

# HYPERCOVERS IN TOPOLOGY

DANIEL DUGGER AND DANIEL C. ISAKSEN

ABSTRACT. We show that if  $U_*$  is a hypercover of a topological space  $X$  then the natural map  $\text{hocolim } U_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence. This fact is used to construct topological realization functors for the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes over real and complex fields.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a topological space, and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a\}$  be an open cover of  $X$ . From this data one may build the **Čech complex**  $\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*$ , which is the simplicial space

$$\coprod U_{a_0} \rightrightarrows \coprod U_{a_0 a_1} \Rrightarrow \coprod U_{a_0 a_1 a_2} \cdots$$

Here  $U_{a_0 \cdots a_n} = U_{a_0} \cap \cdots \cap U_{a_n}$ , and the face maps are obtained by omitting indices—we have chosen not to draw the degeneracies for typographical reasons. Segal [S1] proved that if  $X$  has a partition of unity subordinate to  $\mathcal{U}$  then the map  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \rightarrow X$  is a *homotopy* equivalence, where  $|-|$  denotes geometric realization. Our first goal in this paper is to generalize this result to the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For every open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $X$ , the natural map  $\text{hocolim } \check{C}(\mathcal{U})_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

There are two steps in the argument. First, we prove that  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence for arbitrary open covers. It is possible to deduce this from Segal's result, making use of the fact that weak equivalences are detected by spheres, and spheres always have partitions of unity. But instead of going this route we give a proof that avoids Segal's theorem completely, and is quite elementary.

The second step is to deal with the difference between  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$  and  $\text{hocolim } \check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*$ . For any simplicial object  $W_*$  in a model category, there are general criteria for when its geometric realization agrees with its homotopy colimit (cf. [H, Th. 19.6.4]); unfortunately these criteria apply only when the objects  $W_n$  are all cofibrant, and we are definitely not assuming that the open sets  $U_a$  and their intersections are cofibrant. To get around this we prove a curious theorem (given in Appendix A) that when computing homotopy colimits for topological spaces one never has to worry about this cofibrancy issue. Strange, but true.

The main goal of this paper is generalizing Theorem 1.1 so that it applies to 'hypercovers', rather than just Čech covers. These are defined in detail in Section 4, but for now we will just give an intuitive definition. An open hypercover of a space  $X$  is a simplicial space  $U_*$  such that

---

*Date:* November 25, 2001.

*1991 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 55U35, 14F20, 14F42.

*Key words and phrases.* hypercover, homotopy colimit, geometric realization, motivic homotopy theory.

The second author was supported by an NSF Postdoctoral Research Fellowship.

- (1) Each  $U_n$  is a disjoint union of open subsets of  $X$ ,
- (2) The spaces appearing in  $U_0$  are an open cover of  $X$ ,
- (3) The spaces in  $U_1$  cover the double intersections of those in level 0,
- (4) The spaces in  $U_2$  cover the triple intersections of those in level 1, and so on.

Of course making sense of (4)—especially the ‘and so on’ part—requires a certain amount of bookkeeping, which is why we are postponing the formal definition. But the essence is that hypercovers are like Čech complexes except that instead of taking the double intersections at level 1 we may refine them further, and we may continue this refining process at each level. Our second main result is then

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $U_*$  is an open hypercover of a space  $X$ , then the natural map  $\text{hocolim } U_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

This result could almost be considered folklore since everyone immediately agrees it’s true, but a proof seems to be missing from the literature. One might consider tackling it by appealing to the Whitehead theorem, proving an isomorphism on fundamental groupoids and homology with local coefficients. This is the approach taken in [F, Prop. 8.1] in the related context of étale hypercovers, but this is messy and obscures in computation the underlying geometric explanation of the theorem. In the case of topological spaces, the isomorphism on fundamental groupoids was the subject of the paper [RT] (although they only dealt with Čech complexes, not hypercovers). The approach we take here, on the other hand, is very elementary. The idea is to reduce to the case of Čech covers in a clever way.

Our interest in these results arose from attempts to understand topological realization functors in the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes [MV]. Given an algebraic variety  $X$  defined over  $\mathbb{C}$ , there is an associated topological space  $X(\mathbb{C})$  obtained by giving  $X$  the analytic topology. Of course this should extend to a map of ‘homotopy theories’ from the Morel-Voevodsky category  $\text{Spc}(\mathbb{C})$  to the category of topological spaces. In [MV] this extension is only provided at the level of homotopy categories, but we are interested in extending it to the model category level. The key fact needed to make this work is precisely Theorem 1.2. This is worked out in detail in Section 5, following the basic program of [I] (also outlined in [D2, Rem. 8.2]). We also prove that taking analytic spaces for schemes defined over  $\mathbb{R}$  induces a Quillen map from  $\text{Spc}(\mathbb{R})$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant topological spaces.

Finally, we give in this paper several interesting corollaries to Theorem 1.2. On the whole these seem too disparate to recount in the introduction, but as an example let us mention two of them. We refer the reader to Sections 3 and 4 for more results like these.

**Corollary 1.3.** *Let  $E \rightarrow B$  be any map which is locally split (for example, a covering space), and form the associated Čech complex  $\check{C}(E)_*$  given by*

$$\check{C}(E)_n := E_B^{n+1} = E \times_B E \times_B \cdots \times_B E.$$

*Then the natural map  $\text{hocolim } \check{C}(E)_* \rightarrow B$  is a weak equivalence.*

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of a space  $X$  with the property that every finite intersection  $U_{a_0 \dots a_n}$  is covered by other elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ . Form the diagram consisting of all the  $U_a$ ’s and all the inclusions between them. Then the homotopy colimit of this diagram is weakly equivalent to  $X$ .*

The first corollary is an immediate consequence of Proposition 4.10, and the second is restated and proved as Proposition 4.6(c).

Using open covers to give homotopy decompositions for spaces, or to detect weak equivalences, is of course a classical topic. In addition to [S1] it is worthwhile to mention [Mc1], [Mc2], and [Dk]. Hypercovers were invented by Verdier in [SGA4, Expose V, Sec. 7], where they were used as a way of computing sheaf cohomology in arbitrary Grothendieck topologies.

We would like to express our thanks to Bill Dwyer, Phil Hirschhorn, Michael Mandell, and Jeff Smith for several useful conversations about these results.

**1.5. Notation, terminology, and other annoyances.** We assume that the reader is familiar with homotopy colimits, and in a few places also with the theory of model categories. The original reference for the latter is [Q], but we generally follow [H] in notation and terminology ([Ho] is also a good reference). Regarding homotopy colimits, [H] uses ‘ $\text{hocolim } D$ ’ to denote the result of applying a certain explicit formula to any diagram  $D$ . This has the disadvantage that the resulting object has the correct homotopy type only when the diagram consists entirely of cofibrant objects. We instead adopt the position that ‘ $\text{hocolim } D$ ’ should *always* denote the correct homotopy-invariant construction: it is obtained by first applying cofibrant-replacement to the objects in the diagram, and only then using the usual explicit formulas. In model-theoretic terms, homotopy colimit is the left derived functor of the ordinary colimit functor, when the category of diagrams is given the projective model structure (see below).

Having made the previous point, we now get to say that for topological spaces it isn’t really necessary. This is definitely a non-standard fact, but we’ve banished it to Appendix A so it won’t distract the reader from the general theme of the paper. On the other hand, it is a useful result and we’d like to call the reader’s attention to it: when taking homotopy colimits for diagrams of topological spaces one doesn’t first have to make all the spaces involved cofibrant. The usual formulas are already homotopy-invariant.

We review one last piece of machinery, used often in the body of the paper. Given a small category  $I$ , recall that there is a model structure on the category of diagrams  $s\text{Set}^I$  such that a map is a weak equivalence (resp., fibration) if it is so in every spot of the diagram [H, Sec. 13.8]. We call this the *projective* model structure on  $s\text{Set}^I$ , and the cofibrant diagrams have the property that the homotopy colimit and ordinary colimit are weakly equivalent.

Finally, some notation: Throughout this paper our open covers  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a\}$  are always indexed by a set  $A$ . In particular, we are allowing the possibility that  $U_a = U_{a'}$  for different values  $a \neq a'$ . For every finite set  $\sigma = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$  in  $A$ , we’ll write  $U_\sigma$  or  $U_{a_0 \dots a_n}$  for  $U_{a_0} \cap \dots \cap U_{a_n}$ . Also, once and for all we fix our model for  $\Delta^n$  as the subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$  consisting of  $(n+1)$ -tuples  $t = (t_0, \dots, t_n)$  such that  $0 \leq t_i \leq 1$  for all  $i$  and  $\sum_{i=0}^n t_i = 1$ . The symbol  $\mathcal{Top}$  denotes the category of *all* topological spaces—we don’t assume any hypotheses like compactly-generated.

## 2. ČECH COMPLEXES

The purpose of this section is to prove the following:

**Theorem 2.1.** *For any open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of a topological space  $X$ , the natural map  $\pi : |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

We start by recalling the following result and its corollary:

**Proposition 2.2** (Gray). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of spaces and let  $U$  and  $V$  form an open cover of  $Y$ . Suppose that the induced maps*

$$f^{-1}U \rightarrow U, \quad f^{-1}V \rightarrow V, \quad \text{and} \quad f^{-1}(U \cap V) \rightarrow U \cap V$$

*are all weak equivalences. Then  $X \rightarrow Y$  is also a weak equivalence.*

This is proven (in more generality) in [Gr, 16.24], using an elegant small-simplices argument. With enough technology it can also be done by a Whitehead-type theorem: it's easy to see that  $X \rightarrow Y$  is an isomorphism on  $\pi_0$ , a souped-up van Kampen theorem yields the isomorphism on  $\pi_1$ , and for homology with local coefficients one uses the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence. Gray's argument is much nicer, though.

