to the claim of the theorem in this case, the other asterisk case in 1.2 and 1.3.

It remains to verify the claim about α_1^* , which is done by applying Pontryagin duality. By (2.6) and (2.7), $\alpha_1^\#$ is determined by

$$E_2^{2,1}(\text{Spin}(8i+1))^\# \xrightarrow{h_1^\#} E_2^{1,-1}(\text{Spin}(8i+1))^\#.$$

That this sends only the class D nontrivially to the stable summand is proved exactly as in the two paragraphs of [6] which appear shortly after Diagram 2.24 of that paper. The first of the two paragraphs begins "In order to show that $d_3(g_1) = 0$." In summary, a presentation of $E_2^{1,-1}(\text{Spin}(8i+1))^\#$ is given, and, for each basis element b of $E_2^{2,1}(\text{Spin}(8i+1))^\#$, $(h_1)^\#(b)$ is interpreted as an element in that presented group, and it is observed that only $(h_1)^\#(D)$ is nonzero.

Case 7: $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$. Let $k = 4\ell$ and $m = 8i + 6$. This time the diagram of the sort used in Case 5 does not quite work because j_2 is not surjective, due to a d_3 -differential in $[P^{8\ell}, \Phi BSO(8i + 5)]$ not present in $[P^{8\ell}, \Phi BSO(8i + 6)]$. We can, however, consider an E_2 -version of the diagram, where α_1^* and α_2^* are, after dualizing, given by (2.7). The diagram below addresses what amounts to the d_2 -differential on $\mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell + 1, 8i + 6)$. The d_4 -differential on this summand is then eliminated similarly to Cases 3, 4, and 6.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell, 8i + 5)^\# & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \mathbf{s}E_2^{1,-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(8i + 5))^\# & \xleftarrow{v_1^{4\ell} h_1^\#} & E_2^{2,8\ell+1}(\mathrm{Spin}(8i + 5))^\# \\ \approx \uparrow & & j_2^\# \uparrow \approx & & j_3^\# \uparrow \\ \mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell, 8i + 6)^\# & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \mathbf{s}E_2^{1,-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(8i + 6))^\# & \xleftarrow{v_1^{4\ell} h_1^\#} & E_2^{2,8\ell+1}(\mathrm{Spin}(8i + 6))^\# \end{array}$$

As in Case 6, the $v_1^{4\ell} h_1^\#$ on $\mathrm{Spin}(8i + 5)$ sends only D nontrivially, and $j_3^\#$ sends the generator of the C' -summand to x_{4i-1} , since $\nu((8\ell + 1) - (8i + 5)) = 2$. Thus $v_1^{4\ell} h_1^\#$ on $\mathrm{Spin}(8i + 6)$ is 0, and hence $\phi_{8\ell+1,8i+6}$ is surjective.

Case 8: $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{8}$. Let $k = 4\ell + 2$. The argument is similar to that of Case 7, but is complicated by $P^{8\ell+4}$ not being K -equivalent to a Moore spectrum. Let, as in [6, 2.14],

$$T^n = S^n \cup_\eta e^{n+2} \cup_2 e^{n+3}.$$

From [6, (2.11),(2.13)], we have

$$\mathbf{s}[P^{8\ell+4}, \Phi BSO(m)] \approx \mathbf{sv}_1^{-1} \pi'_2(SO(m)), \quad (2.19)$$

where, by [6, (2.17)],

$$v_1^{-1} \pi'_n(X) \approx [T^n, \Phi(X)]. \quad (2.20)$$

The analogue of (2.6) is that the morphism α^* in (2.4) is equivalent to

$$\zeta_\ell^* : v_1^{-1} \pi'_{-1}(BSO(m)) \rightarrow v_1^{-1} \pi_{8\ell+4}(BSO(m)),$$

where $\zeta_\ell : S^{8\ell+5} \rightarrow T^0$ is the element of highest filtration $(4\ell + 2)$ in its stem in the Adams spectral sequence of T^0 . It is $\eta\mu_\ell$ on the top cell. The reason for this is similar to the discussion between (2.6) and (2.7). In this case, both

$$S^{8\ell+4} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^{8\ell+4} \xrightarrow{\phi^\ell} P_{1-8\ell}^4$$

and

$$S^{8\ell+4} \xrightarrow{\zeta_\ell} T^{-1} \xrightarrow{f} P_{1-8\ell}^4,$$

where f is, up to periodicity, a restriction of the map in [6, 2.8], become equal in $\pi_{8\ell+4}(P_{1-8\ell}^4 \wedge J) \approx \mathbf{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbf{Z}_2$, where each is the element of highest filtration. Note that f has Adams filtration -1 . Thus the two composites are equal in $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell+4}(P_{1-8\ell}^4)$, and hence, following by any element g of $[P_{1-8\ell}^4, \Phi BSO(m)] \approx [P^{8\ell+4}, \Phi BSO(m)]$, $\alpha^*(g) = \zeta_\ell^*(g \circ f)$ in $\pi_{8\ell+4}(\Phi BSO(m))$. Note that f induces the isomorphism obtained from (2.19) and (2.20).

Let $M^6 \xrightarrow{\tilde{\zeta}} T^0$ be an extension of ζ . Here M^n is the mod-2 Moore spectrum with top cell in dimension n . We claim that

$$\tilde{\zeta}^* : K^0(T^0) \rightarrow K^0(M^6) \tag{2.21}$$

is the nontrivial morphism from \mathbf{Z}_2^\wedge to $\mathbf{Z}/2$. One way to see this is to obtain $ku_*(D(\tilde{\zeta}))$ from $ko_*(D(\tilde{\zeta}))$ by using $bu = bo \cup_\eta \Sigma^2 bo$. Here D denotes the S -dual. There is a cofiber sequence

$$M^{-6} \rightarrow D(MC(\tilde{\zeta})) \rightarrow D(T^0).$$

In the chart below, the solid dots are from the M^{-6} and the circles from $D(T^0)$. The differential in the ko_* -chart is due to the η^2 connection. It implies the differential in the ku_* -chart, which is the asserted homomorphism (2.21).

