

# NULLIFICATION FUNCTORS AND THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF THE CLASSIFYING SPACE FOR PROPER BUNDLES

RAMÓN J. FLORES

ABSTRACT. Let  $G$  be a discrete group. In this note we build a bridge between the homotopy theory of  $BG$  and the theory of proper  $G$ -actions, by showing that under mild restrictions, the classifying space for proper  $G$ -bundles has the homotopy type of the  $W$ -nullification of  $BG$  for some space  $W$ . This allows us to use properties of the localization functors to obtain spaces that are homotopy equivalent to  $\underline{B}G$  for a wide range of groups, and on the other hand, we take profit of the existence of well-known geometrical and finite-dimensional models of  $\underline{B}G$  for some infinite groups for obtaining homotopical information about the  $p$ -primary part of their classifying spaces.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a discrete group. We will say that a CW-complex  $X$  is a  $G$ -CW-complex if  $G$  acts on  $X$  by permuting the cells. If the isotropy groups of the action are finite, then we will call it a proper  $G$ -CW-complex.

In 1994, Baum-Connes-Higson introduce in [BCH94] the “classifying space for proper actions”, that can be described as the unique proper  $G$ -CW-complex  $\underline{E}G$ , up to  $G$ -homotopy, that enjoys the following universal property:

If  $X$  is another proper  $G$ -CW-complex, there exists a  $G$ -map  $X \rightarrow \underline{E}G$  which is unique up to  $G$ -homotopy.

The space  $\underline{E}G$  appears as the principal new feature on the reformulation of the Baum-Connes conjecture stated in the aforementioned article. The conjecture, partially solved, asks if for a locally compact, Hausdorff and second countable group  $G$ , the  $C^*$ -algebra  $K$ -theory groups  $K_j(C_r^*(G))$  are isomorphic to the Kasparov  $K$ -homology groups  $K_j^G(\underline{E}G)$ , for  $j = 0, 1$ . The great amount of research that have emerged around this subject has led to a growing interest in the theory of proper actions.

An important part of the efforts carried out in this direction has been devoted to understand the relationship between the algebraic structure of  $G$  and the homotopy-theoretic properties of  $\underline{E}G$  and its quotient space  $\underline{E}G/G$ , which is currently denoted by  $\underline{B}G$ . Probably the greatest success has been reached interpreting correctly finiteness group-theoretic conditions over  $G$  in order to build models of  $\underline{E}G$  enjoying various types of finiteness conditions. We will review in section 2.3 the main contributions in this area.

In the same way that happens with classical  $G$ -actions, the importance of  $\underline{E}G$  and in particular of  $\underline{B}G$  does not come only because they reflect geometrically the algebraic properties of the group  $G$ , but because the importance of these spaces in the theory of  $G$ -bundles. Baum-Connes-Higson already pointed out that  $\underline{B}G$  classify proper  $G$ -bundles (see 2.8 for a definition), and they described how to obtain them by making pullback on maps  $X \rightarrow \underline{B}G$ , a method of a clear classical flavor.

The most important attempt made so far for understanding the homotopy type and properties of  $\underline{B}G$  is the paper of Leary-Nucinkis [LN01]. In it, the authors prove that for every CW-complex  $X$  there exists a discrete group  $G_X$  such that  $\underline{B}G_X$  is homeomorphic to  $X$ . This Kan-Thurston type result is proved using essentially tools of the theory of graph of groups. As a byproduct, they obtain a precise description of the fundamental group of  $\underline{B}G$  and a construction of  $\underline{B}G$  for some subgroups of right-angled Coxeter groups.

Although these last results have been very useful for us (particularly the formula for the fundamental group), our approach to the homotopy type of  $\underline{B}G$  has been different, and has been carried out with pure homotopy-theoretic tools. Our idea is to find a functor  $F : \mathbf{Top} \rightarrow \mathbf{Top}$  that transforms models of  $BG$  on spaces that are homotopy equivalent to models of  $\underline{B}G$ . This functor has enough good properties in order to read information about  $\underline{B}G$  from  $BG$  and vice versa.

The appropriate functor turns to be a nullification; a tool that was introduced by Bousfield in [Bou94] in order to study periodic phenomena in unstable homotopy (in fact, he called it “periodization”), and that has been widely used since then. The utility of this functor in this context comes from the fact that it will allow us to apply all the machinery of localization developed in the 90’s by Bousfield himself ([Bou94], [Bou97]), Dror-Farjoun ([DF95]), Chachólski ([Cha96]) and others.

Our main result is the following:

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete group such that there exists a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{B}G$ . Denote  $W_\infty = \bigvee \mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ , where the wedge is extended to all primes. Then we have a homotopy equivalence  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG \simeq \underline{B}G$ , where  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty}$  denotes the  $W_\infty$ -nullification functor.*

Observe that the condition concerning it has to exist a model of  $\underline{B}G$  with finiteness conditions is not too restrictive, in account of the great quantity of groups that have recently appeared for which these conditions hold (in this sense, see section 2.3).

Now we describe in more detail the contents of each of the sections of the paper, and in particular we will comment a little bit the consequences of the main theorem.

In section 2 we make a review of all the needed background and results concerning proper actions, with special emphasis in finiteness conditions for  $\underline{E}G$  (and hence for  $\underline{B}G$ ).

In section 3 we construct a particular model for the classifying space for families  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  that will be very useful in the rest of the work; as a fundamental byproduct, we also obtain an appropriate model for  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .

Section 4 constitutes the bulk of the work, because it is devoted to the proof of the main theorem we stated before. The technique is the following: we apply the functor  $\mathbf{P}_W$  to a suitable model of  $BG$ , and we obtain that it is homotopy equivalent to the  $W$ -nullification of the nerve of some small category that only depends on  $G$ . This nerve turns out to be the model built in the previous section for  $\underline{B}G$ , and we finish by checking that in the conditions of the theorem  $\underline{B}G$  is  $W$ -null.

The rest of the paper is devoted to take out some consequences of the main theorem. So, in section 5 we describe the behaviour of the functor  $\underline{B}$  with respect to various fundamental constructions in homotopy theory, namely products wedges or colimits. Moreover, we identify in some cases the universal cover of  $\underline{B}G$  and we obtain some conditions about preservation of fibrations under passing to classifying spaces.

We begin the following section with a short proof of the fact that if  $G$  is a locally finite group whose cardinal is smaller than  $\aleph_\omega$ ,  $\underline{B}G$  is contractible. Later,

we treat the case of groups for which the normalizer condition holds, an ample class of discrete groups that include, for example, all the nilpotent groups. We prove that if a group  $G$  in this class admits a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{BG}$ , then  $\underline{BG} \simeq BH$  for some quotient group  $H$  that we identify. In particular, in this case  $\underline{BG}$  is nilpotent as a space if  $G$  is nilpotent as a group. We finish this paragraph by studying the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification, of classifying spaces of supersoluble groups.

In section 7 we take the opposite point of view, showing that the main theorem can give information in the two directions. More concretely, we focus our attention on groups of isometries of the real plane, and taking profit of the well-known geometric properties of them we obtain via  $\underline{BG}$  a lot of information on the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of their classifying spaces.

Last section is devoted to the study of a canonical map  $BG \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  (defined previously) that always relates the classical and proper classifying spaces. More concretely, we prove that the homotopy fiber of that map can be described as a homotopy colimit of classifying spaces of groups of  $\mathcal{F}$  over a contractible category. We finish by proving a technical and interesting statement that appears in the proof and concerns the localization of a comma category.

*Acknowledgements.* I wish to thank Carles Broto, for turning my attention to proper actions and the world of geometric group theory, and for all the time we have spent discussing about these topics. The results about crystallographic were motivated by a suggestion of Ian Leary, whom I acknowledge his interest in my work. I am also grateful to Emmanuel Dror-Farjoun, who pointed out some very useful observations that enriched the results of last section. Finally, I would like to thank the Institute Galilée, Université Paris XIII, for their hospitality in the seven months I spent there.

## 2. BACKGROUND RESULTS

In this section we give a brief review of the main concepts of the theory of proper actions and classifying spaces for families of subgroups, that will be used later in our work. The main references used here have been [BCH94], [LN01], and [MV01]. For more information about classical theory of  $G$ -actions, look at [Die87], for example.

### 2.1. Proper actions.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $G$  be a discrete group,  $X$  a  $G$ -space. The action of  $G$  in  $X$  is said *proper* if for every point  $p \in X$  there exists a triple  $(U, H, \rho)$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $H$  is a finite subgroup of  $G$ .
- (2)  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $p$  such that  $gu \in U$  for each  $(g, u) \in G \times U$ .
- (3)  $\rho$  is a  $G/H$ -map from  $U$  to  $G/H$ .

It is not hard to see that all the isotropy groups of a proper  $G$ -space are finite. In particular, if in addition the action is free, then the canonical projection  $X \rightarrow X/G$  is a trivial principal  $G$ -bundle.

Now we will define the subcategory of the category of  $G$ -spaces where we will usually work.

**Definition 2.2.** A  *$G$ -CW-complex* is a  $G$ -space  $X$  endowed of a filtration  $X^0 \subseteq X^1 \subseteq X^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq X$  by  $G$ -subspaces such that the following axioms hold:

- (1)  $X^n$  is closed in  $X$  for each  $n$ .
- (2)  $X^0$  is a discrete subspace of  $X$ .
- (3)  $\bigcup_{n \geq 0} X^n = X$ .

- (4) If  $n \geq 2$ , there is a discrete  $G$ -space  $\Delta_n$  and  $G$ -maps  $f : S^{n-1} \times \Delta_n \rightarrow X^{n-1}$ ,  $g : B^n \times \Delta_n \rightarrow X^n$  such that the following diagram is a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S^{n-1} \times \Delta_n & \xrightarrow{f} & X^{n-1} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B^n \times \Delta_n & \xrightarrow{g} & X^n \end{array}$$

where the vertical maps are inclusions.