**Corollary 2.3** (May). *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of spaces and let  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$  be an open cover of  $Y$ . Suppose that  $f^{-1}U_\sigma \rightarrow U_\sigma$  is a weak equivalence for every finite set  $\sigma$  of indices. Then  $X \rightarrow Y$  is also a weak equivalence.*

May deduces the generalization by a quick application of Zorn's Lemma [M2, Cor. 1.4]: look at the set of all opens  $W$  such that  $f^{-1}(W \cap U_\sigma) \rightarrow W \cap U_\sigma$  is a weak equivalence for all  $\sigma$ , including  $\sigma = \emptyset$ . This set has a maximal element, and Gray's result shows it must be  $X$ . In an earlier paper McCord proved a more general version of this result [Mc1, Th. 6], but the proof is quite a bit more complicated.

*Proof of Theorem 2.1.* Given any open set  $V$  in  $X$ , the space  $\pi^{-1}(V)$  is homeomorphic to the space  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U}')_*|$ , where  $\mathcal{U}'$  is the open cover  $\{U_\alpha \cap V\}$  of the space  $V$ . This definitely uses the fact that  $V$  is open.

We want to consider the maps  $\pi^{-1}(U_\sigma) \rightarrow U_\sigma$ , but in this case the cover  $\mathcal{U}'$  of  $U_\sigma$  actually contains the whole space  $U_\sigma$  as one of its elements. From the following lemma we know that under this condition  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U}')_*| \rightarrow U_\sigma$  is a weak equivalence; so by Corollary 2.3 the map  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence as well.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of  $X$  such that  $U_b = X$  for some index  $b$ . Then the natural map  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence (in fact, a homotopy equivalence).*

*Proof.* There is a section  $\chi: X \rightarrow |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$  obtained from the map  $U_b \otimes \Delta^0 \rightarrow |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$  and the identification  $U_b = X$ . We only need to show that  $\chi\pi$  is homotopic to the identity.

Let  $\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_* \times I$  be the simplicial space obtained by crossing all the levels of  $\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*$  with the unit interval. Then  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_* \times I|$  is the quotient

$$\left[ \coprod_{a_0 \cdots a_n} U_{a_0 \cdots a_n} \times \Delta^n \times I \right] / \sim$$

where the relations are the usual ones, not affecting the  $I$  factor at all. Define a map  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_* \times I| \rightarrow |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$  in the following way. Take an element  $(x, t_0, \dots, t_n, s)$  where  $x$  belongs to  $U_{a_0 \cdots a_n}$  and  $(t_0, \dots, t_n)$  belongs to  $\Delta^n$ , and send it to the element  $(x, 1 - s, st_0, \dots, st_n)$  in the factor  $U_{ba_0 \cdots a_n} \otimes \Delta^{n+1}$ . This definition respects the various identifications.

Now, there is also an obvious map  $f: |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_* \times I| \rightarrow |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \times I$  induced by sending  $(x, t, s)$  to  $((x, t), s)$ . We claim that this is a homeomorphism, thereby giving us a homotopy  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \times I \rightarrow |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$  between  $\chi\pi$  and the identity. The reason  $f$  is a homeomorphism is just because geometric realization and crossing with  $I$  are both left adjoints, and the right adjoints are easily seen to commute. It is important

that  $I$  and  $\Delta^n$  are locally compact Hausdorff so that the relevant mapping spaces with compact-open topologies have the correct adjointness properties.  $\square$

**2.5. Connection with Segal's results.** To close this section we make the connection between our Theorem 2.1 and the result proven in [S1]. Segal doesn't explicitly deal with Čech complexes, but the objects he deals with turn out to be homeomorphic to them. This connection will be needed later on.

Let  $A$  be the indexing set for a cover  $\mathcal{U}$ . We have already introduced the Čech complex  $\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*$ , but if  $A$  is given an ordering we may also consider the **ordered Čech complex**  $\check{C}^\circ(\mathcal{U})_*$  which is often easier to work with. This is the simplicial space given by  $\check{C}^\circ(\mathcal{U})_n = \coprod_{a_0 \cdots a_n} U_{a_0 \cdots a_n}$ , where the coproduct ranges over all *ordered* multi-indices in  $A$ . That is, we only consider multi-indices for which  $a_0 \leq a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_n$ . Note that there is an inclusion of simplicial spaces  $\check{C}^\circ(\mathcal{U})_* \rightarrow \check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** *The map  $\check{C}^\circ(\mathcal{U})_* \rightarrow \check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*$  induces a homotopy equivalence  $|\check{C}^\circ(\mathcal{U})_*| \rightarrow |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$ .*

*Proof.* For any (not necessarily ordered) multi-index  $a_0 \cdots a_n$ , there is a canonical reordering  $a_{\sigma 0} \cdots a_{\sigma n}$  such that  $a_{\sigma 0} \leq \cdots \leq a_{\sigma n}$ . If  $a_i = a_j$  for some  $i < j$ , then always choose  $\sigma i < \sigma j$ . This allows us to define an inverse map  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \rightarrow |\check{C}^\circ(\mathcal{U})_*|$ . If  $(x, t)$  is an element of  $U_{a_0 \cdots a_n} \otimes \Delta^n$ , then send  $(x, t)$  to the element  $(x, \sigma t)$  of  $U_{a_{\sigma 0} \cdots a_{\sigma n}} \otimes \Delta^n$ , where  $\sigma t$  is defined by  $(\sigma t)_i = t_{\sigma i}$ .

One composition is the equal to the identity. It remains to construct a homotopy  $H : |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \times I \rightarrow |\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$  between the other composition and the identity. As in the proof of Lemma 2.4, we use the space  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_* \times I|$  rather than  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*| \times I$ . We define  $H$  as follows: An element  $(x, t)$  of  $U_{a_0 \cdots a_n} \otimes \Delta^n$  is equivalent in  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$  to the element  $(x, t_0, \dots, t_n, 0, \dots, 0)$  of  $U_{a_0 \cdots a_n a_{\sigma 0} \cdots a_{\sigma n}} \otimes \Delta^{2n+1}$ . Also,  $(x, \sigma t)$  is equivalent in  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$  to the element  $(x, 0, \dots, 0, t_{\sigma 0}, \dots, t_{\sigma n})$  of  $U_{a_0 \cdots a_n a_{\sigma 0} \cdots a_{\sigma n}} \otimes \Delta^{2n+1}$ . Define  $H((x, t), s)$  to be the element

$$(x, st_0, \dots, st_n, (1-s)t_{\sigma 0}, \dots, (1-s)t_{\sigma n})$$

of  $U_{a_0 \cdots a_n a_{\sigma 0} \cdots a_{\sigma n}} \otimes \Delta^{2n+1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{U}$  be an open cover of a space  $X$  indexed by a set  $A$ . Consider the realization of the simplicial space*

$$[n] \mapsto \coprod_{\sigma_0 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \sigma_n} U_{\sigma_n},$$

*where the coproduct is indexed by chains of nonempty, finite subsets of  $A$ . This realization is homeomorphic to the realization  $|\check{C}^\circ(\mathcal{U})_*|$  of the ordered Čech complex and is homotopy equivalent to  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$ .*

The realization in the above proposition is the object considered in [S1]. The ordered Čech complex is another construction of the same space, which for us seems somewhat easier to work with. One disadvantage, of course, is that it is not natural: a total ordering on  $A$  must be chosen to begin with.

*Proof.* The second claim follows from the first claim and Proposition 2.6.

For the first claim, it is convenient to use a slightly unusual construction of  $|\check{C}^\circ(\mathcal{U})_*|$ . When forming the geometric realization, instead of forming Cartesian products with  $\Delta^k$  we instead form products with  $\text{sd } \Delta^k$ ; since they are homeomorphic it doesn't matter which one we use. Given this, the key observation is that we

can coordinatize  $\text{sd } \Delta^k$  in the following way: assuming that the vertices of  $\Delta^k$  are labelled by the numbers  $0, \dots, k$  in the usual way, a point on  $\text{sd } \Delta^k$  is represented uniquely by a chain of proper inclusions  $\sigma_0 \subset \dots \subset \sigma_j$  of subsets of  $\{0, \dots, k\}$  together with an element  $t$  of  $\Delta^j$ . Essentially, the chain of subsets determines in which sub-simplex the point lies, and then  $t$  gives local coordinates inside that sub-simplex.