Diagram 2.22. $ko_*(D(MC(\tilde{\zeta})))$ and $ku_*(D(MC(\tilde{\zeta})))$



From e.g. [4, p.488] or [3, 3.6,3.16], $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{1,n+6}(PK^1(S^n)) \approx \mathbf{Z}/2$. We will name the nonzero class $v_1^2 h_1$. In the spectral sequence converging to $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(S^n)$, this element supports a d_3 -differential, but in that converging to $v_1^{-1}\pi'_*(S^n)$, it survives to a homotopy class, which is the class ζ discussed above. (See [6, 2.18].) We obtain the following analogue of Diagram 2.9.

Diagram 2.23. *Diagram involving Bockstein and $v_1^2 h_1$*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & E_2^{s,s+n+6}(Y; \mathbf{Z}_2) & \xrightarrow{v_1^{4\ell}} & E_2^{s,s+n+6+8\ell}(Y; \mathbf{Z}_2) \\
 & \nearrow \tilde{\zeta}^* & \downarrow \partial & & \downarrow \partial \\
 E_2^{s,s+n}(Y) & \xrightarrow{v_1^2 h_1} & E_2^{s+1,s+n+6}(Y) & \xrightarrow{v_1^{4\ell}} & E_2^{s+1,s+n+6+8\ell}(Y)
 \end{array}$$

Here Y could be any space, but we use $Y = \text{Spin}(m)$. The point of the diagram is that the composition around the top is α^* , while the composition on the bottom sends an eta-tower to one with the same name. The claim about (2.21) was needed to establish commutativity of the triangle.

Now that we have related α^* to $v_1^{4\ell+2} h_1$, we obtain the following analogue of the diagram in Case 7.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell+4, 8i+1)^\# & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \mathbf{s}E_2^{1,-1}(\text{Spin}(8i+1))^\# & \xleftarrow{v_1^{4\ell+2} h_1^\#} & E_2^{2,8\ell+5}(\text{Spin}(8i+1))^\# \\
 \approx \uparrow & & j_2^\# \uparrow \approx & & j_3^\# \uparrow \\
 \mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell+4, 8i+2)^\# & \xrightarrow{\approx} & \mathbf{s}E_2^{1,-1}(\text{Spin}(8i+2))^\# & \xleftarrow{v_1^{4\ell+2} h_1^\#} & E_2^{2,8\ell+5}(\text{Spin}(8i+2))^\#
 \end{array}$$

The same argument as in Case 7 now implies

$$d_2 = 0 : \mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell+5, 8i+2) \rightarrow E_2^{2,0}(8\ell+5, 8i+2).$$

The d_3 -differential on $\mathbf{s}E_3^{0,-1}(8\ell+5, 8i+2)$ is as it was on $\mathbf{s}E_3^{0,-1}(8\ell+4, 8i+2)$, which was shown to be 0 in [6].⁷ That $d_4 = 0$ on $\mathbf{s}E_4^{0,-1}(8\ell+5, 8i+2)$ is seen as in most of the previous cases, using Diagram 2.23 to assert that the target was already hit by d_2 applied to eta-towers with the same name.

Case 9: $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $m \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, and $m \geq 12$. We decompose α^* in (2.4) as

$$[P^{2k}, \Phi BSO(m)] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}^*} [M^{2k+1}, \Phi BSO(m)] \xrightarrow{i^*} v_1^{-1} \pi_{2k-1}(SO(m)), \quad (2.24)$$

where $M^n = M^n(2)$, and $\tilde{\alpha}$ is the attaching map for the top two cells of P^{2k+2} . Let $k = 4\ell - 1$. There is a commutative diagram in which rows are cofiber sequences and columns are K -equivalences

⁷It was done in the paragraph of [6] near the end of Section 2, which begins “We prove now that $d_3 = 0$ on $\tilde{E}_2^{1,-1}(\text{Spin}(8i+2))$.”

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 M^{8\ell-1} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & P^{8\ell-2} & \longrightarrow & P^{8\ell} & \longrightarrow & M^{8\ell} \\
 A^\ell \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M^{-1} & \xrightarrow{\alpha'} & P_{1-8\ell}^{-2} & \longrightarrow & P_{1-8\ell}^0 & \longrightarrow & M^0 \\
 = \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & = \uparrow \\
 M^{-1} & \xrightarrow{q} & M^0(2^{4\ell-1}) & \xrightarrow{2} & M^0(2^{4\ell}) & \longrightarrow & M^0
 \end{array} \tag{2.25}$$

The top vertical maps are just the v_1 -maps. The middle square on the bottom is from [6, 2.2], which was originally from [11]. The construction in [11] implies commutativity of the lower right square. If this cofiber sequence is pushed one space farther, a commutative square is obtained which is the suspension of the lower left square. Hence the lower left square commutes.