- (5) A subspace  $Y \subseteq X$  is closed if and only if  $Y \cap X^n$  is closed for every  $n \geq 0$ .

We use the conventions  $X^{-1} = \emptyset$ ,  $\Delta_0 = X^0$ . If  $x \in \Delta_n$ , the subspaces  $g(x \times \text{int } B^n)$  and  $g(x \times B^n)$  are called respectively the *open cells* and *closed cells* of  $X$ .

The concept of  *$G$ -CW-subcomplex* is defined in the obvious way.

**Definition 2.3.** A  $G$ -map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  between  $G$ -spaces is called a *weak  $G$ -equivalence* if for every finite subgroup  $H < G$  the induced map on the fixed point spaces  $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.

It can be seen ([Lüc89], I.2.4) that if  $X$  is a  $G$ -CW-complex, the notion of  $G$ -homotopy equivalence coincides with the concept of weak  $G$ -equivalence defined above. Moreover, a  $G$ -CW-complex is proper if and only if the isotropy group of every point is a finite subgroup of  $G$ . So, we can say that if  $X$  is a proper  $G$ -CW-complex,  $G$  acts essentially by permuting the cells.

**Definition 2.4.** A  $G$ -CW-complex is  *$n$ -dimensional* if  $X = X^n$  for some  $n$ , and *finite-dimensional* if it is  $n$ -dimensional for some  $n$ .  $X$  is said to be *finite* if it consists in a finite number of open cells.  $X$  is *finitely-dominated* if there exists a finite  $G$ -CW-complex  $Y$  and  $G$ -maps  $r : Y \rightarrow X$  and  $i : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $r \circ i$  is  $G$ -homotopy equivalent to the identity of  $X$ . It is clear that if  $X$  is finite, then it is finite-dimensional and finitely-dominated.

Some of these finiteness conditions will be repeatedly used throughout our work.

**2.2. Classifying spaces for families of subgroups.** In this section we describe the objects that will be studied on this article: the classifying spaces for families of subgroups and particularly their quotient spaces by the action of  $G$ .

**Definition 2.5.** Suppose  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of subgroups of a discrete group  $G$  that is closed under conjugation and taking subgroups. We will say that a  $G$ -CW-complex  $Y$  is a *model* for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  if the isotropy group of each point belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  and for each  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ , the fixed-point space  $Y^H$  is contractible.

It is easy to see that if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family that only contains the trivial group, then  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G = EG$ , the universal space for principal  $G$ -bundles.

The object  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is characterized by the following universal property:

**Proposition 2.6.** *If  $X$  is a model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , then for each proper  $G$ -CW-complex there is a map  $X \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  which is unique up to  $G$ -homotopy. Moreover, two models for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  are always  $G$ -homotopy equivalent. Conversely, if  $Y$  is a  $G$ -CW-complex for which this universal property holds,  $Y$  is a model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .*

*Proof.* See [Die87], section 1.6. □

Because of this property, the space  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is usually called the *classifying space for the family  $\mathcal{F}$* .

There are various standard constructions for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , such as for example the following, that resembles the classical construction of Milnor of the classifying space for principal  $G$ -bundles. Take the disjoint union of all the homogeneous spaces  $G/H$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ , and call it  $X$ . Denote by  $X(n)$  the  $n$ -join  $X * \dots * X$ . If we consider the obvious inclusions  $X(n) \hookrightarrow X(n+1)$ , we can define the infinite union  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X(n)$ . Then we have

**Proposition 2.7.**  $\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X(n)$  is a model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .

*Proof.* See [BCH94], appendix 1 or [MV01], section 1. □

The quotient space  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G/G$  is usually denoted by  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ . As  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is unique up to  $G$ -homotopy equivalence,  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is unique up to homotopy equivalence. But, on the contrary to what it happens with  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , this does not mean that every space  $X$  homotopy equivalent to a model of  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is a model of  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ . For example, by definition  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is a point if and only if  $G$  is finite, but, as it is known (and we shall see in 6.1), there are a lot of infinite groups for which  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is contractible.

Now, if  $G, G'$  are discrete groups,  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{F}'$  families of subgroups of  $G$  and  $G'$  that are closed by conjugation and taking subgroups, and  $f : G \rightarrow G'$  is a homomorphism such that  $f(H) \in \mathcal{F}'$  for each  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ , it is not difficult to see that  $f$  gives rise to a  $G$ -map  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{F}'}G'$ , which is unique up to  $G$ -homotopy, and to a pointed map  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}'}G'$  which is unique up to homotopy.

If there is no explicit mention against it, we will suppose from here that  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of finite subgroups of  $G$ . In this case, it is standard to denote  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  and  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  by  $\underline{E}G$  and  $\underline{B}G$ , respectively. In particular, according to the previous paragraph, every group homomorphism  $G \rightarrow G'$  induces a  $G$ -map  $\underline{E}G \rightarrow \underline{E}G'$  (respectively a pointed map  $\underline{B}G \rightarrow \underline{B}G'$ ) which is unique up to  $G$ -homotopy (respectively up to homotopy).

We know that if  $\mathcal{F} = \{e\}$ ,  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  coincides with the usual classifying space for principal  $G$ -bundles  $BG$ . Now, following [BCH94], we will see that  $\underline{B}G$  is a classifying space in a certain interesting sense.

**Definition 2.8.** Let  $X$  be a metrizable space. A *proper  $G$ -bundle over  $X$*  is a pair  $(Z, \pi)$ , where  $Z$  is a proper  $G$ -space and  $\pi : Z \rightarrow X$  is a continuous map such that

- (1) If  $(g, z)$  belongs to  $G \times Z$ , then  $\pi(gz) = \pi(z)$ .
- (2) The induced map  $G \backslash Z \rightarrow X$  induced by  $\pi$  is a homeomorphism.

Baum-Connes-Higson also define the suitable notions of homotopy equivalence and isomorphism between  $G$ -proper bundles, which allows them to prove the following important theorem:

**Theorem 2.9.** Let  $P(G, X)$  be the set of homotopy classes of proper  $G$ -bundles over  $X$ . Then, if  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of the finite subgroups of  $G$ , there exists a bijection of sets  $[X, B_{\mathcal{F}}G] \xrightarrow{\cong} P(G, X)$ .

*Proof.* The desired map is obtained assigning to every homotopy class of maps  $\phi : X \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  the pullback along  $\phi$  of  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  over  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ . See [BCH94], appendix 3. □

So  $\underline{B}G$  is really a classifying space. According to [LN01], we have adopted the terminology “proper  $G$ -bundle” in place of the original “proper  $G$ -space” because that one makes explicit the parallelism between the role of  $BG$  in the theory of classical  $G$ -actions and  $\underline{B}G$  in the theory of proper actions.

**2.3. Finiteness conditions for  $\underline{E}G$  and  $\underline{B}G$ .** We want to finish this preliminary section by reviewing some of the finiteness conditions for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  that have been obtained in the last years for different classes of discrete groups  $G$ , with special attention to the case in which  $\mathcal{F}$  is the family of finite subgroups of  $G$ . These conditions have a fundamental importance for our work, because the groups that enjoy them are precisely those for which our main theorem 4.2 holds. Note that if  $X$  is a model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ ,  $X/G$  is a model for  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  and  $\dim X = \dim X/G$ . Thus, the finiteness conditions over  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  will be also valid over  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .

We begin with an easy result coming from graph theory.

**Proposition 2.10.** *If  $G$  acts on a tree  $T$  by a simplicial and continuous action whose isotropy groups are finite, then  $T$  is a model for  $\underline{E}G$ .*

Serre shows ([Ser80]) that if  $G_1, G_2$  and  $H$  are finite groups, the pushout  $G = G_1 *_H G_2$  acts on a tree  $T$  in the previous way. This tree is constructed making the double mapping cylinder of the diagram

$$G/G_1 \longleftarrow G/H \longrightarrow G/G_2.$$

So this gives us a big family of groups for which  $\underline{E}G$  is not only finite-dimensional, but 1-dimensional.

Recently Leary-Nucinkis ([LN01], prop. 4) and Platten ([Pla02]) have generalized the result of Serre to the case of  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , being  $\mathcal{F}$  any family of finite subgroups of  $G$ .

In [Lüc00] we can find the following finiteness result (theorem 0.1), that uses as input Bredon coefficient systems on proper complexes (definition and properties of this category can be found in section 2 of that paper or in [Bre72]):

**Theorem 2.11.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete group,  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of subgroups of  $G$  that is closed under conjugation and taking subgroups, and  $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$  the corresponding orbit category, that is, the category whose objects are the homogeneous spaces  $G/H$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ , and whose morphisms are  $G$ -maps. Then we have, for  $d \geq 3$ :*

- (1) *There is a  $d$ -dimensional  $G$ -CW-model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  if and only if the constant  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a projective resolution of length  $d$ .*
- (2) *There is a finite  $G$ -CW-model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  if and only if  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  has a  $G$ -CW-model with finite 2-skeleton and the constant  $\mathbb{Z}\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ -module  $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$  has a finite free resolution over  $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .*
- (3) *There is a  $G$ -CW-model with finite 2-skeleton for  $\underline{E}G$  if and only if there are only finitely many conjugacy classes of finite subgroups  $H < G$  and for any finite subgroup  $H < G$  the group  $N(H)/H$  is finitely presented.*

In the aforementioned article [Lüc00] some classes of groups with finiteness conditions are also described:

**Proposition 2.12.** *For the following classes of groups there exists a model of finite dimension for  $\underline{E}G$  and  $\underline{B}G$ :*

- *The word-hyperbolic groups, with the word-length metric. The model for  $\underline{E}G$  is the Rips complex.*
- *The discrete cocompact subgroups of a Lie group  $G$  with a finite number of connected components. If  $K$  is the maximal compact subgroup of  $G$ ,  $G/K$  is the aforementioned model.*
- *The extensions of  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  by finite groups. In this case the model is  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and the group acts via isometries.*
- *The virtually-polycyclic groups.*

Other groups that have recently deserved attention in this context have been the hierarchically decomposable groups, that we are going to define right now.