Using this coordinate scheme, we can write down maps in both directions between the two realizations

$$\left[ \coprod_{\sigma_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \sigma_n} U_{\sigma_n} \times \Delta^n \right] / \sim \quad \text{and} \quad \left[ \coprod_{a_0 \leq \dots \leq a_k} U_{a_0 \dots a_k} \times \text{sd } \Delta^k \right] / \sim .$$

For instance, let's give the map from left to right. Using degeneracy relations, a point  $p$  in the left space can be represented by a chain of *proper* inclusions  $\sigma_0 \subset \dots \subset \sigma_n$ , a point  $x$  of  $U_{\sigma_n}$ , and an element  $t$  of  $\Delta^n$ . Let  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_k$  be the ordered list of elements of  $\sigma_n$ . The chain  $\sigma_*$  together with  $t$  defines a point  $s$  in  $\text{sd } \Delta^k$ , and so we map  $p$  to the pair  $(x, s)$ . It is easy to see that this map is well-defined and continuous, and just as easy to write down its inverse.  $\square$

In the case that  $\{U_a\}$  admits a partition of unity  $\{\psi_a\}$  it is fairly easy to see that the map  $\pi: |\check{C}^o(\mathcal{U})_*| \rightarrow X$  admits a section: First, a point  $x$  of  $X$  has a neighborhood which intersects the support of  $\psi_a$  only for finitely many indices  $a = a_0, \dots, a_n$ . The section  $\chi$  sends  $x$  to the point of  $|\check{C}^o(\mathcal{U})_*|$  represented by  $(x, t)$  in  $U_{a_0 \dots a_n} \otimes \Delta^n$  where  $t_i = \psi_{a_i}(x)$ . One has to check that  $\chi$  is continuous (use the local-finiteness of the partition of unity), and that  $\chi\pi \simeq id$  via a straight-line homotopy. See Proposition 4.1 of [S1].

### 3. PASSING TO HOMOTOPY COLIMITS

The results of the previous section all concerned geometric realizations. In this section we translate these into results about various homotopy colimits. In general, there is a 'Reedy cofibrancy' condition on simplicial spaces which guarantees that geometric realization and homotopy colimit agree. Unfortunately our Čech complexes are not Reedy cofibrant, due to the fact that the open sets appearing in them are not necessarily cofibrant spaces. However, Theorem A.8 shows that in the category of topological spaces this cofibrancy issue is unimportant: homotopy colimits can be computed naively, without first making things cofibrant. This fact saves the day.

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $\mathcal{U}$  is an open cover of a space  $X$ , then the natural map  $\text{hocolim } \check{C}(\mathcal{U})_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* By Theorem A.8, we can compute the homotopy colimit in the Strom model category. In this model structure the Čech complex is Reedy cofibrant (it has free degeneracies in the sense of Definition A.4), and so the realization already has the correct homotopy type. Theorem 2.1 now gives the result.  $\square$

Here are several alternative formulations:

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $A$  be an indexing set for the cover  $\mathcal{U}$ , and let  $\mathcal{P}_A$  denote the partially ordered set consisting of all nonempty finite subsets of  $A$ . Let  $\Gamma$  denote*

the functor  $\mathcal{P}_A^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}op$  which sends  $\sigma$  to  $U_\sigma$ . Then the natural map  $\text{hocolim } \Gamma \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.

*Proof.* To construct  $\text{hocolim } \Gamma$  we can take the realization of the simplicial replacement for  $\Gamma$  (by Theorem A.8 we don't need to first make the spaces cofibrant). That is, we take the realization of the simplicial space

$$[n] \mapsto \coprod_{\sigma_0 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \sigma_n} U_{\sigma_n},$$

where the coproduct is indexed by chains of nonempty, finite subsets of  $A$ . Now Proposition 2.7 tells us that this realization is homotopy equivalent to  $|\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*|$ , so Theorem 2.1 finishes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{U}$  denote the subcategory of  $\mathcal{J}op$  whose objects are the open sets  $U_a$  belonging to  $\mathcal{U}$  together with their finite intersections; the morphisms are the inclusions of open subsets of  $X$ . Let  $\Gamma$  denote the inclusion functor  $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}op$ . Then the natural map  $\text{hocolim } \Gamma \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* Consider the obvious functor  $F: \mathcal{P}_A^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{U}$  sending  $\sigma$  to  $U_\sigma$ . We will show that it is homotopy cofinal, so pick an object  $V$  in  $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{U}$  and look at the undercategory  $(V \downarrow F)$ . It suffices to show that any map  $K \rightarrow N(V \downarrow F)$  can be extended over the cone on  $K$ , as  $K$  ranges over all finite simplicial sets. Every  $n$ -simplex  $s$  in  $K$  maps to a chain of open sets  $V \rightarrow U_{\sigma_0} \rightarrow U_{\sigma_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow U_{\sigma_n}$  in  $(V \downarrow F)$ . Since  $K$  has only finitely-many non-degenerate simplices, only finitely-many of the  $U_\sigma$  will ever appear. Define  $\mu$  to be the union of all the  $\sigma_i$  arising from the map  $K \rightarrow N(V \downarrow F)$ . To extend the map over  $CK$ , we send the cone on  $s$  to the  $(n+1)$ -simplex corresponding to the chain  $V \rightarrow U_\mu \rightarrow U_{\sigma_0} \rightarrow U_{\sigma_1} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow U_{\sigma_n}$ .  $\square$

The following corollary was shown to us by Bill Dwyer. Let  $(\mathcal{J}op \downarrow X)_\mathcal{U}$  denote the full subcategory of  $(\mathcal{J}op \downarrow X)$  consisting of all maps  $Z \rightarrow X$  that factor through the space  $E = \coprod_a U_a$ . Let  $\Gamma: (\mathcal{J}op \downarrow X)_\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}op$  be the canonical functor sending  $Z \rightarrow X$  to  $Z$ . We would like to claim that the homotopy colimit of the diagram  $\Gamma$  is weakly equivalent to  $X$ , but  $(\mathcal{J}op \downarrow X)_\mathcal{U}$  is not a small category. So we choose an infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  larger than the size of  $E$  and restrict to the spaces  $Z$  that have at most  $\kappa$  elements. As the proof of the corollary indicates, the weak homotopy type of  $\text{hocolim } \Gamma$  is independent of the choice of  $\kappa$ , as long as  $\kappa$  is sufficiently large.

**Corollary 3.4.** *For the functor  $\Gamma: (\mathcal{J}op \downarrow X)_\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}op$  defined above, the natural map  $\text{hocolim } \Gamma \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* The  $n$ th level of the Čech complex is  $E_X^n := E \times_X E \times_X \dots \times_X E$  ( $n$  factors). Let's write  $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{J}op \downarrow X)_\mathcal{U}$ , for brevity. So we have the functor  $F: \Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  given by  $[n] \mapsto E_X^n$ . The composition  $\Delta^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}op$  is just  $\check{C}(\mathcal{U})_*$ . Because of Theorem 3.1, it will be enough to show that  $F$  is homotopy cofinal.

For this we pick an object  $z: Z \rightarrow X$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  and show that  $(z \downarrow F)$  is contractible. This undercategory is isomorphic to the category of simplices of  $K$ , where  $K$  is the simplicial set sending  $[n]$  to  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(z, E_X^n)$ . But observe that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(z, E_X^n)$  is equal to  $T^n$  where  $T = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(z, E_X)$ . So  $K$  is the simplicial set  $[n] \mapsto T^n$ , which is contractible because  $T$  is nonempty (using the fact that  $z: Z \rightarrow X$  factors through  $E$ ). Thus  $(z \downarrow F)$  is isomorphic to the category of simplices of a contractible simplicial set, and therefore has a contractible nerve.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5** (Small simplices theorem). *Let  $Sing_{\mathcal{U}}X$  denote the simplicial set whose  $n$ -simplices are the maps  $\Delta^n \rightarrow X$  that factor through some  $U_a$ . Then  $Sing_{\mathcal{U}}X \rightarrow Sing X$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{P}_A$  be the category defined in Proposition 3.2, where  $A$  is the indexing set for the cover. Consider the diagram  $\Gamma: \mathcal{P}_A^{op} \rightarrow sSet$  defined by  $\Gamma(\sigma) = Sing(U_\sigma)$ . By general nonsense  $|\mathrm{hocolim} \Gamma| \simeq \mathrm{hocolim} |\Gamma|$ . Also, there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{P}_A^{op}} |Sing U_\sigma| & \longrightarrow & |Sing X| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{hocolim}_{\mathcal{P}_A^{op}} U_\sigma & \longrightarrow & X \end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are weak equivalences because the natural map  $|Sing Y| \rightarrow Y$  is a weak equivalence for every space  $Y$ . We know from Proposition 3.2 that the bottom horizontal map is a weak equivalence, so the top horizontal map is also a weak equivalence. We conclude that the map  $\mathrm{hocolim} \Gamma \rightarrow Sing X$  is a weak equivalence of simplicial sets. Therefore, we shall compare  $\mathrm{hocolim} \Gamma$  and  $Sing_{\mathcal{U}}X$ .

For the moment, assume that  $A$  is finite. Notice that  $\mathcal{P}_A^{op}$  is a Reedy category [Ho, Def. 5.2.1], where we think of all the maps as being directed upward. Since there are no non-identity downward maps, the fibrations are objectwise in the Reedy model structure on  $sSet^{\mathcal{P}_A^{op}}$  (see [Ho, Th. 5.2.5]). So in this case the Reedy and projective model structures (cf. Section 1.5) are the same. In particular, a Reedy-cofibrant diagram is also projective-cofibrant, which guarantees that the homotopy colimit and the ordinary colimit are weakly equivalent.