Thus we obtain a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{s}[P^{8\ell-2}, \Phi BSO(m)] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}^*} & [M^{8\ell-1}, \Phi BSO(m)] \\
 \approx \uparrow & & \approx \uparrow \\
 \mathbf{s}[P_{1-8\ell}^{-2}, \Phi BSO(m)] & \xrightarrow{\alpha'^*} & [M^{-1}, \Phi BSO(m)] \\
 \approx \downarrow & & = \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{sv}_1^{-1}\pi_{-2}(SO(m)) & \xrightarrow{q^*} & [M^{-1}, \Phi BSO(m)],
 \end{array} \tag{2.26}$$

where q is the collapse map. In the bottom row, $\mathbf{s}[M^0(2^{4\ell-1}), \Phi BSO(m)]$ has been replaced by $\mathbf{sv}_1^{-1}\pi_{-1}(BSO(m)) \approx \mathbf{sv}_1^{-1}\pi_{-2}(SO(m))$ because ℓ can be taken to be arbitrarily large, and so the maps from the top cell of the Moore space are ephemeral. When the $\tilde{\alpha}^*$ in the top row is followed by i^* into $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell-3}(SO(m))$ to yield (2.24), we obtain from the diagram something agreeing up to isomorphisms with that obtained by applying $\mathbf{s}[-, \Phi BSO(m)]$ to the composite

$$S^{8\ell-2} \hookrightarrow M^{8\ell-1} \xrightarrow{A^\ell} M^{-1} \xrightarrow{q} S^{-1}. \tag{2.27}$$

By [2], this composite is the element of order 2 in the stable image of J in the $(8\ell - 1)$ -stem; however, we will compute it using (2.27) rather than this $\text{im}J$ description.

We will show that the composite

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{s}E_2^{1,-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m)) &\xrightarrow[\rho_2]{q^*} E_2^{1,-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m); \mathbf{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{A^\ell} E_2^{1,8\ell-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m); \mathbf{Z}_2) \\ &\xrightarrow[\partial]{i^*} E_2^{2,8\ell-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m)) \end{aligned} \quad (2.28)$$

is 0.⁸ Noting that

$$E_\infty^{4,8\ell+1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m)) = 0 \quad (2.29)$$

by [3, 1.3,3.6,3.7], Theorem 2.2 follows in this case.

We show that the Pontryagin dual of (2.28) is 0. Let

$$C_0 \xrightarrow{d_1} C_1 \xrightarrow{d_2} C_2$$

be the sequence of free $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -modules associated to the sequence of free \mathbf{Z}_2^\wedge -modules in [3, 11.9]. Thus $C_0 = F$, $C_1 = F \oplus F \oplus F$, and $C_2 = F \oplus F \oplus F \oplus F$, where F is a free $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -module on $[m/2]$ generators. The transpose of the matrix of d_1 is

$$(0 \quad \Psi^2 \quad \Theta_{4\ell-1}), \quad (2.30)$$

and the transpose of the matrix of d_2 is

$$\begin{pmatrix} -2 & \Psi^2 & \Theta_{4\ell-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \Theta_{4\ell-1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\Psi^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.31)$$

and then the homology at C_s is $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,8\ell-1}(PK^1(\mathrm{Spin}(m)/\mathrm{im}(\psi^2)))$. Here Ψ^2 (resp. Θ_j) is the matrix of ψ^2 (resp. $\psi^3 - 3^j$) on $PK^1(\mathrm{Spin}(m))$. We are using here that for a rationally acyclic complex of finitely generated free $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$ -modules, the inclusion induces an isomorphism $H_*(-; \mathbf{Z}_{(2)}) \rightarrow H_*(-; \mathbf{Z}_2^\wedge)$. In the remainder of this proof, we will write \mathbf{Z} when we really mean $\mathbf{Z}_{(2)}$.

As observed in [3, proof of 11.3], $E_2^{s,8\ell-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m))^\#$ is the homology at C_{s-1}^* of the chain complex C^* given by

$$C_0^* \xleftarrow{d_1^*} C_1^* \xleftarrow{d_2^*} C_2^*, \quad (2.32)$$

where $C_s^* = \mathrm{Hom}(C_s, \mathbf{Z})$ and the matrices of d_1^* and d_2^* are those of (2.30) and (2.31). The shift from s to $s-1$ is due to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q} \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

⁸Note that ρ_2 and ∂ are parts of different Bockstein exact sequences, and so it is not automatic that the composite is 0.

Note that $E_2^{s,4\ell-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m); \mathbf{Z}/2)^\#$ is the homology at $C_s^*/2$ of the mod 2 reduction of (2.32), and

$$\rho_2^\# : E_2^{1,8\ell-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m); \mathbf{Z}/2)^\# \rightarrow E_2^{1,8\ell-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m))^\#$$

is the boundary homomorphism δ in the exact sequence of homology groups induced by the short exact sequence of chain complexes

$$0 \rightarrow C^* \xrightarrow{2} C^* \rightarrow C^*/2 \rightarrow 0. \quad (2.33)$$

To see this, note that the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \xrightarrow{2} & \mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z}/2 \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow 1 & & \downarrow \frac{1}{2} & & \downarrow i \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Z} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Q} & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_1(C^*/2) & \xrightarrow{\delta} & H_0(C^*) \\ \rho_2^* \downarrow & & \downarrow = \\ H_1(C^* \otimes \mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}) & \xrightarrow{\approx} & H_0(C^*), \end{array}$$

from which the agreement of δ and ρ_2^* is immediate.

The composite which we wish to show is 0 (dual to (2.28)) may now be identified as

$$H_1(C_{(4\ell-1)}^*) \xrightarrow{\rho_{2^*}} H_1(C_{(4\ell-1)}^*/2) \xrightarrow{=} H_1(C_{(-1)}^*/2) \xrightarrow{\delta} \mathbf{s}H_0(C_{(-1)}^*). \quad (2.34)$$

Here the parenthesized subscript of C^* is the subscript of Θ , and $C^*/2$ means the mod 2 reduction of C^* . The identity map in the middle is due to the subscript not mattering mod 2, and the fact that A^* is the identity homomorphism of $K^*(M)$. Since, for the same parenthesized subscript, $\mathrm{im}(\rho_2^*) = \ker(\delta)$, we are reduced to proving

$$\ker(H_1(C^*/2) \xrightarrow{\delta_\ell} H_0(C_{(4\ell-1)}^*)) \subset \ker(H_1(C^*/2) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathbf{s}H_0(C_{(-1)}^*)). \quad (2.35)$$

We will need the following result, culled from [3].