**Definition 2.13.** Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a class of groups. We write  $\mathbf{H}_1\mathcal{C}$  for the class of groups  $G$  that admit a finite-dimensional contractible  $G$ -CW-complex with isotropy groups in  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathbf{HC}$  for the smallest class  $\mathcal{C}'$  such that  $\mathcal{C} < \mathcal{C}'$   $\mathbf{H}_1\mathcal{C}' = \mathcal{C}'$ . If  $\mathcal{F}$  is the class of finite groups, then  $\mathbf{HF}$  is the class of *hierarchically decomposable* groups.

Mislin and Kropholler give ([KM98], theorem A) the following finiteness result:

**Theorem 2.14.** *Let  $G$  be an hierarchically decomposable group of type  $\text{FP}_\infty$ . Then there is a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{E}G$ .*

Moreover, if  $\Lambda(G)$  is the poset of non-trivial finite subgroups of  $G$  and  $B(G, \mathbb{Z})$  is the  $G$ -operator ring of bounded functions from  $G$  to  $\mathbb{Z}$ , they obtain (theorem B):

**Theorem 2.15.** *If  $G$  is an  $\mathbf{HF}$ -group such that  $m = \text{proj. dim}_{\mathbb{Z}G} B(G, \mathbb{Z})$  and  $d = \dim|\Lambda(G)|$  are finite, then there is a model of  $\underline{E}G$  of finite dimension, and this dimension can be made smaller than  $2^{d+1} - 1$ , if  $m \leq 2$ , or smaller than  $2^{d+1}(m + 1) - 1$  if  $m > 2$ .*

Mislin ([Mis01], 4.1-4.5) has recently gone a little bit further in this line of research. Among the results he has obtained, we can quote the following ones, that are used in this note (see section 6):

**Proposition 2.16.** *Let  $K \rightarrow G \rightarrow Q$  be an extension of groups, and assume that  $Q \in \mathbf{H}_1\mathcal{F}$  and the order of its torsion subgroups is bounded. Then if  $\dim_K \underline{E}K$  is finite,  $\dim_G \underline{E}G$  is finite too.*

**Remark 2.17.** In ([Lüc00] 3.1), we can find a refinement of the previous statement: if moreover we know that  $\dim_Q \underline{E}Q$  is finite, we can calculate  $\dim_G \underline{E}G$  using as input  $\dim_K \underline{E}K$  and  $\dim_Q \underline{E}Q$ . Other finiteness conditions of ‘‘cohomological kind’’ can be found in this paper ([Lüc00], 4.2, 5.2 and 6.4)

**Proposition 2.18.** *If  $G$  is a locally finite group such that  $|G| < \aleph_\omega$ , then  $G$  admits a finite-dimensional  $\underline{E}G$ .*

**Proposition 2.19.** *Let  $G$  be a soluble group with  $|G| < \aleph_\omega$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The torsion-free rank of  $G$  is finite.*
- (2)  *$\dim_G \underline{E}G$  is finite.*
- (3) *The rational cohomological dimension of  $G$  is finite.*

Finally, we would like to mention a result of Leary-Nucinkis ([LN01], prop. 8), because its essentially geometric nature, and because it will be very useful for clarifying to what extent the functor  $\underline{B}$  preserve fibrations. We need before some definitions:

**Definition 2.20.** A *right-angled Coxeter group* is a group generated by a set of elements of order two that are subject uniquely to commutativity relations. These generators are called the *Coxeter generators*.

A Coxeter presentation of  $G$  can be always encoded in a simplicial complex  $K = K(G)$  that has one vertex for every Coxeter generator, and one simplex for every subset of the set of generators that commute. This is sometimes called the *Coxeter complex of  $G$* . It is not hard to prove that every flag complex is the Coxeter complex of some right-angled Coxeter group  $G$ .

**Definition 2.21.** We define the *Davis’ complex*  $\Sigma = \Sigma(G)$  as the realization of the poset whose elements are the cosets of finite Coxeter subgroups of  $G$ .

The action of  $G$  on the cosets induces a proper action over  $\Sigma$ , and it can be seen ([BLN01], section 3, see also [Dav83]) that this action turns  $\Sigma$  to a model of  $\underline{E}G$ . Now we can give the promised curious result:

**Proposition 2.22.** *Let  $G$  be the right-angled Coxeter group corresponding to a flag complex  $K$ , and  $H$  the index two subgroup of  $G$  whose elements are the words that can be written with an even number of letters. Then  $\Sigma/H$ , which is a model for  $\underline{B}H$ , is isomorphic to the suspension of the barycentric division of  $K$ .*

The study of these index two subgroups was one of the first motivations for our work.

### 3. A USEFUL MODEL FOR $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ AND $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$

Our description of the relationship between  $BG$  and  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is essentially based in the construction of the classifying space for proper actions and its orbit space as nerves of small categories. This is the main goal of this section, but we need to recall previously some concepts.

Recall from 2.11 the definition of the *orbit category* associated to a family  $\mathcal{F}$ ; it is not hard to see that there is a bijective map

$$\mathrm{Mor}(G/K, G/H) = \{g \in G \mid g^{-1}Kg \subseteq H\}/H$$

given by  $f \longrightarrow f(eK)$ , where  $e$  is the identity element of  $G$ . The key definition we need for building the desired model of  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is the following (see [Dwy97], section 2, for details):

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a small category,  $\mathbf{Cat}$  the category where the objects are the small categories and whose morphisms are functors, and  $f : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$  a functor. The *Grothendieck construction*  $\mathbf{Gr}(f)$  associated to  $f$  is defined as the category whose objects are the pairs  $(d, x)$ , with  $x \in \mathbf{D}$  and  $x \in f(d)$ , and where a morphism  $(d, x) \rightarrow (d', x')$  is a pair  $(u, v)$  where  $u : d \rightarrow d'$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $v : f(u)(x) \rightarrow x'$  is a morphism in  $f(d')$ . The composition is made in the obvious way.

The main feature of this construction, due to Thomason, is the following:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a small category,  $F : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  a functor, and  $\mathbf{Gr}(F)$  the Grothendieck construction of  $F$ . Then there exists a natural weak homotopy equivalence:*

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Gr}(F)) \simeq \mathrm{hocolim} \mathbf{N}(F)$$

where  $\mathbf{N}$  denotes the nerve.

*Proof.* See [Tho79], 1.2. □

Now we can describe our model of the universal space  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete group. Consider the functor*

$$R : \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

*that sends every homogeneous space  $G/H$  to the category  $G/H$  (whose objects are the elements of  $G/H$  and there is only identity morphisms), and the morphisms to the obvious functors. In these conditions, we have that the nerve of the Grothendieck construction of  $f$  is a model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .*

*Proof.* For convenience, we will denote  $X = |\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{Gr}(R))|$ . This space has a natural action of  $G$  given by the left action of  $G$  in every homogeneous space  $G/H$ . We will prove firstly that for every  $x \in X$  the isotropy group  $G_x$  of  $x$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ .

The action of  $G$  over  $X$  is simplicial and is induced from the action of  $G$  over the homogeneous spaces, so by definition of nerve we will only need to study the

action of  $G$  over the vertices of  $X$ . Hence, let  $(G/H, a)$  be the pair associated with the vertex  $x$ . It is clear that

$$G_x = \{g \in G \mid gaH = aH\} = \{g \in G \mid \exists h \in H \text{ s. t. } gah = a\}$$

and this amounts to say that  $g \in aHa^{-1}$ . So,  $G_x = aHa^{-1}$ , that belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$  because  $H$  does.

Now we will see that for every  $K \in \mathcal{F}$ , the set of fixed points  $X^K$  is contractible. We can reduce again to the case in which  $x$  is a vertex of  $X$ . A point  $x \in X$  is fixed by the action of  $K$  if, given the pair  $(G/H, aH)$  associated to  $x$ , we have that, for every  $k \in K$ ,  $kaH = aH$ . Thus, we see that

$$X^K = \bigcup_{H \in \mathcal{F}} \{(G/H, aH) \mid a^{-1}Ka \subseteq H\}/H.$$

So, for every element  $(G/H, aH) \in X^K$  there exists one and only one morphism  $(G/K, eK) \rightarrow (G/H, aH)$ , or in other words,  $X^K$  can be identified with the nerve of the undercategory (see section 8 for a definition) associated to the element  $(G/K, eK)$  of the category  $\mathbf{Gr}(R)$ . This nerve is contractible, and then  $X^K$  is contractible too. So we are done.  $\square$

Note that if we consider the action of  $G$  over  $\mathbf{Gr}(R)$  via functors, the objects of  $\mathbf{Gr}(R)/G$  are the homogeneous spaces  $G/H$ ,  $H < G$  finite, and the morphisms are the  $G$ -maps. So, this quotient category is identified in a natural way with the orbit category  $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , and in particular  $N(\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}})$  is a model for  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .

**Remark 3.4.** Observe that if we take the realizations of the nerves of  $\mathbf{Gr}(R)$  and  $\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we obtain models of  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  and  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  in the category of (topological) spaces.

**Remark 3.5.** The idea of the construction comes from ([AD01], section 2), although they only describe it in the case of  $G$  finite, being  $\mathcal{F}$  the family of finite subgroups of  $G$ , and with another purpose. In the language of that paper, we have proved that  $X$  is the  $\mathcal{F}$ -approximation to a point.