The functor  $\Gamma$  may be checked to be Reedy cofibrant: at the spot indexed by  $\sigma = \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$ , the latching object is the subobject of  $Sing U_\sigma$  consisting of all simplices which are contained in some other  $U_b$ . The fact that it is actually a subobject says that the latching map is a cofibration. So we know that  $\mathrm{hocolim} \Gamma$  and  $\mathrm{colim} \Gamma$  are weakly equivalent. It is easy to check that  $\mathrm{colim} \Gamma \cong Sing_{\mathcal{U}}X$ . We have shown that if  $\mathcal{U}$  is a finite cover, then  $Sing_{\mathcal{U}}X$  is weakly equivalent to  $Sing X$ .

Now let  $A$  be arbitrarily large. For any finite subcollection  $\mathcal{U}'$ , let  $\cup \mathcal{U}'$  denote the union of the open sets in  $\mathcal{U}'$ . Then we know the map  $Sing_{\cup \mathcal{U}'}(\cup \mathcal{U}') \rightarrow Sing(\cup \mathcal{U}')$  is a weak equivalence. But  $Sing_{\mathcal{U}}X \rightarrow Sing X$  is the filtered colimit of these maps, where the indexing category is the poset of all finite subcollections  $\mathcal{U}'$ . This uses that each space  $\Delta^n$  is compact. Our result now follows from the fact that filtered colimits of simplicial sets preserve weak equivalences.  $\square$

#### 4. HYPERCOVERING THEOREMS

In this section we define hypercovers, and then prove our main result, Theorem 1.2. We go on to deduce various corollaries.

Before giving a rigorous definition of hypercovers, we need to recall a few pieces of machinery related to simplicial objects. For any category  $\mathcal{C}$ , let  $s\mathcal{C}$  denote the category of simplicial objects in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Likewise, let  $s_{\leq n}\mathcal{C}$  denote the category of truncated simplicial objects of dimension  $n$ . There is the obvious forgetful functor  $\mathrm{sk}_n: s\mathcal{C} \rightarrow s_{\leq n}\mathcal{C}$ , and if  $\mathcal{C}$  has all finite limits then  $\mathrm{sk}_n$  has a right adjoint called  $\mathrm{cosk}_n$ ; these are the **skeleton** and **coskeleton** functors. If  $U_*$  belongs to  $s\mathcal{C}$  then

we'll often abbreviate  $\text{cosk}_n(\text{sk}_n U)_*$  as just  $\text{cosk}_n U_*$ . Finally, the  **$n$ th matching object**  $M_n U$  is defined to be the  $n$ th object of  $\text{cosk}_{n-1} U_*$ . There is a canonical map of simplicial spaces  $U_* \rightarrow \text{cosk}_{n-1} U_*$ , and in level  $n$  it gives  $U_n \rightarrow M_n U$ . In levels less than  $n$ , this map is the identity. We write  $\text{cosk}_n^X$  for the  $n$ th coskeleton functor for  $s(\mathcal{T}op \downarrow X)$ .

These definitions have somewhat easier interpretations when  $\mathcal{C}$  is the category of topological spaces. To describe these, note that any simplicial set may be regarded as a simplicial space which is discrete in every dimension, and if  $U_*$  and  $W_*$  are simplicial spaces then the set of maps from  $U_*$  to  $W_*$  has a natural topology coming from the compact-open topology on function spaces. Using these observations, one checks that

- (i)  $U_n \cong \text{Map}(\Delta^n, U_*)$ ,
- (ii)  $[\text{cosk}_n U]_k \cong \text{Map}(sk_n \Delta^k, U_*)$ , and
- (iii)  $M_n U \cong \text{Map}(\partial \Delta^n, U_*)$ .

The first property is immediate from the Yoneda lemma. The second property follows from the first and the adjunction between  $\text{sk}_n$  and  $\text{cosk}_n$ . The third property is a special case of the second.

Finally, say that a map of spaces  $Z \rightarrow X$  is an **open covering map** if it is isomorphic to a map of the form  $\coprod_a U_a \rightarrow X$  where  $\{U_a\}$  is an open cover of  $X$ .

**Definition 4.1.** A **hypercover** of a space  $X$  is an augmented simplicial space  $U_* \rightarrow X$  such that the maps  $U_n \rightarrow M_n^X U$  are open covering maps for all  $n \geq 0$ . Here  $M_n^X U$  denotes the  $n$ th matching object of  $U_*$  computed in the category  $s(\mathcal{T}op \downarrow X)$  of simplicial spaces over  $X$ .

Note that  $M_0^X U \cong X$ , so the condition for  $n = 0$  says that  $U_0 \rightarrow X$  is an open covering map. Also  $M_1^X U \cong U_0 \times_X U_0$ , so when  $n = 1$  we are requiring  $U_1 \rightarrow U_0 \times_X U_0$  to be an open covering map. The reader should be aware that when  $n > 1$  the objects  $M_n U$  and  $M_n^X U$  turn out to be isomorphic, so one can forget about the extra complication of the overcategory.

Using properties (i)–(iii) above, it can be checked that if  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is a hypercover and  $K \rightarrow L$  is an inclusion of finite simplicial sets, then the map  $\text{Map}(L, U_*) \rightarrow \text{Map}(K, U_*)$  is also an open covering map. From this, it follows that  $\text{cosk}_n^X U_* \rightarrow X$  is a hypercover whenever  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is a hypercover. Also, each map  $U_k \rightarrow [\text{cosk}_n^X U]_k$  is an open covering map.

We leave it to the reader to check that in a hypercover each  $U_n$  must be a disjoint union of open subsets of  $X$ , and that Čech complexes are the hypercovers for which the maps  $U_n \rightarrow M_n^X U$  are all *isomorphisms*. Generalizing this, a hypercover  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is called **bounded** if there exists an  $N$  such that the maps  $U_n \rightarrow M_n^X U$  are isomorphisms for all  $n > N$ . The smallest such  $N$  for which this happens is called the dimension of the hypercover. Said intuitively, the bounded hypercovers of dimension  $N$  are the hypercovers for which the refinement process stops after the  $N$ th level. A hypercover  $U_* \rightarrow X$  has dimension at most  $N$  if and only if  $U_* \cong \text{cosk}_N^X U_*$ .

**Lemma 4.2.** *If  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is a bounded hypercover, then  $\text{hocolim } U_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

A more detailed version of the following proof, given in the context of an arbitrary Grothendieck topology, appears in [DHI].

*Proof.* We proceed by induction, starting from the fact that bounded hypercovers of dimension 0 are just Čech covers and therefore are handled by Theorem 3.1.

Suppose that  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is a bounded hypercover of dimension  $n + 1$ . Define  $V_*$  to be  $\text{cosk}_n U_*$ , so  $V_*$  is a bounded hypercover of dimension at most  $n$ . Therefore, we may assume by induction that  $\text{hocolim } V_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence. The canonical map  $U_* \rightarrow V_*$  gives an open covering map  $U_{n+1} \rightarrow V_{n+1}$ , by the very definition of what it means for  $U_*$  to be a hypercover (since  $V_{n+1} = M_{n+1}U$ ). In fact, one can check that  $U_k \rightarrow V_k$  is an open covering map for all  $k$ .

Consider the following bisimplicial object, augmented horizontally by  $V_*$ :

$$V_* \longleftarrow U_* \rightrightarrows U_* \times_{V_*} U_* \rightrightarrows \cdots$$

The  $k$ th row is the (augmented) Čech complex for the open covering map  $U_k \rightarrow V_k$ . Note that for  $0 \leq k \leq n$  the  $k$ th row is the constant simplicial object with value  $U_k$  because  $U_k \rightarrow V_k$  is the identity. Call this bisimplicial object (without the horizontal augmentation)  $W_{**}$ .

Let  $D_*$  denote the diagonal of  $W_{**}$ . Standard homotopy theory tells us that  $\text{hocolim } D_*$  may be computed (up to weak equivalence) by first taking the homotopy colimits of the rows of  $W_{**}$ , and then taking the homotopy colimits of the resulting simplicial object. But the homotopy colimit of the  $k$ th row is just  $V_k$  by Theorem 3.1. Since  $V_*$  is a bounded hypercover of dimension at most  $n$ , we have assumed that  $\text{hocolim } V_*$  is weakly equivalent to  $X$ . So  $\text{hocolim } D_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.

We claim that  $U_*$  is a retract, over  $X$ , of  $D_*$ . Note first that one has, in complete generality, a map  $U_* \rightarrow D_*$ ; in dimension  $k$  it is the unique horizontal degeneracy  $W_{0k} \rightarrow W_{kk}$ .

To produce a map  $D_* \rightarrow U_*$  it is enough to give  $\text{sk}_{n+1} D_* \rightarrow \text{sk}_{n+1} U_*$ , because  $U_* = \text{cosk}_{n+1} U_*$ . Notice that  $\text{sk}_n D_* = \text{sk}_n U_*$ . Choosing any face map  $[0] \rightarrow [n+1]$  gives a map  $W_{n+1, n+1} \rightarrow W_{0, n+1}$ , which is just  $D_{n+1} \rightarrow U_{n+1}$ . This induces a corresponding map  $\text{sk}_{n+1} D_* \rightarrow \text{sk}_{n+1} U_*$  as desired.