Theorem 2.36. *Suppose $m \geq 12$.*

- If $m = 2n + 1$, then

$$H_0(C_{(4\ell-1)}^*) \approx \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/2^n \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2^n & n \leq \nu(\ell) + 4 \\ \mathbf{Z}/2^e \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2^{\nu(\ell)+4} & n > \nu(\ell) + 4 \end{cases} \quad (2.37)$$

with $e > n$. The group is presented by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2^{A_1} & 0 \\ u_2 2^{A_2} & 2^n \\ u_3 2^n & 2^v \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.38)$$

where u_i is odd, $A_i > n$, and $v = \min(\nu(\ell) + 4, 2n + 1)$. The columns of this matrix correspond to generators ξ_1 and D of $PK^1(\text{Spin}(m))$ under the isomorphism

$$H_0(C_{(4\ell-1)}^*) \approx E_2^{1,8\ell-1}(\text{Spin}(m))^\# \approx PK^1(\text{Spin}(m))/(\psi^2, \theta_{4\ell-1}), \quad (2.39)$$

where $\theta_j = \psi^3 - 3^j$. The first row of (2.38) is due to a combination of relations of the form $\psi^2(\xi_i)$ and $\theta_{4\ell-1}(\xi_i)$, while the second row is a combination of such relations together with $\psi^2(D)$ (with coefficient 1), and the third row is a combination of such relations together with $1 \cdot \theta_{4\ell-1}(D)$. The first summand of (2.37) is the stable summand; it corresponds to the first (ξ_1) column of (2.38).

- If $m = 4a$, then

$$H_0(C_{(4\ell-1)}^*) \approx \begin{cases} \mathbf{Z}/2^{2a} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2^{2a-1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2^{\nu(a)+2} & 2a \leq \nu(\ell) + 5 \\ \mathbf{Z}/2^{e_1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2^{e_2} \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2^{e_3} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

with $e_1 > 2a$ and $e_3 \leq e_2 < 2a$. The group is presented by a matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2^{A_1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2^M & -2^M \\ u_2 2^{A_2} & 2^{2a-1} & 0 \\ 2^{2a-1} & u_3 2^{v_1} & u_4 2^{v_2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.40)$$

with u_i odd, $A_i > 2a$, $M = \min(2a - 1, \nu(2\ell - a) + 3)$, $v_1 = \min'(\nu(a) + 2, \nu(\ell) + 4)$, and $v_2 = \nu(a) + 2$. Here $\min'(A, B) = \min(A, B)$ unless $A = B$, in which case it is greater than either.

Under the isomorphisms of (2.39), the columns of (2.40) correspond to generators ξ_1 , D_+ , and D_- , and of the rows (relations) only the last one involves an odd multiple of $\theta_{4\ell-1}(D)$.

Proof. For the first part, we use [3, 3.1,3.2] and [5, 3.18]. The proof of [5, 3.15] explains how the rows of the presentation matrix are obtained, while [5, §4] derives the inequalities for the exponents in those relations. Actually, [5, 3.18] only proves $A_i \geq n$. The stronger result needed here follows by a more careful analysis of the proof of [5, §4]. It follows from [5, 3.18], refined to say that $eSp(4\ell + 1, n) > n + 1$ and the coefficients of ξ_1 in [5, (3.19)] and [5, (3.20)] are divisible by 2^{n+1} .

By [3, 8.1], $eSp(-, n)$ is divisible by $(2n + 1)!$, which is divisible by 2^{n+1} for $n \geq 2$. The divisibility of [5, (3.20)] is proved using its representation as

$$(n - 1)2^{2n-4} + \sum_{j=2}^{n/2} \binom{n-j}{j} 2^{2n-4j} \sum_{i \geq j-1} 8^i \binom{2\ell-1}{i} S_{i,j}$$

with

$$S_{i,j} = \sum_{t=0}^{j-2} (-1)^t \binom{2j-1}{t} (2j - 2t - 1) \binom{j-t}{2}^i$$

given in [5, (4.20)]. The term $(n - 1)2^{2n-4}$ is divisible by 2^{n+1} for $n \geq 5$. The other terms are divisible by 2^{2n-j-3} with $2 \leq j \leq n/2$, which will be sufficiently divisible except when (n, j) is (6,3). In this case, the additional divisibility is provided by $S_{2,3} = 30$.

The divisibility of [5, (3.19)] is proved similarly using its representation as

$$(n + 1)2^{2n-3} \sum_{j \geq 2} 2^{2n+1-4j} \left(\binom{n+2-j}{j} - \binom{n-j}{j-2} \right) \sum_{i \geq j-1} 8^i \binom{2\ell}{i} S_{i,j},$$

with $S_{i,j}$ as above, from [5, p.54]. The lead term $(n + 1)2^{2n-3}$ is divisible by 2^{n+1} for $n \geq 3$. Other terms are divisible by 2^{2n-j-2} with $2 \leq j \leq n/2$, which is divisible by 2^{n+1} .

For the second part, we use [3, 3.3] and its proof in [3, §4]. The classes ξ_i , D , D_+ , and D_- in $PK^1(\text{Spin}(m))$ are as in [5, 3.10] and [3, 4.1], but do not play a major role in this paper. ■

We remark that the condition $m \geq 12$ is necessary for the divisibilities of the entries of the matrices to hold.

By the definition of δ using (2.33), if $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in C_1^*/2$ is a cycle representing an element of $H_1(C_{(4\ell-1)}^*/2)$, then

$$\delta(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{2}\psi^2(x_2) + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{4\ell-1}(x_3), \quad (2.41)$$

viewed as an element in the group presented by one of the matrices of 2.36. Here $x_i \in F^*$ or $F/2^*$. We write δ_0 and δ_ℓ for the boundaries δ associated to $C_{(-1)}^*$ and $C_{(4\ell-1)}^*$, respectively. Note that the relations $\xi_j = j^{4\ell-1}\xi_1$ are used to bring these elements into the 2- or 3-generator form of 2.36. This relation is a consequence of [5, 3.9], which says that modding out by $\psi^j - j^{4\ell-1}$ for $j = 3$ and -1 also accomplishes modding out by $\psi^j - j^{4\ell-1}$ for other odd j .