We conclude this section with a modification of the previous models that will be useful later. So, if  $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$  are subgroups of  $G$  that belong to  $\mathcal{F}$  and such that for every  $0 < i < n$  there exists a  $G$ -equivariant map  $G/H_i \rightarrow G/H_{i+1}$ , we define  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_n$  as the small category whose elements are  $n$ -uples  $(a_1H_1, \dots, a_nH_n)$  such that for every  $0 < i < n$  there exists a  $G$ -equivariant map  $f_i : G/H_i \rightarrow G/H_{i+1}$  with  $f_i(a_iH_i) = a_{i+1}H_{i+1}$ , and whose morphisms are the identity maps. Now, if  $\Gamma$  is the poset category of non-degenerate simplices of  $N(\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}})$ , we define a functor  $S : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$  that takes the simplex represented by the chain of maps  $\{G/H_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \dots G/H_n\}$  to the category  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_n$ , and the face maps to the obvious functors. Then we have the following:

**Proposition 3.6.** *In the previous conditions,  $\text{hocolim}_{\Gamma} N(S)$  is a model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , and  $N(\Gamma)$  is a model for  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .*

*Proof.* By the result 3.2,  $\text{hocolim}_{\Gamma} N(S) \simeq N(\mathbf{Gr}(S))$ , and on the other hand, the left action of  $G$  over every homogeneous space  $G/H$ , ( $H \in \mathcal{F}$ ) induces, via functors, another one over the categories  $\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_n$ . Now, observe that  $N(\mathbf{Gr}(S))$  is the subdivision (in the sense of [GJ99], III.4) of  $N(\mathbf{Gr}(R))$ , where  $R$  is the functor defined in 3.3. In fact, if we take a non-degenerate simplex of  $N(\mathbf{Gr}(R))$  represented by a chain of morphisms  $(G/H_1, a_1H_1) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (G/H_n, a_nH_n)$ , its barycenter is the vertex of  $N(\mathbf{Gr}(S))$  represented by the object  $(\mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbf{G}/\mathbf{H}_n, a_1H_1 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow a_nH_n)$  of  $\mathbf{Gr}(S)$ . Then, by ([Jar02] prop. 12-14), there exists a homotopy equivalence  $|N(\mathbf{Gr}R)| \simeq |N(\mathbf{Gr}S)|$ , that is

a  $G$ -equivalence by construction, and then  $N(\mathbf{GrS})$  is a model for  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ . A similar line of reason proves that  $N(S)$  is a model for  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .  $\square$

The main advantage of this models is that they reconstruct  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  and  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  as homotopy colimits over a *poset* category, and in particular these models have structure of simplicial *complexes*. These facts will be very useful in last section.

#### 4. $\underline{B}G$ IS HOMOTOPY EQUIVALENT TO A NULLIFICATION

Let  $G$  be a discrete group,  $\mathcal{F}$  a family of subgroups of  $G$  closed under conjugation and taking subgroups. As a first step in our study of the relation between the classical and proper classifying spaces, we will describe a canonical map that always relates  $BG$  and  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .

So, consider models of  $EG$  and  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ ; both of them are  $G$ -spaces, and then we can make the Borel construction  $EG \times_G E_{\mathcal{F}}G$ . Now, let  $p_1$  be the projection

$$EG \times_G E_{\mathcal{F}}G \xrightarrow{p_1} EG/G \simeq BG.$$

The action of  $G$  over  $EG$  is free, so the map  $p_1$  is a fibration, and its homotopy fiber  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is contractible. Thus,  $p_1$  is a homotopy equivalence, and  $EG \times_G E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is a model of  $BG$ .

Consider now the projection over the second component

$$EG \times_G E_{\mathcal{F}}G \xrightarrow{p_2} E_{\mathcal{F}}G/G \simeq B_{\mathcal{F}}G.$$

We have seen that  $EG \times_G E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  and  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G/G \simeq B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  are respectively models for  $BG$  and  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , and then  $p_2$  can be thought as a map  $BG \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  that we will call  $f$  in the rest of the section. The map  $f$  is not a fibration in general, because the action of  $G$  over  $E_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is not free. In fact, if  $x \in B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , we have that  $f^{-1}(x)$  has the homotopy type of  $EG \times_G G/H_x$ , being  $H_x$  the isotropy group of  $x$ , that belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . Now,  $EG \times_G G/H_x$  is a model for  $BH_x$ , and hence all the fibers of the map  $f$  have the homotopy type of classifying spaces of groups of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

This fact gave us the intuition that the map we have studied could encode a functorial way of passing from the usual classifying space of  $G$  to the classifying space for proper  $G$ -bundles, and what is more important, to obtain valuable information of the latter starting from the homotopy structure of  $BG$ , and viceversa. More concretely, we searched for a functor  $F$  such that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $F$  “kills” the homotopy fiber of  $f$ .
- (2)  $F(f)$  is a weak equivalence.
- (3)  $F(\underline{B}G) \simeq \underline{B}G$ .

The two first conditions give the impression, according to ([DF95], 1.H.1 and 3.D.3), of  $F$  being a localization functor  $L$  in the sense of Dror-Farjoun, and in fact, the functor we have found has been the  $A$ -nullification functor with respect a certain space  $A$ . For the main properties of these functors you can look at [Bou94], [Cha96] or [DF95], although we will recall here the definition.

Let  $A$  and  $X$  be spaces. Recall that  $X$  is said *A-null* if the pointed mapping space  $\text{map}_*(A, X)$  is contractible. The  $A$ -nullification of  $X$  is a functorial way of turning every space into an  $A$ -null space, and can be defined as the unique space  $\mathbf{P}_A X$  up to homotopy that is  $A$ -null and such that there exists a universal map  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_A X$  which induces a weak homotopy equivalence

$$\text{map}_*(\mathbf{P}_A X, Y) \simeq \text{map}_*(X, Y)$$

for every  $A$ -null space  $Y$ . In this way there is defined a functor in the category of pointed spaces (although it can be defined similarly over unpointed spaces) which is

always coaugmented and idempotent, and kills the structure of  $X$  that “depends” on  $A$ . This is, in fact, the localization of  $X$  with respect to the constant map  $A \rightarrow *$ . Moreover, the spaces  $X$  for which  $\mathbf{P}_A X$  is contractible are called  $A$ -acyclic.

Consider now the set of all prime numbers  $\{p_1, p_2, p_3 \dots\}$  with the usual order, and let  $X$  be a space. In the rest we will denote by  $W_n$  the space  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p_n$ , and by  $W_\infty$  the wedge  $\bigvee \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$  extended over of the all prime numbers. The next key lemma is one strong reason that suggests that the  $W_\infty$ -nullification is the functor we need.

**Theorem 4.1.** *If  $G$  is a finite group, then  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG$  is contractible.*

*Proof.* A point is always null, so we only need to prove that  $\text{map}_*(\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG, X)$  is contractible for every  $W_\infty$ -null space  $X$ . But the  $W_\infty$ -null spaces are, in particular,  $W_n$ -null for every  $n$ ; hence,

$$\text{map}_*(\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG, X) \simeq \text{map}_*(BG, X) \simeq \text{map}_*(\mathbf{P}_{W_n} BG, X)$$

for every  $n$ . Now, suppose that  $|G| = p_{j_1}^{n_1} p_{j_2}^{n_2} \dots p_{j_m}^{n_m}$ , with  $j_1 < \dots < j_m$ . Because of ([Flo03], 3.3), we know that  $\mathbf{P}_{W_k} BG$  is contractible for every  $k \geq j_m$ . This implies

$$\text{map}_*(\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG, X) \simeq \text{map}_*(\mathbf{P}_{W_n} BG, X) \simeq *$$

as we claimed. □

Now we suppose that  $G$  is a discrete group such that there exists a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{BG}$ . We are now in position of stating our main theorem:

**Theorem 4.2.** *With the previous notation, we have that  $\underline{BG}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the model of  $\underline{EG}$  given in section 3 as the realization of the nerve of the Grothendieck construction of a certain functor

$$R : \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$$

described there. We have seen that  $EG \times_G \underline{EG}$  is a model of  $BG$ , so using 3.2 we obtain

$$BG \simeq EG \times_G \underline{EG} \simeq EG \times_G (\text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} R) \simeq \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} (EG \times_G R(-))$$

where the previous equivalence is a simple application of ([DH00], 6.5). Now, if we apply the nullification functor  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty}$  to the previous string of equivalences, we obtain a weak equivalence  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG \simeq \mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} (EG \times_G R(-))$ , and the latter is equivalent, by ([DF95] 1.H.1), to  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} \mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} (EG \times_G R(-))$ . Observe that the spaces that appear in the target of the functor

$$EG \times_G N(R(-)) : \mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Spaces}$$

have the homotopy type of classifying spaces of finite subgroups of  $G$ . Hence, if we apply the previous proposition, we have that  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} \circ (EG \times_G R(-))$  is equivalent to the constant functor, and then

$$\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG \simeq \mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}}} * \simeq \mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} (N(\mathbf{O}_{\mathcal{F}})) \simeq \mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} (\underline{BG}).$$

Then, by the solution of Miller to the Sullivan conjecture ([Mil84]), we know that the space  $\text{map}_*(W_\infty, \underline{BG})$  is contractible, and hence  $\underline{BG}$  is  $W_\infty$ -null. This means that  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} \underline{BG}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\underline{BG}$ , and we are done. □

The following generalization, that will be widely applied in section 7, is an immediate consequence of the proof of the previous theorem:

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $\mathcal{F}$  is a family of finite subgroups of  $G$  closed under conjugation and taking subgroups, and  $\mathbf{P}_A$  is a nullification functor such that  $\mathbf{P}_A B H \simeq *$  for every  $H \in \mathcal{F}$ , then the map  $f : BG \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is an equivalence after  $A$ -nullification.*

If we particularize for the family of all the finite groups, we obtain the following:

**Corollary 4.4.** *If  $G$  is a discrete group, the classifying spaces  $BG$  and  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  are always equivalent after  $W_{\infty}$ -nullification; moreover, the map  $f$  that was described at the beginning of this section is, in fact, equivalent to the  $W_{\infty}$ -nullification map if  $G$  admits a finite-dimensional model for  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ .*

*Proof.* The fact that  $BG$  and  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  are  $\mathbf{P}_{W_{\infty}}$ -equivalent is a particular case of the previous corollary. For the second statement, if we have the fibration

$$\mathbf{Fib}f \rightarrow BG \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}}G$$

(where  $\mathbf{Fib}f$  stand for the homotopy fibre of  $f$ ), the base is  $W_{\infty}$ -null, and then by ([DF95], 3.D.3) the fibration is preserved under  $W_{\infty}$ -nullification. Now the result is an easy consequence of ([Cha96], 14.2).  $\square$

**Remark 4.5.** It is worth to point out that the finiteness conditions under which the main theorem holds is that there exists a model of  $\underline{B}G$  for which  $\text{map}_*(W_{\infty}, \underline{B}G)$  is weakly contractible). This is weaker than having a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{B}G$ , but the condition that will always hold for the groups that appear in the rest of this note will be the latter, because it is the usual one that appears in the literature of Geometric Group Theory. It would be interesting to find cohomological conditions to be a Miller space (that is, spaces  $X$  for which  $\text{map}_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p, X) \simeq *$ ) for all the primes at the same time, because to these spaces we could also apply the previous theorem. Recall that there already exist well-known cohomological conditions of this kind for isolated primes, such as for example the Lannes-Schwartz Theorem ([LS89]).