It is straightforward to check that  $U_* \rightarrow D_* \rightarrow U_*$  is the identity (because  $U_* = \text{cosk}_{n+1} U_*$  one only has to check it on  $(n+1)$ -skeleta), and all the maps commute with the augmentations down to  $X$ . We have already shown that  $\text{hocolim } D_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence. Since  $\text{hocolim } U_* \rightarrow X$  is a retract of  $\text{hocolim } D_* \rightarrow X$ , it must also be a weak equivalence.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.** *If  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is a hypercover then the maps  $\text{hocolim } U_* \rightarrow |U_*| \rightarrow X$  are all weak equivalences.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $\text{hocolim } U_* \rightarrow |U_*|$  is a weak equivalence follows just as in Theorem 3.1 for the case of Čech complexes: we may compute the homotopy colimit in the Strom model category, where the simplicial object  $U_*$  is Reedy cofibrant since it has free degeneracies (Definition A.4).

To show that  $|U_*| \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence, note first that we have an isomorphism  $\pi_k |U_*| \rightarrow \pi_k |\text{cosk}_{k+1} U_*|$ . (This is true for any map of simplicial spaces  $X_* \rightarrow Y_*$  which is an isomorphism on  $(k+1)$ -skeleta—an easy proof is to apply the singular functor everywhere to get into bisimplicial sets, then use the diagonal in place of realization.) But  $\text{cosk}_{k+1} U_*$  is a bounded hypercover, so Lemma 4.2 tells us that  $\pi_k |\text{cosk}_{k+1} U_*| \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_k X$ .  $\square$

**4.4. Complete covers.** In this section we don't quite consider hypercovers, but rather a related concept which captures the same phenomena. This second approach was suggested to us by Jeff Smith.

**Definition 4.5.** *An open cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_\alpha\}$  of a space  $X$  is called **complete** if for all finite sets  $\sigma$  of indices, the intersection  $U_\sigma$  is covered by elements of  $\mathcal{U}$ . It is called a **Čech cover** if every  $U_\sigma$  is again an element of the cover.*

Complete covers appear in [DT, Satz 2.2], where they were used in the context of identifying quasi-fibrations. The paper [Mc1] then used them to detect weak equivalences.

We blur the distinction between a cover and the full subcategory that it spans inside the category of open sets of  $X$ . Given a cover  $\mathcal{U}$ , we can construct an associated simplicial space in the following way: For any  $n \geq 0$ , let  $P_n$  denote the category of nonempty subsets of  $\{0, \dots, n\}$ , where the maps are the inclusions. Note that the assignment  $[n] \mapsto P_n$  defines a cosimplicial category in the obvious way. (Application of the nerve functor everywhere gives the cosimplicial space  $[n] \rightarrow \text{sd } \Delta^n$ .)

Define  $\Omega_*$  to be the simplicial space

$$[n] \mapsto \coprod_{F: P_n^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} F(\{0, \dots, n\}),$$

where the coproduct runs over all functors  $P_n^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ . The faces and degeneracies are induced by those in  $P$  in the expected way.

To give a point in  $\Omega_3$ , for example, is to give the following data:

- (1) A sequence of opens  $U_0, \dots, U_3$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,
- (2) 6 open subsets  $U_{01}, U_{02}, \dots, U_{23}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_{ij} \subseteq U_i \cap U_j$ ;
- (3) 4 open subsets  $U_{012}, \dots, U_{123}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  such that  $U_{ijk} \subseteq U_{ij} \cap U_{jk} \cap U_{ik}$ ;
- (4) An open subset  $U_{0123}$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  which is contained in all the  $U_{ijk}$ ;
- (5) A point on  $U_{0123}$ .

It is usually helpful to think of these open sets as indexed by the faces of a 3-simplex.

In forming the Čech complex of a cover  $\mathcal{U}$  we are throwing in all the finite intersections  $U_\sigma$  into the higher levels of the simplicial object, and these are typically objects which are not in  $\mathcal{U}$  itself. The simplicial object  $\Omega_*$  is in some sense the closest thing we can get to a Čech complex while requiring all the open sets to belong to  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**Proposition 4.6.**

- (a) *If the cover  $\mathcal{U}$  is complete then  $\Omega_*$  is a hypercover of  $X$ .*
- (b) *Regarding  $\mathcal{U}$  as a category, let  $\Gamma: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \text{Top}$  be the obvious inclusion. Then  $\text{hocolim } \Gamma \simeq |\Omega_*|$ .*
- (c) *If the cover  $\mathcal{U}$  is complete then the natural map  $\text{hocolim } \Gamma \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* For part (a), consider the full subcategory  $\bar{P}_n$  of  $P_n$  consisting of all objects except for  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then the matching space  $M_n \Omega$  is equal to

$$\coprod_{\bar{F}: \bar{P}_n^{op} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}} \left[ \bigcap_{\sigma \in \bar{P}_n} \bar{F}(\sigma) \right].$$

For example, a point in  $M_3 \Omega$  is determined by the data in (1)–(3) above, together with a point in  $U_{012} \cap U_{013} \cap U_{023} \cap U_{123}$ .

Since the cover is complete, for each functor  $\bar{F}: \bar{P}_n^{opp} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  and each element  $x$  of  $\bigcap_{\sigma \in \bar{P}_n} \bar{F}(\sigma)$ , there exists an extension  $F$  of  $\bar{F}$  to  $P_n^{opp}$  such that  $x$  belongs to  $F(\{0, \dots, n\})$ . This shows that  $\Omega_n \rightarrow M_n \Omega$  is an open covering map, which finishes part (a).

Part (b) is almost trivial, given the right machinery. To form hocolim  $\Gamma$  we can work in the Strom model structure on  $\mathcal{J}op$  (see Appendix A), where we first take the simplicial replacement

$$[n] \mapsto \coprod_{U_0 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow U_n} U_0$$

and then form the realization. Here the coproduct is indexed over all functors  $\Delta^n \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , where  $\Delta^n$  denotes the category of  $n$  composable maps. Note that  $\Omega_*$  was formed in almost the same way as the simplicial replacement of  $\Gamma$ , except we indexed the coproduct by functors  $P_n^{opp} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ . Each  $P_n$  is essentially just a subdivision of  $\Delta^n$ , so it's not surprising that  $|\Omega_*|$  is another model of the homotopy colimit.

In somewhat more detail: Let  $sd'$  denote the 'opposite' of the usual subdivision functor on  $sSet$ , in which the orientations of all the simplices have been changed so that they point away from the barycentres, rather than towards them. (We need this because we are using  $P_n^{opp}$  rather than  $P_n$ .) The functor  $sd'$  has a right adjoint  $Ex'$ . There is a natural 'first vertex map'  $sd' K \rightarrow K$ , inducing  $K \rightarrow Ex' K$ . Given our diagram  $\Gamma: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}op$ , the realization of the simplicial replacement is isomorphic to the coend  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} B$ , where  $B: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow sSet$  sends  $U_a$  to the classifying space  $B(U_a \downarrow \mathcal{U})$ . Likewise, one checks that the realization of  $\Omega_*$  is isomorphic to the coend  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} Ex' B$ , where  $Ex' B$  is the obvious composite functor. The natural map  $B \rightarrow Ex' B$  is an objectwise weak equivalence. The object  $B$  of  $sSet^{\mathcal{U}}$  is cofibrant (see [H, Cor. 15.8.8]), where this diagram category has the projective model structure described in Section 1.5. The exact same arguments show that  $Ex' B$  is also cofibrant in this structure. So we have an objectwise weak equivalence between two cofibrant diagrams. The diagram  $\Gamma: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{J}op$  is objectwise cofibrant (since we are working with the Strom model structure on  $\mathcal{J}op$ ), and so by [H, Cor. 19.3.5] it follows that  $\Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} B \rightarrow \Gamma \otimes_{\mathcal{U}} Ex' B$  is a weak equivalence.

Finally, part (c) is an immediate consequence of (a), (b), and Theorem 4.3.  $\square$

The following corollary was originally proven by McCord [Mc1, Th. 6], but is an easy consequence of our hypercovering theorem. It generalizes May's result from Corollary 2.3, which handled the case of Čech covers. For the proof we will need the following observations: (1) If  $U \rightarrow X$  is an open covering map and  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is any map, there is an induced open covering map  $Y \times_X U \rightarrow Y$ . (2) If  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is a hypercover and  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is a map of spaces, one gets a hypercover  $f^{-1}U_* \rightarrow Y$  whose space in level  $n$  is  $Y \times_X U_n$ .

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of spaces. Suppose there is a complete cover  $\mathcal{U} = \{U_a\}$  of  $Y$  such that each  $f^{-1}(U_a) \rightarrow U_a$  is a weak equivalence. Then  $f$  itself is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* From  $\mathcal{U}$  form the associated hypercover  $\Omega_*^Y$  as described in the paragraph preceding Proposition 4.6. Pulling this back to  $X$  gives a hypercover  $\Omega_*^X := f^{-1}\Omega_*^Y$ , as described above (note that this is *not* the hypercover associated to the covering  $\{f^{-1}U_a\}$ ). Now  $f$  induces a map  $\Omega_*^X \rightarrow \Omega_*^Y$  compatible with the augmentations. This map of simplicial spaces is a levelwise weak equivalence, by assumption. Upon

taking homotopy colimits we get

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{hocolim} \Omega_*^X & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{hocolim} \Omega_*^Y \\ \sim \downarrow & & \downarrow \sim \\ X & \longrightarrow & Y, \end{array}$$

and so we conclude that  $X \rightarrow Y$  is also a weak equivalence.  $\square$

**4.8. Generalized hypercovers for topological spaces.** Up until now we have only considered open covers, but now we turn to a broader notion. We'll say that a map  $p: E \rightarrow B$  of spaces is a **generalized cover** if it is locally split: that is, every element of  $B$  has a neighborhood  $U$  such that  $p^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$  admits a section. Observe that covering spaces, and in fact fibre bundles in general, are generalized covers. The point for us is that generalized covers and open covers generate the same Grothendieck topology on topological spaces.