The matrix (2.38) implies that when $m = 2n + 1$, $\mathbf{s}H_0(C_{(-1)}^*)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}/2^n$ generated by ξ_1 , since $v = 2n + 1$ in this case, and that in (2.41) with $\ell = 0$, $\delta_0(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq 0 \in \mathbf{s}H_0(C_{(-1)}^*)$ if and only if the D -component of x_3 is odd. This key point may warrant some explanation. The interpretation of the rows of (2.38) given after (2.39) implies that when $\psi^2(x_2)$ or $\theta_{-1}(x_3)$ are written in terms of ξ_1 and D , using $\xi_j = j^{-1}\xi_1$, the ξ_1 -component of each will be divisible by 2^{n+1} unless the D -component of x_3 is odd, and when these are multiplied by $1/2$, as they are in (2.41), the only way to obtain a nonzero component in the ξ_1 -component of the $\mathbf{Z}/2^n$ -group presented by (2.38) is then to have this D -component of x_3 be odd.

If the D -component of x_3 is odd, then

$$\delta_\ell(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq 0 \in H_0(C_{(4\ell-1)}^*), \quad (2.42)$$

since it is $\frac{1}{2}$ times the last row of (2.38) plus perhaps $\frac{1}{2}$ times the other rows. Such a vector is easily seen to be nonzero in the group presented by (2.38), regardless of the value of v . This establishes the contrapositive of (2.35).

The same argument applies when $m = 4a$, using the matrix (2.40). The previous paragraph carries through verbatim, with n replaced by $2a - 1$.

Case 10: $k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. The method of Case 9 does not apply here, since $\psi^{-1} \neq -1$ in $PK^1(\text{Spin}(m))$ when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. However the result here follows by naturality from Case 9.

Let $k = 4\ell + 3$ and $m = 4j + 2$. The morphism $\mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell + 7, 4j + 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell + 7, 4j + 2)$ is bijective by [3, 3.3]. As we have just seen that $d_2 = 0$ on the former, it must also be 0 on the latter. Note that d_3 on $\mathbf{s}E_3^{0,-1}(8\ell + 7, 4j + 2)$ equals d_3 on

$\mathbf{s}E_3^{0,-1}(8\ell + 6, 4j + 2)$, by the general form of the spectral sequence, and this equals d_3 on $E_3^{1,-1}(\text{Spin}(4j + 2))$ by the paragraph after Diagram 2.16 beginning ‘‘By the proof.’’ By [3, 3.12], this is zero. As there is nothing for d_4 to hit by (2.29)⁹, we deduce that the generator of $E_2^{0,-1}(2k + 1, m)$ is an infinite cycle in this case, establishing Theorem 2.2 in this case.

Case 11: $k \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $m \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$, $m \geq 12$. Let $k = 4\ell + 1$. Similarly to (2.25), we have, using [6, 2.8], a commutative diagram in which rows are cofibrations and columns are K -equivalences.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 M^{8\ell+3} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}} & P^{8\ell+2} & \longrightarrow & P^{8\ell+4} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 M^3 & \longrightarrow & P_{1-8\ell}^2 & \longrightarrow & P_{1-8\ell}^4 \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \Sigma^{2^{4\ell+1}L}F & \longrightarrow & N^{2^{4\ell+1}L}(2^{4\ell}) & \xrightarrow{2} & N^{2^{4\ell+1}L}(2^{4\ell+1})
 \end{array}$$

where $N^n(k) = M^n(k) \cup_\eta e^{n+1} \cup_2 e^{n+2}$, the map labeled 2 has degree 2 on the bottom cell, and $\Sigma^{2^{4\ell+1}L}F$ is the stable fiber of this map. Thus

$$F = M^{-1} \cup_\eta M^1 \cup_2 M^2,$$

and, with $T^n = S^n \cup_\eta e^{n+2} \cup_2 e^{n+3}$ as in Case 8, there is a cofiber sequence

$$T^{-2} \rightarrow F \rightarrow T^{-1} \xrightarrow{2} T^{-1}. \quad (2.43)$$

Similarly to (2.26), we obtain a commutative diagram, using [6, (2.13)]

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{s}[P^{8\ell+2}, \Phi BSO(m)] & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\alpha}^*} & [M^{8\ell+3}, \Phi BSO(m)] \\
 \approx \uparrow & & \approx \uparrow \\
 \mathbf{s}[P_{1-8\ell}^2, \Phi BSO(m)] & \longrightarrow & [M^3, \Phi BSO(m)] \\
 \approx \downarrow & & \approx \downarrow \\
 \mathbf{s}v_1^{-1}\pi'_{2^{4\ell+1}L-2}(SO(m)) & \longrightarrow & [\Sigma^{2^{4\ell+1}L}F, \Phi BSO(m)].
 \end{array}$$

⁹which also holds when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$

Since ℓ is large, the $\Sigma^{2^{4\ell+1}L}$ may be omitted by periodicity, and so α^* in (2.4) is obtained as the composite

$$\mathbf{s}v_1^{-1}\pi'_{-2}(SO(m)) \rightarrow [M^3, \Phi BSO(m)] \xrightarrow{\cong} [M^{8\ell+3}, \Phi BSO(m)] \xrightarrow{i^*} v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell+1}(SO(m)). \quad (2.44)$$

This can be considered as the d_2 - and d_4 -differentials in the spectral sequence described prior to Case 4. Recall from [6, 2.16] that the E_2 -term for $v_1^{-1}\pi'_*(-)$ equals that for $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(-)$.