We finish this section by showing that the discreteness of  $G$  is necessary in theorem 4.2.

**Example 4.6.** Let us consider the classifying space of  $S^1$ . As the circle is compact, the classifying space for proper  $S^1$ -bundles is a point by definition. On the other hand, consider the rationalization map  $BS^1 \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Q}, 2)$ . By the homotopy long exact sequence, the homotopy fibre of this map has the homotopy type of  $K(\oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}, 1)$ , where the direct sum runs over all primes. As every Prüfer group  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}$  is a colimit of a telescope of injections between  $p$ -groups, the results ([DF95] 1.D.3) and ([Flo03], 3.3) imply that  $\mathbf{P}_{W_{\infty}} K(\oplus \mathbb{Z}_{p^{\infty}}, 1)$  is contractible. Then, by ([DF95] 1.H.1) the rationalization is preserved by  $W_{\infty}$ -nullification, and as  $K(\mathbb{Q}, 2)$  is clearly  $W_{\infty}$ -null, we have that  $\mathbf{P}_{W_{\infty}} BS^1 \simeq K(\mathbb{Q}, 2)$ , which is clearly non-contractible. In fact, it seems plausible to conjecture that the  $W_{\infty}$ -nullification of the classifying space of a compact Lie group is homotopy equivalent to its rationalization.

## 5. THE HOMOTOPY TYPE OF $\underline{B}G$

In this paragraph we are going to prove some interesting consequences that the main theorem 4.2 has over the homotopy type  $\underline{B}G$ . Essentially, the idea is to use properties of the nullification functors for describing the classifying space for  $G$ -proper bundles.

**Remark 5.1.** From now on, we particularize for the case  $\mathcal{F}$  being the family of *all* the finite subgroups of  $G$ , although a great part of the results we obtain in the next sections remains valid for any subfamily of  $\mathcal{F}$  that is subgroup-closed and

conjugation-closed. **We will also suppose that the finiteness conditions of 4.2 hold for all the groups that appear in this section.**

We begin by analyzing the behaviour of the functor  $\underline{B}$  under products.

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  discrete groups. Then the following holds:*

- *A model for  $\underline{B}(G_1 \times G_2)$  is given by  $\underline{B}G_1 \times \underline{B}G_2$ .*
- *The wedge  $\underline{B}G_1 \vee \underline{B}G_2$  is a model for  $\underline{B}(G_1 * G_2)$ .*

*Proof.* It is known that  $B(G_1 \times G_2) \simeq BG_1 \times BG_2$ . Using that  $\underline{B}G_1 \times \underline{B}G_2$  is  $W_\infty$ -null (because the finiteness) and the preservation property ([DF95] 1.A.8, prop. 4), we obtain that

$$\underline{B}(G_1 \times G_2) \simeq \mathbf{P}_{W_\infty}(\underline{B}(G_1 \times G_2)) \simeq \mathbf{P}_{W_\infty}(\underline{B}G_1) \times \mathbf{P}_{W_\infty}(\underline{B}G_2) \simeq \underline{B}G_1 \times \underline{B}G_2.$$

The proof of the second statement is similar, using that  $B(G_1 * G_2) \simeq BG_1 \vee BG_2$  and recalling that we can apply ([DF95], 1.D.5) because a wedge of a special case of pointed homotopy colimit. □

It is worth to point out that these results are known, although this way of proving them is probably new. On the other hand, the second of them can be generalized to other colimits, like some telescopes and pushouts.

**Proposition 5.3.** *Let  $\{G_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  be a family of discrete groups. Then we have:*

- *If we have the pushout of groups*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G_1 & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & G_2 \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_3 & \longrightarrow & G \end{array}$$

*and the homomorphisms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are injective, then the pushout of the induced diagram of classifying spaces for proper  $G$ -bundles is a model for  $\underline{B}G$ .*

- *If  $G_1 \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow G_3 \longrightarrow \dots$  is a telescope of groups where the maps are injective and we denote by  $G$  the colimit of the telescope, we have that the colimit of the telescope induced by  $\underline{B}$  is a model for  $\underline{B}G$ .*

*Proof.* To prove the first statement, recall that by Whitehead's theorem ([Bro82], II.7.3) the pushout of the classical classifying spaces is the classifying space of the pushout. As the inclusions  $BG_1 \hookrightarrow BG_2$  and  $BG_1 \hookrightarrow BG_3$  are cofibrations,  $BG$  has the homotopy type of the homotopy pushout. If we apply now the functor  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty}$  to the diagram, the result is deduced from 4.2, ([DF95], 1.D.3) and the fact that there exists (as we imposed at the beginning of the section) a finite-dimensional model for the homotopy pushout of the induced diagram

$$\underline{B}G_2 \longleftarrow \underline{B}G_1 \longrightarrow \underline{B}G_3.$$

The second statement can be proved in an analogous way using again the relationship between localization and colimits given in ([DF95], 1.D.3) and the fact that the strict colimit of a telescope of cofibrations has always the homotopy type of the homotopy colimit. □

As Whitehead's theorem is not true if the maps that appear in the diagram are not injective, it should not be expected that the functor  $\underline{B}$  preserve colimits in full generality.

Recall now that in ([LN01], prop. 3) it is identified for any discrete group  $G$  the fundamental group of  $\underline{B}G$ , that is the quotient of  $G$  by the (normal) subgroup generated by the torsion elements. Using the main theorem 4.2, we can identify in some cases the universal cover of  $\underline{B}G$ .

**Proposition 5.4.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete group, and let  $T < G$  be the subgroup generated by the torsion elements. If the quotient  $G/T$  is torsion-free, then the universal cover of  $\underline{B}G$  has the homotopy type of the  $W_\infty$ -nullification of  $BT$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $T$  is normal in  $G$ , so we have a fibration

$$BT \longrightarrow BG \longrightarrow B(G/T).$$

As  $G/T$  is torsion-free, its classifying space is  $W_\infty$ -null. Thus the previous fibration is preserved by  $W_\infty$ -nullification, and we obtain another one:

$$\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BT \longrightarrow \underline{B}G \longrightarrow B(G/T).$$

Note that, as  $T$  is a subgroup of  $G$ , every model for  $\underline{E}G$  is also a model for  $\underline{E}T$ . Hence,  $\underline{B}T$  is a model for  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BT$ , and in particular  $\pi_1(\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BT) = \pi_1(\underline{B}T) = \{1\}$ . This implies that  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BT$  is simply-connected, and we are done.  $\square$

The last important consequence of the main theorem that we are going to prove here has to do with fibrations, and will have great importance in the remaining of this note.

It is a well-known fact of basic algebraic topology that if we have a group extension, then the sequence induced at the level of classifying spaces is a fibration sequence. Using the description of 4.2, we find sufficient conditions that guarantee that the analogous result for  $\underline{B}G$  holds, and we show by means of an easy example that the statement does not need to be true if those hypotheses fail to be fulfilled.

So, suppose we have a short exact sequence of groups

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow \{1\}.$$

Then the following result is true:

**Proposition 5.5.** *If  $G_2$  is torsion-free or  $G_1$  admits a contractible model for  $\underline{B}G_1$ , the homotopy fiber of the induced map  $\underline{B}G \longrightarrow \underline{B}G_2$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\underline{B}G_1$ .*

*Proof.* It is enough to combine the results ([DF95], 1.H.1 and 3.D.3) of Dror-Farjoun with our description of  $\underline{B}G$  as a nullification (4.2).  $\square$

The next example will show that the above conditions are necessary.

**Example 5.6.** Consider the group  $D_\infty \times D_\infty$ , and  $H$  the index two subgroup whose elements are the words that can be written with an even number of letters. We have an extension

$$H \longrightarrow D_\infty \times D_\infty \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$$

that induces a sequence of maps

$$\underline{B}H \longrightarrow \underline{B}(D_\infty \times D_\infty) \longrightarrow \underline{B}\mathbb{Z}/2.$$

It is not hard to see that a model for  $\underline{E}(D_\infty \times D_\infty)$  is given by  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , and the quotient by the action of  $(D_\infty \times D_\infty)$  is a square, which is contractible. By 2.22,  $\underline{B}H$  is homotopy equivalent to the 2-sphere, and on the other hand,  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  is finite and so  $\underline{B}\mathbb{Z}/2$  is contractible. This means that the aforementioned sequence cannot be a fibration sequence. Of course, neither of the conditions of the proposition hold in this case.