**Definition 4.9.** *An augmented simplicial space  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is a **generalized hypercover** of  $X$  if the maps  $U_n \rightarrow M_n^X U$  are generalized covers.*

**Proposition 4.10.** *If  $U_*$  is a generalized hypercover of  $X$  then  $\mathrm{hocolim} U_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence.*

*Proof.* Results from [DHI], in the context of an arbitrary Grothendieck topology, show that this is a consequence of Theorem 4.3. The essential point is that generalized hypercovers can all be refined by open hypercovers.

To deduce this from the results of [DHI] we do the following: Pick a regular cardinal  $\lambda$  larger than the size of all the sets in  $U_*$ . Let  $\mathcal{Top}_\lambda$  denote the category of topological spaces of size less than  $\lambda$ , and make it into a Grothendieck site via the usual notion of open cover. Form the universal model category  $U(\mathcal{Top}_\lambda)$  (see the paper [D2]) and localize it with respect to the set  $S$  consisting of all maps  $\mathrm{hocolim} V_* \rightarrow X$ , where  $V_* \rightarrow X$  is an open hypercover. Theorem 4.3 implies that there is a 'realization map'  $U(\mathcal{Top}_\lambda)/S \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}$ . The results of [DHI] say that in  $U(\mathcal{Top}_\lambda)/S$  one actually knows that  $\mathrm{hocolim} U_* \rightarrow X$  is a weak equivalence for all *generalized* hypercovers  $U_*$ , and then applying our realization functor tells us this must hold in  $\mathcal{Top}$  as well.  $\square$

Corollary 1.3 is an immediate consequence of the above proposition.

**Example 4.11.** Let  $G$  be a topological group and consider the usual covering space  $\xi: EG \rightarrow BG$ . Form the Čech complex  $\check{C}(\xi)_*$ , which is a generalized hypercover of  $BG$ . Using only the fact that  $EG$  has a free  $G$ -action, one can see that the  $n$ th level of  $\check{C}(\xi)_*$  is homeomorphic to  $G^n \times EG$ , and the face and degeneracy maps are the familiar ones of the two-sided bar construction  $B(*, G, EG)$ . Now using that  $EG$  is contractible, we find that  $\check{C}(\xi)_*$  is levelwise weakly equivalent to the simplicial space

$$* \rightrightarrows G \rightrightarrows G \times G \cdots .$$

The above proposition tells us that  $|\check{C}(\xi)_*| \simeq BG$ , and so in this way we recover the usual bar construction for  $BG$ .

5. TOPOLOGICAL REALIZATION FUNCTORS FOR  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -HOMOTOPY THEORY

Let  $k$  be a field. Morel and Voevodsky [MV] produced a model category  $\mathrm{Spc}(k)$  which captures the ‘motivic homotopy theory’ of smooth schemes over  $k$ . Here  $\mathrm{Spc}(k)$  stands for ‘spaces over  $k$ ’. It is the category of simplicial presheaves on the Nisnevich site of smooth schemes over  $\mathrm{Spec} k$ .

When  $k$  comes with an embedding  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , then any  $k$ -scheme  $X$  gives rise to a topological space  $X(\mathbb{C})$  consisting of its  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued points with the analytic topology. A natural expectation is to use this functor to relate  $\mathrm{Spc}(k)$  to the usual model category  $\mathcal{T}op$  of topological spaces. Morel and Voevodsky showed how to extend this functor on the level of homotopy categories (by somewhat awkward methods), but they didn’t produce functors at the model category level. In this section we use Proposition 4.10 to produce such functors, with the small provision that we have to replace  $\mathrm{Spc}(k)$  with a Quillen-equivalent variant. We also address the situation when  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , in which case one can construct topological realization functors into  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant spaces.

As in [D2], a Quillen pair  $L: \mathcal{M} \rightleftarrows \mathcal{N}: R$  will be called a *Quillen map*  $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ .

**5.1. The Complex case.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote either the Zariski, Nisnevich, or étale Grothendieck topology on the category  $Sm/k$  of smooth  $k$ -schemes. In the terminology of [D2], let  $\mathrm{Spc}'(k)_{\mathcal{T}}$  denote the universal model category built from  $Sm/k$  subject to the following relations:

- (1)  $X \amalg Y \xrightarrow{\sim} (X \cup Y)$  (here  $\amalg$  denotes the coproduct in our model category, whereas  $\cup$  denotes disjoint union of schemes);
- (2)  $\mathrm{hocolim} U_* \xrightarrow{\sim} X$  for any  $\mathcal{T}$ -hypercover  $U_*$  of a smooth scheme  $X$  (called ‘basal hypercovers’ in [DHI]);
- (3)  $X \times \mathbb{A}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} X$ .

(Relation (1) is morally a special case of (2), but must be included separately for technical reasons—see [DHI]).

The model categories  $\mathrm{Spc}(k)_{\mathcal{T}}$  and  $\mathrm{Spc}'(k)_{\mathcal{T}}$  have the same underlying category and the same class of weak equivalences, but differ in their notions of cofibration and fibration. They are injective and projective versions of the same homotopy theory.

**Theorem 5.2.** *There are Quillen maps  $\mathrm{Spc}'(k)_{et} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}op$  and  $\mathrm{Spc}'(k)_{Nis} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}op$  sending a smooth  $k$ -scheme  $X$  to  $X(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* By general nonsense from [D2], to give a Quillen map  $\mathrm{Spc}'(k)_{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}op$  we just need to give a functor  $Sm/k \rightarrow \mathcal{T}op$  which respects the above relations. The functor we’re interested in is  $X \mapsto X(\mathbb{C})$ , and this clearly preserves relations (1) and (3). In the case of the étale topology, the fact that it preserves relation (2) is just Proposition 4.10; the point is that if  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is an étale cover, then  $p(\mathbb{C}): E(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{C})$  satisfies the hypotheses of the inverse function theorem and hence is locally split.

Since the étale topology is finer than the Nisnevich topology, there is an obvious map  $\mathrm{Spc}'(k)_{Nis} \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}'(k)_{et}$  (in essence, there are more relations of type (2) for the étale topology). So one also gets a topological realization map  $\mathrm{Spc}'(k)_{Nis} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}op$  by composition.  $\square$

It is possible to show that the functor  $X \mapsto X(\mathbb{C})$  takes elementary distinguished squares [MV] to homotopy pushout squares of topological spaces. Together with results of [B], this can be used to give an alternative proof of the above theorem for the Nisnevich topology.

**5.3. The Real case.** If we have a Real field  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , then the space  $X(\mathbb{C})$  comes equipped with an action of the group  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{C}/\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{Z}_2$ . So we might hope to compare  $\text{Spc}'(k)$  to a model category of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant spaces.

Recall that if  $G$  is a finite group then there are two notions of weak equivalence for  $G$ -spaces, called the **non-equivariant** and  **$G$ -equivariant** equivalences. An equivariant map  $X \rightarrow Y$  is a non-equivariant equivalence if it is a weak equivalence after forgetting the equivariant structure, and it is a  $G$ -equivariant equivalence if  $X^H \rightarrow Y^H$  is a non-equivariant weak equivalence for every subgroup  $H \subseteq G$ . There are associated  $G$ -equivariant and non-equivariant model structures on the category of  $G$ -spaces, which we will denote  $\mathcal{Top}(G)$  and  $\mathcal{Top}(G)_{\text{non}}$ .

If  $p: E \rightarrow B$  is an equivariant map which is also a covering space (non-equivariantly), the map  $\text{hocolim } \check{C}(E)_* \rightarrow B$  is a non-equivariant equivalence but not necessarily a  $G$ -equivariant equivalence. For instance, if  $p$  is  $G \rightarrow *$  then the map  $\text{hocolim } \check{C}(E)_* \rightarrow B$  is equal to  $EG \rightarrow *$ . So when we have a subfield  $k \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}$  the arguments given above show that the functor  $X \mapsto X(\mathbb{C})$  induces a Quillen map  $\text{Spc}'(k)_{\text{et}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}(\mathbb{Z}_2)_{\text{non}}$ , but not a Quillen map  $\text{Spc}'(k)_{\text{et}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$ . However, when we use the Nisnevich topology something special happens.

**Lemma 5.4.** *If  $E \rightarrow B$  is a Nisnevich cover of  $k$ -schemes, then  $E(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}_2} \rightarrow B(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is locally split.*

For a counterexample to this in the case of étale covers, try  $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* First note that  $X(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is homeomorphic to  $X(\mathbb{R})$  for any scheme  $X$  over  $k$ . The map  $p(\mathbb{R}) : E(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow B(\mathbb{R})$  is surjective by the defining property of Nisnevich covers; every  $\mathbb{R}$ -point in  $B$  lifts to  $E$ .

By definition of étale covers,  $p(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies the hypothesis of the inverse function theorem. Since  $p(\mathbb{R})$  is surjective, it is locally split.  $\square$

**Theorem 5.5.** *There is a Quillen map  $\text{Spc}'(k)_{\text{Nis}} \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}(\mathbb{Z}_2)$  sending a smooth  $k$ -scheme  $X$  to  $X(\mathbb{C})$ .*

*Proof.* The argument exactly parallels the non-equivariant case in Theorem 5.2, so the only nontrivial part is to show that if  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is a Nisnevich hypercover then the map  $\text{hocolim } U_*(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -equivariant weak equivalence of  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -spaces. The fact that it is a non-equivariant equivalence has already been discussed in Theorem 5.2, because  $U_* \rightarrow X$  is in particular an étale hypercover. So we must consider what happens when we take  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ -fixed points.