The cofibration (2.43) yields a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow K^{-1}(T^{-1}) \xrightarrow{2} K^{-1}(T^{-1}) \rightarrow K^{-1}(F) \rightarrow 0$$

which is

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}_2^\wedge \xrightarrow{2} \mathbf{Z}_2^\wedge \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2 \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus (2.44) is, at the E_2 -level, given by

$$\mathbf{s}E_2^{1,-1}(\mathrm{Spin}(m)) \xrightarrow{\rho_2} E_2^{1,3}(\mathrm{Spin}(m); \mathbf{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\cong} E_2^{1,8\ell+3}(\mathrm{Spin}(m); \mathbf{Z}/2) \xrightarrow{\partial} E_2^{2,8\ell+3}(\mathrm{Spin}(m)), \quad (2.45)$$

similarly to (2.28). We can justify the ρ_2 between distinct bigradings in two ways.

(a) $\mathrm{Ext}_{\mathcal{A}}^{s,t}(-; \mathbf{Z}/2)$ has period 4 in t ; (b) The morphism is induced by $F \rightarrow T^{-1}$, and there is a K -equivalence $F \rightarrow M^3$.

Hence, by the same argument used in Case 9 to go from (2.28) to (2.35), showing that $d_2 = 0$ on $\mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell+3, m)$ is equivalent to proving

$$\ker(H_1(C^*/2) \xrightarrow{\delta'_\ell} H_0(C_{(4\ell+1)}^*)) \subset \ker(H_1(C^*/2) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} \mathbf{s}H_0(C_{(-1)}^*)). \quad (2.46)$$

Here $\delta'_\ell(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \frac{1}{2}\psi^2(x_2) + \frac{1}{2}\theta_{4\ell+1}(x_3)$.

The proof that (2.46) holds is similar to that of Case 9, except that the matrix, using $\psi^3 - 3^{4\ell+1}$ instead of $\psi^3 - 3^{4\ell-1}$ has a slightly different form. The matrix is described in Lemma 2.50 when m is odd. One must prove, analogous to (2.42), that if the D -component of x_3 is odd, then $\delta'_\ell(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq 0 \in H_0(C_{(4\ell+1)}^*)$. This is easier than in Case 9 because of the 2^3 in the last row of (2.51). As before, the last row is characterized by being the relation due to $\theta_{4\ell+1}(D)$ plus other terms. Hence $\delta'_\ell(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ will involve $1/2$ times the last row of (2.51), which, because of the 2^3 is certainly nonzero in the group presented by (2.51).


Finally, we must show $d_4 = 0$ on $\mathbf{s}E_4^{0,-1}(8\ell + 3, m)$. The composite (2.44) may be viewed as applying $[-, \Phi BSO(m)]$ to

$$S^{8\ell+2} \xrightarrow{\alpha} P^{8\ell+2} \rightarrow P_{1-8\ell}^2 \rightarrow v_1^{-1}P_{1-8\ell}^2 \simeq v_1^{-1}N^0(2^{4\ell}). \quad (2.47)$$

The class of this composite is divisible by 4 in $v_1^{-1}\pi_{4\ell+2}(N^0(2^{4\ell})) \approx v_1^{-1}\pi_{4\ell+2}(P^{8\ell+2})$. Call it 4γ .

To see this divisibility, we use that α goes to 0 in $v_1^{-1}\pi_{8\ell+2}(P^{8\ell+4})$, since it is an attaching map. Diagram 2.48, which is similar to those of [12, pp 94-5], depicts $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(P^{8\ell+2}) \rightarrow v_1^{-1}\pi_*(P^{8\ell+4})$ near $* = 8\ell + 2$. The group where $* = 8\ell + 2$ is indicated with an arrow, and the nonzero element in the kernel of this homomorphism is circled.

Diagram 2.48. $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(P^{8\ell+2}) \rightarrow v_1^{-1}\pi_*(P^{8\ell+4})$ near $* = 8\ell + 2$



This chart also depicts $v_1^{-1}\pi_*(N^0(2^{4\ell}))$, and the circled element equals the composite (2.47) (since the α is nontrivial, because Sq^4 is nonzero in its mapping cone). The inclusion $v_1^{-1}T^{-1} \xrightarrow{i_T} v_1^{-1}N^0(2^{4\ell})$ induces in $\pi_{8\ell+2}(-)$ an injection $\mathbf{Z}/8 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/8 \oplus \mathbf{Z}/2$.¹⁰

Let g denote the generator of $v_1^{-1}\pi_{-2}(T^{-1})$, and let $2^e g$ denote an extension of $2^e g$ over an appropriate Moore spectrum. Then (2.47) equals the top row of the commutative diagram (2.49) followed by i_T .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S^{8\ell+3} & \xrightarrow{i} & M^{8\ell+3} & \xrightarrow{A^\ell} & M^3 & \xrightarrow{4g} & v_1^{-1}T^{-1} \\ \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 & & \downarrow 2 & & = \downarrow \\ S^{8\ell+3} & \xrightarrow{i} & M^{8\ell+3}(4) & \xrightarrow{A^\ell} & M^3(4) & \xrightarrow{2g} & v_1^{-1}T^{-1} \end{array} \quad (2.49)$$

¹⁰ $v_1^{-1}T^{-1}$ can be defined to be $T^{-1} \wedge v_1^{-1}J$.

Here $2 : M^{8\ell+3} \rightarrow M^{8\ell+3}(4)$ from the mod 2 Moore spectrum to the mod 4 Moore spectrum has degree 2 on the bottom cell and degree 1 on the top cell.