6. HOMOTOPY MODELS OF  $\underline{BG}$  FOR SOME FAMILIES OF DISCRETE GROUPS

In this section we will use the theorem 4.2 for describing the homotopy type of  $\underline{BG}$  for a wide range of groups. As a byproduct, we will obtain that for every  $G$  nilpotent such that it admits a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{BG}$ ,  $\underline{BG}$  is nilpotent as a space, and we also determine the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of the classifying spaces of  $wom3$  supersoluble groups. Let us start by considering the class of locally finite groups.

**6.1. Locally finite groups.** It is known that the classifying space for proper  $G$ -bundles of a group  $G$  is contractible if the group  $G$  is locally finite. We begin this section by presenting an easy proof of this fact in an ample range of cases.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally finite group that admits a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{BG}$ . Then  $\underline{BG}$  is contractible.*

*Proof.* It is known (see for example [Mil84], 9.8) that every locally finite group is the colimit of the directed system of its finite subgroups. Thus, we have a homotopy equivalence  $BG \simeq \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}} BH$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  is a contractible poset category (because it has an initial object given by the trivial group) and  $BH$  represents all the classifying spaces of finite groups  $H$  of  $G$ . So, by ([DF95], 1.D.3), we obtain

$$\mathbf{P}_W BG \simeq \mathbf{P}_W(\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}} \mathbf{P}_W BH) = \mathbf{P}_W(|\mathcal{C}|) = \mathbf{P}_W(*) = *$$

and we are done.  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.** By (2.18), this result applies, in particular, to all the locally finite groups whose cardinal is smaller than  $\aleph_{\omega}$ .

Now we can prove the following result, that concerns to the classifying space for proper  $G$ -bundles of extensions of locally finite groups.

**Proposition 6.3.** *Let*

$$\{1\} \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow \{1\}$$

*be an extension of groups,  $K$  a locally finite group whose cardinal is smaller than  $\aleph_{\omega}$ , and assume there is a bound in the order of finite subgroups of  $Q$ . Then if  $Q$  admits a finite model for  $\underline{BQ}$ ,  $G$  admits a finite model for  $\underline{BG}$ , and then  $\underline{BQ}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\underline{BG}$ .*

*Proof.* If we apply the results 4.2 and 6.1 we obtain the statement is true if there is a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{BG}$ , and this happens by 2.16.  $\square$

**6.2. Groups with the normalizer condition.** We study now the groups for which the normalizer condition holds. It is greatly remarkable that this class contains all the nilpotent groups.

Recall that a group  $G$  is said to satisfy the *normalizer condition* if every proper subgroup of  $G$  is distinct from its normalizer. In this case the following holds (see [Kur60], pag. 215):

- (1) For every prime  $p$ , there exists a normal  $p$ -group  $T_p$  such that if  $x \in G$  and the order of  $x$  is a power of  $p$ , then  $x \in T_p$ .
- (2) The elements of finite order of  $G$  form a normal subgroup of  $G$  which is isomorphic to  $\prod_p \text{prime } T_p$ .

Throughout this section we will impose to the groups for which the normalizer condition holds that the torsion  $p$ -subgroups  $T_p$  that we have just defined are locally finite. We need this condition because it is not known if the  $B\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of the classifying space of a general  $p$ -group is contractible if the group is not locally

finite. Among the few examples that have been described of non-locally finite  $p$ -groups we can remark the Burnside groups  $B(n, e)$  for  $n > 1$  and  $e > 664$  and the “monsters” of Tarski-Olshanskii. See [Ady75] and [Ols89] for more information about these families of groups.

All these facts have the following interesting consequence:

**Proposition 6.4.** *If  $G$  is a discrete group for which the normalizer condition holds, and  $p_1 \dots p_n$  is a collection of primes, we have a homotopy equivalence  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p_n} BG \simeq \mathbf{B}(G/T_{p_1} \times \dots \times T_{p_n})$ . In particular, there is an equivalence  $\mathbf{P}_{W_\infty} BG \simeq \mathbf{B}(G/\prod_p \text{prime } T_p)$ .*

*Proof.* For simplicity, we will only prove the case of one prime  $p$  (the generalization to a family is immediate). It is clear that  $BT_p$  is  $\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -acyclic, and  $\mathbf{B}(G/T_p)$  is  $\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -null, so if we  $\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullify the fibration

$$BT_p \longrightarrow BG \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(G/T_p)$$

we obtain the desired homotopy equivalence. □

If we suppose that  $G$  is such that exists a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G$  we have:

**Corollary 6.5.**  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G \simeq \mathbf{B}(G/\prod_p \text{prime } T_p)$ .

So we have a complete description of the homotopy type of  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G$ .

Other case that can be solved with the same tools is the following:

**Proposition 6.6.** *Let  $G$  be a discrete group,  $H$  a normal subgroup of  $G$  for which the normalizer conditions holds, and such that  $G/H$  does not have  $p$ -torsion. If  $T_p$  is the  $p$ -torsion subgroup of  $H$ , then the  $\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of  $BG$  fits into the following fibration sequence:*

$$\mathbf{B}(H/T_p) \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} BG \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(G/H)$$

and hence it is an Eilenberg-MacLane space.

*Proof.* The base of the fibration

$$BH \longrightarrow BG \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(G/H)$$

is  $\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -null, so by ([DF95], 3.D.3) the fibre is preserved under  $\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification. The result now follows from 6.4. □

Taking into account the main theorem 4.2, the following corollary is immediate:

**Corollary 6.7.** *In the hypothesis of the previous proposition, if  $G/H$  is torsion-free and  $T$  is the torsion subgroup of  $H$ , then the fibration*

$$\mathbf{B}(H/T) \longrightarrow \underline{\mathbf{B}}G \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}(G/H)$$

defines the classifying space for proper  $G$ -bundles, which is again an Eilenberg-MacLane space.

We conclude this paragraph by focusing on nilpotent groups, that is a distinguished class of discrete groups for which the normalizer condition holds. The following result proves that the  $\mathbf{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification preserves nilpotency when it is applied on classifying spaces of nilpotent groups, and in fact, the functor  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}$  sends nilpotent groups (for which the finiteness conditions hold) to nilpotent spaces.

**Corollary 6.8.** *If  $G$  is a nilpotent group, the nullification  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p_n} \mathbf{B}G$  is, for every set of primes  $p_1, p_2, \dots$ , the classifying space of a nilpotent group. If moreover  $G$  admits a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{B}G$ , we obtain that  $\underline{B}G$  is again the classifying space of a nilpotent group, and hence nilpotent as a space.*

*Proof.* Using the previous results, it is enough recalling that the quotient of a nilpotent group is always nilpotent, and that according to ([Ols89], 2.7.1), every nilpotent  $p$ -group is locally finite. □

In particular, using 2.19 we have that the part of the previous corollary that alludes  $\underline{B}G$  is always true if  $G$  is a nilpotent group whose cardinal is smaller than  $\aleph_\omega$  and whose torsion-free rank is finite.

**6.3. Supersoluble groups.** In this paragraph we will compute, for  $p$  odd, the  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of classifying spaces of supersoluble groups. In this case we obtain no result about the homotopy type of  $\underline{B}G$  (for reasons that will be explained at the end) but we include here this computation because its intrinsical interest, and because the way it has been worked out generalizes in some sense the methods we have used to compute the  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification in the previous sections.

Recall that a group  $G$  is called *supersoluble* if it has cyclic normal series of finite length. It is known that every finitely generated nilpotent group is supersoluble, and that every supersoluble group is polycyclic.

Our key result for computing  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} \mathbf{B}G$  is the following ([Rob72], page 67):

**Proposition 6.9.** *If  $G$  is a supersoluble group, there exists a characteristic series  $1 \trianglelefteq L \trianglelefteq M \trianglelefteq G$ , in such a way that  $L$  is finite with odd order,  $M/L$  is a finitely-generated torsion-free nilpotent group and  $G/M$  is a finite 2-group.*

In the sequel we will use the notation of this proposition. Let  $p$  be an odd prime, and consider the fibration:

$$BL \longrightarrow BM \longrightarrow B(M/L).$$

As  $M/L$  is torsion-free, its classifying space is automatically  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -null, and then by ([DF95], 3.D.3) we have the nullified fibration:

$$\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} BL \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} BM \longrightarrow B(M/L).$$

Using ([Flo03], 3.3), the fundamental group of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} BM$  is identified by an extension

$$L/T_{\mathbb{Z}/p}L \longrightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} BM \longrightarrow M/L$$

where  $T_{\mathbb{Z}/p}L$  is the minimal normal subgroup of  $L$  that contains all the  $p$ -torsion (the  $\mathbb{Z}/p$ -radical), and the universal cover of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} BM$  is homotopy equivalent to  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]_\infty(BT_{\mathbb{Z}/p}L)$ , where  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]_\infty$  denotes Bousfield-Kan  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -completion (see [BK72] for a definition).

Now, we have the fibration that involves  $M$  and  $G$ :

$$BM \longrightarrow BG \longrightarrow B(G/M).$$

This fibration is again preserved under  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification, because  $G/M$  is a 2-group and  $p$  is odd. The long exact sequence of the nullified fibration proves that the fundamental group of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} \mathbf{B}G$  fits into the following exact sequence:

$$\pi_1 \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} BM \longrightarrow \pi_1 \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p} \mathbf{B}G \longrightarrow G/M$$

where the kernel has already been described. On the other hand, the universal cover of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p}\mathbf{B}G$  is the same as the universal cover of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p}\mathbf{B}M$  which is  $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]_{\infty}\mathbf{B}T_{\mathbb{Z}/p}L$ , as we said before. Thus, we have described the desired nullification by means of a Postnikov fibration. On the other hand, the fact that the classifying space of the quotient  $G/M$  is *not*  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/2$ -null makes these methods useless for computing the  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/2$ -nullification of  $\mathbf{B}G$ . As an easy consequence of this,  $\mathbf{B}(G/M)$  is not  $W_{\infty}$ -null (in the notation of 4.2) and then we cannot get any homotopical description of  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G$  in this way.