It is a fact that for any diagram  $D$  of  $G$ -spaces ( $G$  any finite group) and any subgroup  $H$  of  $G$ , one has  $(\text{hocolim } D)^H \simeq (\text{hocolim } D^H)$  (see Remark 5.6 below). So we just need to convince ourselves that  $\text{hocolim } \{U_*(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}\} \rightarrow X(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is a non-equivariant weak equivalence. But by the above lemma one sees that  $U_*(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$  is a generalized hypercover of  $X(\mathbb{C})^{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ , and so the result is an instance of Proposition 4.10.  $\square$

**Remark 5.6.** In the above proof we needed the fact that  $(\text{hocolim } D)^H$  is weakly equivalent to  $\text{hocolim } (D^H)$ . This is well-known in equivariant topology, but it's

hard to find an actual reference. We give a brief sketch, for which we are grateful to Michael Mandell.

First of all, it clearly suffices to consider the case where all the  $D_i$  are cofibrant. This means in particular that they are Hausdorff. We form hocolim  $D$  by first writing down the simplicial replacement of the diagram, and then taking geometric realization. Taking  $H$ -fixed points obviously commutes with the simplicial replacement functor, so it suffices to worry about the geometric realization part. But one can check that if  $X_*$  is a simplicial space in which all  $X_n$  are Hausdorff, then  $|X_*|^H$  is homeomorphic to  $|X_*^H|$ . To do this, use the skeletal filtration on  $|X_*|$  and the fact that  $|\mathrm{Sk}_n X_*|$  is obtained from  $|\mathrm{Sk}_{n-1} X_*|$  by pushing out along a closed inclusion (this is one of the places where the Hausdorff condition is needed). Check that taking fixed-points commutes with filtered colimits, and for Hausdorff spaces it also commutes with pushouts along closed inclusions.

#### APPENDIX A. HOMOTOPY COLIMITS FOR DIAGRAMS OF NON-COFIBRANT SPACES

Let  $\mathcal{Top}$  denote the category of all topological spaces, with its usual model category structure. Given a diagram  $D: I \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}$ , the usual instructions for computing the homotopy colimit of  $D$  are (1) to apply a cofibrant-replacement functor to every object in the diagram, and (2) to then use an explicit formula like that of Bousfield-Kan [BK, Sec. XII.2]. This is the situation in an arbitrary model category. In this section we show that for the special case of  $\mathcal{Top}$ , the first step of cofibrant-replacement is actually not needed. What we show is that no matter what formula one uses for computing homotopy colimits—whether it is the Bousfield-Kan formula or your favorite alternative—that formula always gives a homotopy invariant construction in  $\mathcal{Top}$ , even without the cofibrant-replacement step. This fact seems not to be well known, although it could be argued that the seeds lie there in the collective subconscious of algebraic topologists. In any case, for our purposes here we need to bring it into the light of day.

The most useful way to formulate this result seems to be in model category terms, as a comparison between the usual model structure on  $\mathcal{Top}$  and the Strom model structure, where everything is cofibrant. See Theorem A.8.

We would like to thank Phil Hirschhorn for helpful conversations about the results in this section, in particular for his ideas on removing an annoying  $T_1$  separation condition. The final form of Lemmas A.2 and A.3 is something we owe to him.

To begin with, we need the following

**Lemma A.1.** *Let  $A \rightarrow B$  and  $X \rightarrow Y$  be weak equivalences. Given a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A \times D^n & \longleftarrow & A \times S^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B \times D^n & \longleftarrow & B \times S^{n-1} & \longrightarrow & Y, \end{array}$$

where the maps in the left-hand-square are the obvious ones, the induced map from the pushout of the top row to the pushout of the bottom row is also a weak equivalence.

Note that if  $A$  and  $B$  are cofibrant then this is an easy consequence of left-properness for  $\mathcal{Top}$ , but we claim the result in greater generality.

*Proof.* Let  $X_A$  and  $Y_B$  be the pushouts of the top and bottom rows respectively, and write  $f: X_A \rightarrow Y_B$  for the map between them. We will produce a suitable cover of these spaces and use Proposition 2.2.

Let  $U_B$  be the pushout of

$$B \times (D^n - \{0\}) \longleftarrow B \times S^{n-1} \longrightarrow Y.$$

Write  $D_\epsilon$  for  $\{x \in D^n : |x| < \epsilon\}$  (where  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ ), and let  $V_B = B \times D_\epsilon$ . The spaces  $U_B$  and  $V_B$  clearly form an open cover of  $Y_B$ , and notice that  $U_B$  deformation-retracts down to  $Y$ . The intersection  $U_B \cap V_B$  is equal to  $B \times (D_\epsilon - \{0\})$ .

The same definitions give us a cover  $\{U_A, V_A\}$  of  $X_A$ , and it is easy to check that  $f^{-1}(U_B) = U_A$  and  $f^{-1}(V_B) = V_A$ . So the map  $f^{-1}(V_B) \rightarrow V_B$  is the map  $A \times D_\epsilon \rightarrow B \times D_\epsilon$ , which is a weak equivalence. Similar reasoning shows that  $f^{-1}(U_B \cap V_B) \rightarrow U_B \cap V_B$  is a weak equivalence. Finally, one argues that  $f^{-1}(U_B) \rightarrow U_B$  is a weak equivalence because it deformation-retracts down to  $X \rightarrow Y$ . Proposition 2.2 now shows that  $X_A \rightarrow Y_B$  is a weak equivalence.  $\square$

We'll say that an inclusion  $Y \hookrightarrow Z$  is **relatively  $T_1$**  if given any open set  $U$  in  $Y$  and any point  $z$  of  $Z \setminus U$ , there is an open set  $W$  of  $Z$  such that  $U \subseteq W$  and  $z \notin W$  (compare the similar definition from [Ho, p. 50]). It follows that if  $E$  is any finite subset of  $Z \setminus U$ , one can find an open set  $W \subseteq Z$  which contains  $U$  and doesn't intersect  $E$ . Note that a space  $X$  is  $T_1$  precisely if all the inclusions  $\{x\} \hookrightarrow X$  are relatively  $T_1$ .

**Lemma A.2.** *Given a pushout diagram of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \times S^n & \longrightarrow & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cdots \\ A \times D^{n+1} & \dashrightarrow & Z, \end{array}$$

*the inclusion  $Y \hookrightarrow Z$  is relatively  $T_1$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose given a point  $z$  in  $Z$  and an open  $U$  in  $Y$ . Either  $z$  is in  $Y$  or else it is represented by a pair  $(a, t)$  where  $t$  is in the interior of  $D^{n+1}$ . The argument works the same for the two cases, and so for convenience we'll assume the latter.

Pull back  $U$  to  $A \times S^n$  and express it as a union of rectangles  $V_i \times W_i$ , where  $V_i$  is open in  $A$  and  $W_i$  is open in  $S^n$ . Each  $W_i$  can be fattened into an open subset  $W'_i$  of  $D^{n+1}$  with the properties that  $W'_i \cap S^n = W_i$  and  $W'_i$  does not contain  $t$ .

Let  $M$  be the union of the  $V_i \times W'_i$ ; it is an open subset of  $A \times D^{n+1}$ . Let  $N$  be the union of the images of  $M$  and  $U$  in  $Z$ . One checks that  $N \cap Y = U$ , and the pullback of  $N$  to  $A \times D^{n+1}$  is  $M$ . So  $N$  is open in  $Z$  and  $N$  contains  $U$ , but  $N$  does not contain  $z$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is well-known for closed inclusions of  $T_1$ -spaces (see also [Ho, Prop. 2.4.2]). The usual proof still works in our case.

**Lemma A.3.** *Suppose that  $Y_1 \hookrightarrow Y_2 \hookrightarrow \dots$  is a sequence of relatively  $T_1$  inclusions and that  $K$  is a compact space. Then any map  $f: K \rightarrow \text{colim } Y$  factors through some  $Y_k$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose the map does not factor through any  $Y_k$ . By taking a subsequence of  $Y$  if necessary, we can find a sequence of points  $k_1, k_2, \dots$  in  $K$  with the property that  $f(k_i)$  lies in  $Y_i \setminus Y_{i-1}$ .

Pick an  $n$  and set  $V_n = Y_n$ . Next, choose an open set  $V_{n+1}$  in  $Y_{n+1}$  which contains  $V_n$  but doesn't contain  $f(k_{n+1})$ . Then pick an open set  $V_{n+2}$  in  $Y_{n+2}$  which contains  $V_{n+1}$  but neither  $f(k_{n+1})$  nor  $f(k_{n+2})$ . Continuing this process gives an infinite sequence of opens, so their colimit  $W_n$  is an open subset of  $\text{colim } Y$ .

As  $n$  varies, the open subspaces  $W_n$  form a cover of  $\text{colim } Y$ . But  $f(K)$  is a compact subspace of  $\text{colim } Y$ , and it is not covered by any finite subcover. This is a contradiction.  $\square$

We now need some machinery related to simplicial spaces.