Since $E_2^{3,8\ell+4}(\text{Spin}(m))$ and $E_2^{4,8\ell+5}(\text{Spin}(m))$ are \mathbf{Z}_2 -vector spaces, and there can be no extension from filtration 2 to filtration 3 by naturality, the only way that α^* in (2.44) could hit an element in filtration 4 is if γ^* hits an element of order 4 in filtration 2, and there is a nontrivial extension. We will show that $(2\gamma)^*$ cannot be nonzero in filtration 2.

Since $\alpha^*(= (4\gamma)^*)$ is given by applying $[-, \Phi BSO(m)]$ to the top composite in (2.49), then $(2\gamma)^*$ is given by applying $[-, \Phi BSO(m)]$ to the bottom composite. The E_2 -version of this bottom composite is just like (2.45) with $\mathbf{Z}/2$ replaced by $\mathbf{Z}/4$. Thus showing that $(2\gamma)^*$ is 0 in filtration 2 is equivalent to proving the analogue of (2.46) with $C^*/2$ replaced by $C^*/4$.

We need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.50. *The matrix, analogous to (2.38) in the interpretations of its rows and columns, which presents $H_0(C_{(4\ell+1)}^*)$ for $\text{Spin}(2n+1)$ with $n > 5$ is*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2^{A_1} & 0 \\ u_2 2^{A_2} & 2^n \\ u_3 2^n & 2^3 \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.51)$$

with u_i odd and $A_i \geq n+1$.

This is proved similarly to 2.36. It differs in that it involves $4\ell+1$ rather than $4\ell-1$. It is just [5, 3.18] with a lower bound for some exponents being 1 larger than was proved in [5]. As we don't need this refinement here, we will not present the details of the proof, which are extremely similar to those of 2.36.

Now the analogue of (2.46) with 4 instead of 2 is proved by the same method used for 2. Now we have that $\delta_0(x_1, x_2, x_3) \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{s}H_0(C_{(-1)}^*)$ if and only if the D -component of x_3 is not divisible by 4. Here we need that $A_i \geq n+1$ in (2.38) when $\ell=0$, which was proved in 2.36. In this case, $\delta'_\ell(x_1, x_2, x_3)$ is nonzero in $H_0(C_{(4\ell+1)}^*)$ because it is $\frac{1}{4}$ or $\frac{1}{2}$ times the last row of (2.51) plus $\frac{1}{4}$ times multiples of the other rows. This will be nonzero because of the 2^3 in the second column.

This completes the argument (for Case 11) when m is odd. If $m=4a$ a similar argument works. A matrix of the same general form as (2.40) presents $H_0(C_{(4\ell+1)}^*)$. Its rows and columns have analogous interpretations. As in the case m odd, the

key point is a 2^3 which occurs in the last row, second column. This is due to the $(3^{m+1} - 1)$ -factor in [3, (4.27)]. The m of that paper is our $4\ell + 1$. This 2^3 will cause (2.46) to hold, and with the 2 replaced by a 4, just as it did when m is odd.

Case 12: $k \equiv 1 \pmod 4$, $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. Similarly to Case 10, the method of Case 11 does not apply because the chain complex used there required $\psi^{-1} = -1$. Again, we can make the required deductions by naturality. The morphism $\mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell + 3, 4j + 1) \rightarrow \mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell + 3, 4j + 2)$ is bijective by [3, 3.3]. If j is odd, the generator of $E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell + 3, 4j + 1)$ is a permanent cycle by Case 11, and hence so is its image. Now let j be even. The same naturality argument shows that $d_2 = 0$ on $\mathbf{s}E_2^{0,-1}(8\ell + 3, 4j + 2)$. That $d_3 = 0$ is proved by the method of Case 10, using that $d_3 = 0$ on $\tilde{E}_3^{1,-1}(\text{Spin}(4j + 2))$ by [6, 2.23]. Finally we consider d_4 . We cannot use naturality from $E_4(8\ell + 3, 4j + 1)$ because it had a nonzero d_3 by [6, 2.23]. Instead we use the argument in Case 11, that the attaching map α equals 4γ . We use naturality from $E_2(8\ell + 3, 4j + 1)$ to see that $(2\gamma)^*$ must be zero in filtration 2, and deduce as in Case 11 that α^* is 0 in filtration 4.

3. NONLIFTING RESULTS

In [9], the following result was proven.

Theorem 3.1. *If u is odd and $2^{4b+\epsilon} > 4k + t$, then*

$$\text{gd}(u2^{4b+\epsilon}\xi_{4k+t}) \geq 4k - 8b + d,$$

where d is given in the following table.

		ϵ			
		0	1	2	3
1		0	-2	-2	-4
t	2	2	2	0	-4
	3	2	2	0	-4
	4	4	2	2	0

Several more nonlifting results could have been obtained by the same method. The author of [9] did not give careful enough consideration to P_b^t with $t \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ or $b \equiv 2 \pmod 4$. We sketch a proof of the following result. Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 together provide all the nonlifting results in Theorem 1.3, and those of [6, 1.1(2)].

Theorem 3.2. *If u is odd and $2^{4b+\epsilon} > 4k + t$, then*

$$\text{gd}(u2^{4b+\epsilon}\xi_{4k+t}) \geq 4k - 8b + \delta$$

if $(\epsilon, t, \delta) = (0, 2, 3), (0, 3, 3), (1, 4, 3), (1, 1, 0)$, or $(0, 1, 2)$.

Proof. We must show there does not exist an axial map

$$P^{4k+t} \times Pu^{2^{4b+\epsilon}-4k+8b-\delta} \rightarrow Pu^{2^{4b+\epsilon}-1}.$$

This is done by showing that $\psi^3 - 1$ applied to the dual class in

$$ko_{-2}(P_{-4k-t-1}^{-2} \wedge P_{-u2^{4b+\epsilon}+4k-8b+\delta-1} \wedge Pu^{2^{4b+\epsilon}-1}) \quad (3.3)$$

is nonzero. This class is called the axial class.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $X = P_{-4k-t-1}^{-2} \wedge P_{-u2^{4b+\epsilon}+4k-8b+\delta-1}$. Then $ko_*(X \wedge Pu^{2^{4b+\epsilon}-1})$ contains summands*

$$ko_*(X \wedge S^{u2^{4b+\epsilon}-1}) \oplus ko_*(X \wedge Pu^{2^{4b+\epsilon}-2}).$$

The upper edge of the second of these summands extends one filtration higher than that of the first.