## 7. NULLIFYING CLASSIFYING SPACES OF GROUPS OF ISOMETRIES VIA PROPER ACTIONS

So far we have applied theorem 4.2 for obtaining results about  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G$  using properties of the nullification functors. In this section we will walk the inverse path, using geometric characteristics of the group  $G$  for describing topological features of the classifying space.

Our analysis has been focused in some of the crystallographic groups of the plane, also known as wallpaper groups. Recall that they are groups of isometries of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  that fix a pattern that is invariant under translations in the directions of two lineally independent vectors. It is known that they are exactly seventeen of these groups, and they are always finite extensions of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  by a finite group. The main references available about the structure of these groups are [Sch78] (that has been specially interesting for us because the big amount of pictures fundamental domains, mirror lines, rotation centers, generating regions, etc. that can be found on it), [Lev02], [Lee02], [CM65], [DDS99] and [Con90]; we refer the reader to them for the details of the structure of the groups that in the sequel will stand without any explicit proof.

The general idea is to describe, for a prime  $p$  and a discrete group  $G$  that have  $p$ -torsion, the homotopy type of the  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of the classifying space of  $G$  using the main theorem 4.2. We have chosen wallpaper groups essentially for two reasons: the first of them is the following structure result, that is a particular case of 2.12:

**Lemma 7.1.** *Let  $G$  be one of the seventeen wallpaper groups. Then  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , endowed with the natural action of  $G$ , is a model for  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G$ .*

The second feature of the wallpaper groups that we are going to use is that all of them possess a well-known model for the orbit space  $\mathbb{R}^2/G$ , which in fact is always described as a finite-dimensional orbifold. A list of these standard models can be found in [Lev02]. According to the previous lemma, these spaces can be also interpreted as models for  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G$ , and using this we will apply 4.2 for obtaining the value of the  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of the classifying space of  $G$ .

Now, if  $G$  is a wallpaper group that only has torsion in a family of primes  $P = \{p_1 \dots p_r\}$ , it is an easy consequence of 4.4 that every model for  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G$  is a model for  $\mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , being  $\mathcal{F}$  the family of finite subgroups of  $G$  whose order is divided only for primes of the family  $P$ . In particular, if  $G$  has torsion only in  $P$  and admits a finite-dimensional model for  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G$ , we have  $\underline{\mathbf{B}}G = \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{F}}G \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p_1 \vee \dots \vee \mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p_r}\mathbf{B}G$ . We will constantly use of this fact in the sequel.

We are going to study here three of the wallpaper groups, namely **pmm**, **p3** and **p3m1**. The main reason of our choice is that they give examples in which the  $\mathbb{B}\mathbb{Z}/p$ -nullification of the classifying space has homological dimension zero, positive and infinite, respectively.

1. **The discope group  $\mathbf{pmm}$ .** As a group of symmetries of the real plane, this group is generated by two perpendicular translations and two reflections whose axes are perpendicular too. A presentation of the group is given by

$$\mathbf{pmm} = \{x, y, z, t \mid xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = 1, z^2 = t^2 = 1, zxz^{-1} = 1, (tx)^2 = (zy)^2 = 1, tyty^{-1} = 1\}.$$

This group contains reflections and rotations, and as it can be seen in the tables of [Sch78], it tessellates the plane with rectangles. The orbit space of the plane by the action of this group is also a rectangle, and hence the classifying space for  $\mathbf{pmm}$ -proper bundles is contractible. On the other hand, as the rotations that appear in the group are of order two, the group only contains torsion at the prime two, and thus  $\underline{\mathbf{Bpmm}}$  is a model for  $B_{\mathcal{F}_2}\mathbf{pmm}$ . Now, according to the main theorem 4.2, we conclude that  $\mathbf{P}_{B\mathbb{Z}/2}\mathbf{Bpmm} \simeq *$ .

2. **The tritrope group  $\mathbf{p3}$ .** This group is generated by two translations whose directions form an angle of  $\pi/3$  and a rotation of angle  $2\pi/3$ . A presentation with these generators is given by:

$$\mathbf{p3} = \{x, y, z; xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = 1, z^3 = 1, zxz^{-1}y^{-1}x = 1, zyz^{-1}x = 1\}.$$

The only distinguished isometries of this group are the 3-rotations, so we have no reflections nor glide-reflections and the torsion is concentrated in the prime three. The fundamental region of  $\mathbf{p3}$  (that is, the smallest region of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  whose images under the action of  $\mathbf{p3}$  cover the plane) is a rhombus, and the action gives rise to a tessellation of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  by hexagons; in fact, this is the simplest wallpaper group such that the induced tessellation is not by quadrilaterals. The quotient  $\mathbb{R}^2/\mathbf{p3}$  has then the shape of a non-slit turnover with three corners and no mirror points (see [Lee02] for details), and in particular it has the homotopy type of a 2-sphere. Hence, using an analogous argument to that of the previous case, we obtain that the  $B\mathbb{Z}/3$ -nullification of  $\mathbf{p3}$  is homotopy equivalent to  $S^2$ .

3. **The tryscope group  $\mathbf{p3m1}$ .** A convenient system of generators for this group is given by the two usual translations (whose directions form again an angle of  $\pi/3$ ), a rotation of angle  $2\pi/3$ , and a reflection whose axe is the bisectrix of the vectors that determine the generating traslations; in particular, the reflection gives torsion in the prime two and the rotation gives it in the prime three. A presentation with this system of generators is the following:

$$\mathbf{p3m1} = \{x, y, z, t; xyx^{-1}y^{-1} = 1, z^3 = t^2 = 1, (tz)^2 = 1, zxz^{-1}y^{-1}x = 1, zyz^{-1}x = 1, (tx)^2 = 1, tyty^{-1}x = 1\}.$$

The fundamental region is in this case an equilateral triangle, and the lattice is hexagonal, as in the previous example. As one can see in [Sch78], the orbit space by the action of  $\mathbf{p3m1}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  is a triangle, and as this is a model for the classifying space for proper bundles, we have that  $\underline{\mathbf{Bp3m1}}$  is contractible. Applying one more time 4.2, we obtain that the  $B\mathbb{Z}/2 \vee B\mathbb{Z}/3$ -nullification of the classifying space of  $\mathbf{p3m1}$  is a point.

Now we are also interested in the  $B\mathbb{Z}/3$ -nullification of  $\mathbf{Bp3m1}$ , and we need to use a somewhat different strategy. The tryscope group can be seen as an extension of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$  by the symmetric group  $S_3$ , and a consequence of this is that  $\mathbf{p3}$  is an index two subgroup of  $\mathbf{p3m1}$ . In particular, this gives rise to a fibration:

$$\mathbf{Bp3} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Bp3m1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{BZ}/2.$$

The base space is  $\mathbf{BZ}/3$ -null, so according to ([DF95], 3.D.3) and our previous description of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3}$ , the  $\mathbf{BZ}/3$ -nullification of  $\mathbf{Bp3m1}$  is identified by a covering fibration:

$$S^2 \longrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1} \longrightarrow \mathbf{BZ}/2.$$

Now observe that the map  $\mathbf{BZ}/3 \longrightarrow *$  is a  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -homology equivalence, and hence  $H^n(\mathbf{Bp3m1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$  is isomorphic to  $H^n(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1}; \mathbb{F}_2)$ . But as  $\mathbf{p3m1}$  has 2-torsion, it has nontrivial  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -cohomology in arbitrarily high degrees, and then its  $\mathbf{BZ}/3$ -nullification does, too. So, using universal coefficients theorem, we obtain that  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1}$  has infinite cohomological dimension.

In fact, it is possible to know a little bit more about the homology of this space, because the previous fibration is orientable (in the sense of [Swi75], page 344). By reductio ab absurdum, suppose that the action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  over the integer homology of  $S^2$  is nontrivial. Then, a computation with the Serre spectral sequence with twisted coefficients shows that the integer cohomology groups  $H^n(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1})$  are finite if  $n > 0$ . On the other hand, as  $\mathbf{Bp3m1} \simeq \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/2 \vee \mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1}$  and the map  $\mathbf{BZ}/2 \vee \mathbf{BZ}/3 \longrightarrow *$  is a rational homology equivalence, the results of ([LS00], section 4) imply that the rational homology of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1}$  is nontrivial, which leads to a contradiction.

So, the fibration is orientable, and moreover it has a section, because the original extension

$$\mathbf{p3} \longrightarrow \mathbf{p31m} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2$$

has. Now, an easy calculation with the Gysin sequence shows that the cohomology algebra (over the integers) of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1}$  is a tensor product  $\Lambda(x) \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_2} (y)$ , where  $x$  and  $y$  have degree 2.

To conclude, note that  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1}$  cannot be homotopy equivalent to  $S^2 \times \mathbf{BZ}/2$ , because in this case the action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  over  $\mathbf{p3}$  in the previous extension, which is induced by the action of  $\mathbb{Z}/2$  over the universal cover of  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{BZ}/3}\mathbf{Bp3m1}$ , would be trivial, and this is not true.

We think that the line of research followed in this section can give a lot of information about  $\mathbf{BZ}/p$ -nullification of classifying spaces of groups of symmetries, and we plan to undertake in subsequent work its description for all the crystallographic (wallpaper and hyperbolic) groups, and also other groups of symmetries as rosette or frieze groups.

## 8. THE HOMOTOPY FIBER OF THE NATURAL MAP $\mathbf{BG} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{F}}G$

We conclude this note by describing to what extent the homotopy fiber of the map  $f : \mathbf{BG} \longrightarrow \mathbf{B}_{\mathcal{F}}G$  defined at the beginning of section 4 can be built using as pieces classifying spaces of subgroups of  $G$  that belong to the family  $\mathcal{F}$ . To make this decomposition, the main tools that we are going to use are the left homotopy Kan extension of a functor and the Gabriel-Zisman localization. Now we will recall briefly these definitions.