**Definition A.4.** A simplicial space  $X_*$  is said to be *split*, or to have *free degeneracies*, if there exist subspaces  $N_k \hookrightarrow X_k$  such that the canonical map

$$\coprod_{\sigma} N_{\sigma} \rightarrow X_k$$

is an isomorphism. Here the variable  $\sigma$  ranges over all surjective maps in  $\Delta$  of the form  $[k] \rightarrow [n]$ ,  $N_{\sigma}$  denotes a copy of  $N_n$ , and the map  $N_{\sigma} \rightarrow X_k$  is the one induced by  $\sigma^*: X_n \rightarrow X_k$  (see [AM, Def. 8.1]).

The idea is that the spaces  $N_k$  represent the ‘non-degenerate’ part of  $X_k$ , sitting inside of  $X_k$  as a direct summand. It is an easy exercise to check that if  $X_*$  has free degeneracies and all the  $N_k$  are cofibrant spaces, then  $X_*$  is Reedy cofibrant in  $s\mathcal{J}op$ .

If  $X_*$  is any simplicial space, let  $\text{Sk}_n X_*$  be the simplicial space equaling  $X_*$  through dimension  $n$  and equaling the degenerate subspaces of  $X_*$  in larger dimensions. This is slightly different than the  $n$ -truncated simplicial space  $\text{sk}_n X_*$ . There are maps  $\text{Sk}_0 X_* \rightarrow \text{Sk}_1 X_* \rightarrow \cdots$  and the colimit is  $X_*$ . It follows that  $|X_*|$  is equal to  $\text{colim}_n |\text{Sk}_n X_*|$ , using that geometric realization is a left adjoint (and this doesn't require any assumptions on  $X$ , only hinging upon the fact that the spaces  $\Delta^n$  are locally compact Hausdorff). An important point is that when  $X_*$  has free degeneracies the space  $|\text{Sk}_n X_*|$  is obtained from  $|\text{Sk}_{n-1} X_*|$  via the pushout diagram

$$(A.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N_n \times \partial\Delta^n & \longrightarrow & |\text{Sk}_{n-1} X_*| \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{dotted} \\ N_n \times \Delta^n & \dashrightarrow & |\text{Sk}_n X_*|. \end{array}$$

**Proposition A.5.** Let  $X_*$  be a simplicial space with free degeneracies. If  $K$  is a compact space then any map  $K \rightarrow |X_*|$  factors through some  $|\text{Sk}_n X_*|$ .

*Proof.* This is a direct application of Lemmas A.2 and A.3, using the skeletal filtration of  $|X_*|$  and the pushout square (A.1).  $\square$

The following corollary is the crucial ingredient for Theorem A.8. It is very similar to things in the literature, notably [M1, Th. 11.13] and [S2, Lem. A.5]. May's result assumes the spaces are compactly-generated and Hausdorff, and also that the realizations are simply-connected. Segal's result is more similar to ours, and the proofs follow the same pattern, but he works with homotopy equivalences rather than weak equivalences.

**Corollary A.6.** If  $X_* \rightarrow Y_*$  is a map of simplicial spaces with free degeneracies such that  $X_n \rightarrow Y_n$  is a weak equivalence for each  $n$ , then  $|X_*| \rightarrow |Y_*|$  is also a weak equivalence.

*Proof.* For every  $k$  and every basepoint  $*$  of  $X_0$ , there is an isomorphism

$$\operatorname{colim}_n \pi_k(|\operatorname{Sk}_n X_*|, *) \rightarrow \pi_k(|X_*|, *)$$

(and the same statement holds with  $X_*$  replaced by  $Y_*$ ). This follows from Proposition A.5, taking  $K$  to be a sphere. Therefore, it suffices to show that  $|\operatorname{Sk}_n X_*| \rightarrow |\operatorname{Sk}_n Y_*|$  is a weak equivalence. Using induction, this follows from the pushout square (A.1) and Lemma A.1.  $\square$

Recall that the Strom model category is a model structure for topological spaces, denoted  $\mathcal{Top}^S$ , in which the weak equivalences are homotopy equivalences and the cofibrations (resp., fibrations) are the Hurewicz cofibrations (resp., fibrations). Note that all objects are cofibrant in this structure.

**Proposition A.7.** *The Strom model category is left proper and simplicial.*

*Proof.* Left properness is automatic when all objects are cofibrant [H, Cor. 11.1.3]. The simplicial action is of course given by  $A \otimes K \cong A \times |K|$ . To establish the simplicial structure we use the reductions outlined in [D1, Sec. 3]. If  $A \rightarrow B$  is a Hurewicz cofibration and  $K \rightarrow L$  is a cofibration of simplicial sets, then  $|K| \rightarrow |L|$  is a closed cofibration and therefore the map

$$A \otimes L \coprod_{A \otimes K} B \otimes K \rightarrow B \otimes L$$

is a cofibration by [L, Cor. 1]. If  $A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$  is a Hurewicz cofibration and a homotopy equivalence, then certainly  $A \otimes K \rightarrow B \otimes K$  is still a homotopy equivalence. And if  $K \xrightarrow{\sim} L$  is a trivial cofibration of simplicial sets then  $|K| \rightarrow |L|$  is actually a homotopy equivalence, hence  $A \otimes K \rightarrow A \otimes L$  is also a homotopy equivalence.  $\square$

**Theorem A.8.** *Let  $D: I \rightarrow \mathcal{Top}$  be a diagram of spaces. Then the homotopy colimits of  $D$  as computed in  $\mathcal{Top}$  and  $\mathcal{Top}^S$  have the same weak homotopy type.*

*Proof.* In  $\mathcal{Top}^S$ , since all objects are cofibrant, we can compute  $\operatorname{hocolim} D$  by first taking the simplicial replacement of  $D$  and then applying the realization functor. In  $\mathcal{Top}$  we first apply a cofibrant-replacement functor to all the objects in the diagram, and only then do we take simplicial replacement and realize. Simplicial replacements always have free degeneracies (see [D2, Proof of Lem. 2.7]), hence Corollary A.6 applies.  $\square$

**Remark A.9.** Theorem A.8 also holds if one uses the category of compactly-generated, weak Hausdorff spaces with its usual model structure. The same proofs work, with some extra caution that the various colimits are what they're supposed to be.

## REFERENCES

- [SGA4] M. Artin, A. Grothendieck, and J.L. Verdier, *Théorie des Topos et Cohomologie Étale des Schémas*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **270**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [AM] M. Artin and B. Mazur, *Étale homotopy*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **100**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
- [B] B. Blander, *Local projective model structures on simplicial presheaves*, K-theory, to appear.
- [BK] A.K. Bousfield and D.M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions, and localizations*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **304**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.

- [Dk] T. tom Dieck, *Partitions of unity in homotopy theory*, Comp. Math. **23**, Fasc. 2 (1972), 159–167.
- [DT] A. Dold and R. Thom, *Quasifaserungen und unendliche symmetrische produkte*, Ann. Math. **67**, no. 2, (1958), 239–281.
- [D1] D. Dugger, *Replacing model categories by simplicial ones*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **353** (2001), 5003–5027.
- [D2] D. Dugger, *Universal homotopy theories*, Adv. Math., to appear.
- [DHI] D. Dugger, S. Hollander, and D. C. Isaksen, *Hypercovers and simplicial presheaves*, preprint.
- [F] E. M. Friedlander, *Simplicial schemes and étale homotopy type*, Annals of Mathematics Studies **104**, Princeton University, 1982.
- [Gr] B. Gray, *Homotopy Theory: An Introduction to Algebraic Topology*, Academic Press, 1975.
- [H] P. S. Hirschhorn, *Localization of Model Categories*, preprint, version dated April 12, 2000. (Available at <http://www-math.mit.edu/~psh>).
- [Ho] M. Hovey, *Model Categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs vol. 63, Amer. Math. Soc., 1999.
- [I] D. C. Isaksen, *Étale realization on the  $\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes*, preprint.
- [L] J. Lillig, *A union theorem for cofibrations*, Arch. Math. **XXIV** (1973), pp. 410–414.
- [M1] J.P. May, *Geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **271**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [M2] J.P. May, *Weak equivalences and quasifibrations*, Groups of self-equivalences and related topics (Proc. Conf. Université de Montréal, Montreal, Quebec, 1988), Lecture Notes in Math. **1425**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990, pp. 91–101.
- [Mc1] M.C. McCord, *Singular homology groups and homotopy groups of finite topological spaces*, Duke Math. J. **33** (1966), 465–474.
- [Mc2] M.C. McCord, *Homotopy type comparison of a space with complexes associated with its open covers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **18** (1967), 705–708.
- [MV] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky,  *$\mathbb{A}^1$ -homotopy theory of schemes*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **90** (2001), 45–143.
- [Q] D. Quillen, *Homotopical Algebra*, Springer Lecture Notes in Math. **43**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1969.
- [RT] A. Razak Salleh and J. Taylor, *On the relation between fundamental groupoids of the classifying space of the nerve of an open cover*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **37** (1985), no. 1, 81–93.
- [S1] G. Segal, *Classifying spaces and spectral sequences*, Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math. **34** (1968), 105–112.
- [S2] G. Segal, *Categories and cohomology theories*, Topology **13** (1974), 293–312.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE, IN 47907

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556

*E-mail address:* `ddugger@math.purdue.edu`

*E-mail address:* `isaksen.1@nd.edu`