Proof. Let A_1 denote the subalgebra of the mod 2 Steenrod algebra generated by Sq^1 and Sq^2 . We use that the Adams spectral sequence converging to $ko_*(X)$ has $E_2 = \text{Ext}_{A_1}(H^*X)$. (We omit writing \mathbf{Z}_2 in the second variable.) Let N denote the A_1 -module with classes in grading 0, 2, 3, and 5 with $Sq^2 Sq^1 Sq^2 \neq 0$, and let N_0 be defined by the short exact sequence of A_1 -modules

$$0 \rightarrow \Sigma^5 \mathbf{Z}_2 \rightarrow N \rightarrow N_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

If M is an A_1 -module which is free as a module over the subalgebra A_0 generated by Sq^1 , then $\text{Ext}_{A_1}(M \otimes N) = 0$ in filtration > 0 , and hence, for $s > 0$, we have

$$\text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t}(M \otimes \Sigma^4 \mathbf{Z}_2) \approx \text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s,t+1}(M \otimes \Sigma^5 \mathbf{Z}_2) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Ext}_{A_1}^{s+1,t+1}(M \otimes N_0). \quad (3.5)$$

The first of these groups can correspond roughly to the first summand of the lemma, and the last to the other summand, after adjoining many copies of $\text{Ext}_{A_1}(M \otimes N)$. The filtration shift in (3.5) yields the conclusion of the lemma.

Here we have used that, except in its bottom few cells, the A_1 -module $H^*Pu^{2^{4b+\epsilon}-2}$ is built by short exact sequences from many copies of $\Sigma^i N$ and one of $\Sigma^{u2^{4b+\epsilon}-5} N_0$. A


deviation due to the bottom few cells of $Pu2^{4b+\epsilon-2}$ will not alter the Ext groups in the region of interest. Note that H^*X is A_0 -free except in the case where $t = 3 = \delta$, in which case it is a direct sum of an A_0 -free summand and one that is inconsequential here. ■

Using some suspension isomorphisms, the part of (3.3) corresponding to the first summand in 3.4 is

$$ko_{-1}(P_{-4k-t-1}^{-2} \wedge P_{4k-8b+\delta-1}).$$

The subscript of one P is odd¹¹ and the other $\equiv 2 \pmod 4$. The $P_{4\ell+2}$ is built from copies of N , which, after tensoring with the other P , give no Ext in positive filtration, together with $\langle g_{4\ell+2}, Sq^2(g_{4\ell+2}) \rangle$, which changes bo to bu . Thus the chart for the portion of 3.4 due to the top cell is given by the diagram below, with the bottom class in dimension $-8b + \delta - t - 2$.

Diagram 3.6.



All of our cases¹² have $\delta - t = 1 - 2\epsilon$. Thus the chart starts in $-8b - 2\epsilon - 1$, and its top element in dimension -1 is in filtration $4b + \epsilon$. The summand of (3.3) corresponding to the second summand of 3.4 has top element in filtration $4b + \epsilon + 1$.

According to the third case of Table 12 of [9], the axial class has a component $2 \cdot u2^{4b+\epsilon}$ in this second summand, i.e. at height $4b + \epsilon + 1$, and so is nonzero. ■

¹¹except for the case $(0, 3, 3)$, which is equivalent to $(0, 2, 3)$ plus an additional split summand

¹²with the exception noted in the previous footnote

REFERENCES

- [1] J. F. Adams, *Vector fields on spheres*, Annals of Math **75** (1962) 603-632.
- [2] ———, *On the groups $J(X)$, IV*, Topology **5** (1966) 21-71.
- [3] M. Bendersky and D. M. Davis, *The v_1 -periodic homotopy groups of $SO(n)$* , Memoirs Amer Math Soc **815** (2004).
- [4] ———, *2-primary v_1 -periodic homotopy groups of $SU(n)$* , Amer Jour Math **114** (1991) 529-544.
- [5] ———, *The 1-line of the K -theory Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence for $Spin(2n+1)$* , Contemp Math AMS **279** (2001) 37-56.
- [6] M. Bendersky, D. M. Davis, and M. Mahowald, *Stable geometric dimension of vector bundles over even-dimensional real projective spaces*, to appear in Trans Amer Math Soc. <http://www.lehigh.edu/~dmd1/sgd2.html>
- [7] A. K. Bousfield, *The K -theory localization and v_1 -periodic homotopy groups of finite H -spaces*, Topology **38** (1999) 1239-1264.
- [8] ———, *On the 2-primary v_1 -periodic homotopy groups of spaces*, Topology **44** (2005) 381-413.
- [9] D. M. Davis, *Generalized homology and the generalized vector field problem*, Quar Jour Math Oxford **25** (1974) 169-193.
- [10] D. M. Davis, S. Gitler, and M. Mahowald, *The stable geometric dimension of vector bundles over real projective spaces*, Trans Amer Math Soc **268** (1981) 39-61.
- [11] D. M. Davis and M. Mahowald, *Homotopy groups of some mapping telescopes*, Annals of Math Studies **113** (1987) 126-151.
- [12] M. Mahowald, *The image of J in the EHP sequence*, Annals of Math **116** (1982) 65-112.

HUNTER COLLEGE, CUNY, NY, NY 10021
E-mail address: mbenders@shiva.hunter.cuny.edu

LEHIGH UNIVERSITY, BETHLEHEM, PA 18015
E-mail address: dmd1@lehigh.edu