In the sequel  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  will be small topological categories. Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. If  $d$  is an object of  $\mathcal{D}$ , then we define the *overcategory*  $F \downarrow d$  as the category whose objects are pairs  $(c, \phi)$  such that  $c$  is an object of  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\phi : F(c) \rightarrow d$  is a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}$ . A morphism between two pairs  $(c, \phi)$  and  $(c', \phi')$  is given by a map  $\psi : c \rightarrow c'$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $\phi(F(c)) = \phi' \circ F(\psi)(c')$ . In the same way, the *undercategory*  $d \downarrow F$  is defined as the category whose objects are pairs  $(c, \phi)$  with  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $\phi : c \rightarrow F(d)$  a morphism in  $\mathcal{D}$ . A morphism between  $\psi : (c, \phi) \rightarrow (c', \phi')$  is a morphism  $\psi' : c \rightarrow c'$  such that  $F(\psi') \circ \phi = \phi'$ . When  $F \downarrow d$  (respectively  $d \downarrow F$ )

is contractible for every object  $d$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  we say that  $F$  is *left cofinal* (respectively *right cofinal*).

**Note 8.1.** The overcategory and undercategory are particular cases of “comma categories”. For a complete study of the comma categories in the general context of category theory see ([Mac71], II.6).

Let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a functor. Segal defined another functor, induced by  $F$ ,

$$L_F : \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Spaces}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{Fun}(\mathcal{D}, \mathbf{Spaces}),$$

whose value on every  $X : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Spaces}$  is given by  $L_F(X)(d) = \text{hocolim}_{F \downarrow d} X \circ p$ , where  $p$  is the projection functor  $p : F \downarrow d \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . The functor  $L_F(X)$  value is called the *homotopy left Kan extension* of  $X$  along  $F$ .

The importance of that construction comes mainly from the next result:

**Theorem 8.2** (Homotopy pushdown theorem). *If  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  and  $X \rightarrow \mathbf{Spaces}$  are functors, then there is a homotopy equivalence*

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} L_F(X) \simeq \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{C}} X.$$

*Proof.* The proof is done making use of the description of the homotopy left Kan extension as the classifying space of a category. See ([HV92], 5.5).  $\square$

Now we will recall the classical definition of localization of a category.

**Theorem 8.3** (Gabriel-Zisman). *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a category. There exists another category  $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$  and a functor  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$  such that the following conditions hold:*

- $\mathcal{L}$  inverts the morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$ .
- If  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  is another functor making the morphisms of  $\mathcal{C}$  invertible, there exists one and only one functor  $F' : \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  such that  $F' \circ \mathcal{L} = F$ .

$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{C})$  is called the *category of fractions* of  $\mathcal{C}$  or simply the *localization* of  $\mathcal{C}$ .

*Proof.* See ([GZ67], chapter 1).  $\square$

Recall that if  $X$  is a simplicial complex, the simplex category  $\mathbf{\Gamma X}$  is the category whose objects are the simplexes of  $X$ , and whose maps are the face maps (there are no nontrivial degeneracies). We will assume in the rest of the section that we will work with the model of  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  constructed in 3.6. In the problem we are interested,  $\mathbf{\Gamma B}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{G}^{op}$  will play the role of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\mathcal{D}$  will be the localization of  $\mathbf{\Gamma B}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{G}^{op}$ .

We have developed now all the ingredients we need, and we can give the decomposition, that is based in the concept of “homotopy average”, proposed by Dror-Farjoun (see [DF95], chapter 9). So, consider the map  $BG \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ ; if  $S$  is the functor defined in section 3, call  $\overline{S}$  the composition of  $N(S)$  with the Borel construction  $EG \times_G (-)$ . According to 3.6 and ([DH00], 6.5), we have  $\text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{\Gamma B}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{G}^{op}} \overline{S} \simeq BG$ . Now, if  $\mathcal{L}$  is the localization functor previously defined, we can consider the left homotopy Kan extension  $L_{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{S})$ . The homotopy pushdown theorem 8.2 implies that we have a homotopy equivalence

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\Gamma B}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{G}^{op})} L_{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{S}) \simeq \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{\Gamma B}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{G}^{op}} \overline{S}.$$

So joining all this data we obtain a string of maps

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{\Gamma B}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{G}^{op})} L_{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{S}) \simeq \text{hocolim}_{\mathbf{\Gamma B}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{G}^{op}} \overline{S} \simeq BG \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}}G.$$

But now, if  $\sigma$  is a  $n$ -simplex in  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , it is clear that its inverse image by that string of maps is precisely  $L_{\mathcal{L}}\overline{S}(\sigma)$ , so we can establish the following

**Theorem 8.4.** *If  $f : BG \rightarrow B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is the map previously defined, then*

$$\mathbf{Fib}f \simeq L_{\mathcal{L}}\overline{S}(\sigma)$$

for any simplex  $\sigma$  of  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ . Here  $\mathbf{Fib}f$  stands for the homotopy fiber of  $f$ .

*Proof.* We need only check that the homotopy type of  $L_{\mathcal{L}}\overline{S}(\sigma)$  does not depend on the simplex  $\sigma$  of  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ . We know, by the construction of the Kan extension, that  $L_{\mathcal{L}}(\overline{S})(\sigma) = \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma}(\overline{S} \circ p)$ , where  $p$  is the projection functor  $p : \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ . So, if  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma'$  are two distinct simplices of  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$ , it is enough to see that the overcategories  $\mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma$  and  $\mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma'$  are equivalent. In order to check this, let  $g : \sigma \rightarrow \sigma'$  be a morphism in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{GB}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbf{G}^{op})$ , that always exist because  $B_{\mathcal{F}}G$  is connected. In these conditions,  $g$  induces a natural transformation

$$\mathbf{T}_g : \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma'$$

that sends every object  $(\tau, \phi)$  of  $\mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma$  to  $(\tau, g \circ \phi) \in \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma'$  and the morphisms to the obvious ones. But the morphism  $g$  is invertible (because is a morphism in the localized category), and clearly the natural transformations  $\mathbf{T}_g$  and  $\mathbf{T}_{g^{-1}}$  are inverses one of each other. In other words, the two overcategories are equivalent, and the corresponding homotopy colimits have the same homotopy type. So we are done.  $\square$

The following corollary is immediate:

**Corollary 8.5.** *The homotopy fiber  $\mathbf{Fib}f$  has the homotopy type of  $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma}(\overline{S} \circ p)$ , and in particular it is a homotopy colimit of classifying spaces of groups of  $\mathcal{F}$  over a contractible category.*

We conclude this section by proving that the nerves of the two overcategories that appear in the proof of the previous theorem are contractible. We think that this question can have independent interest, and we would like to point out that, although the result seems to be known (see [DF95], 9.E.3), we have found no proof in the literature, so we give this one.

**Proposition 8.6.** *Let  $X$  be a simplicial complex, and let  $\mathcal{L} : \mathbf{GX} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbf{GX})$  be the Gabriel-Zisman localization functor, where  $\mathbf{GX}$  is the simplex category of  $X$ . Then for every simplex  $\sigma \in X$  the overcategory  $\mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma$  is contractible.*

*Proof.* The idea of the proof is to build, for every simplex  $\sigma \in X$  a homotopy between the identity map  $\text{Id}_{\mathbf{N}(\mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma)}$  and a constant map. In order to do this, we will prove firstly the existence of a sequence of endofunctors

$$\{F_n\} : \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma$$

for every  $n \geq 0$  such that  $F_0 = \text{Id}$  and for every  $(\tau, \phi) \in \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma$  there exists a natural number  $n_{(\tau, \phi)}$  in such a way that  $F_m((\tau, \phi)) = (\sigma, \text{Id})$  for every  $m \geq n_{(\tau, \phi)}$ .

In the sequel the maps in  $\mathbf{GX}$  and their images in  $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{GX})$  will be denoted indistinctly by  $i_\alpha$ , where  $\alpha$  will be an appropriate subindex. The inverse of  $i_\alpha$  in the localized category will be called  $j_\alpha$ .

It is plain from the definition of the localization functor that every element  $(\tau, \phi)$  of  $\mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma$  admits a unique expression of the form  $(\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)$ , where we allow that  $j_n$  or  $i_1$  can be the identity (but no one of the other maps that appear),  $j_{t-1} \neq i_t^{-1} \neq j_{t+1}$  for every  $t$ .

So, we begin with  $F_0 = \text{Id}$ . Let us define the functor

$$F_1 : \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}\downarrow\sigma.$$

If  $(\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)$  is an element of the overcategory, then we say  $F_1((\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)) = (i_1(\tau), j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2)$ , and the map induced by a face

map will be sent to the identity map between the images. This can be easily seen a well-defined functor.

Now,  $F_2 : \mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma$  will be defined as  $F_2((\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)) = (j_2^{-1} \circ i_1(\tau), j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ i_3)$ . Observe that this is well-defined because the localization functor is, in this case, bijective over the objects. Again, the image of every morphism by  $F_2$  will be the identity. It is clear again that this is a functor.

In an analogous way, we can define, for  $m$  odd,  $F_m((\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)) = (i_m \circ j_{m-1} \circ i_1(\tau), j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_{m+1})$ , and for  $m$  even,  $F_m((\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)) = (j_m \circ i_{m-1} \circ i_1(\tau), j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ i_{m+1})$ , and the image sends every morphism to the identity map. This is the sequence we were looking for.

Our next goal will be to relate all these functors by natural transformations, in order to obtain the desired homotopy.

Let  $m \geq 0$  be again a natural number. First we will define the transformation  $T_{2m} : F_{2m} \rightarrow F_{2m+1}$ . If  $(\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)$  is an object of the overcategory, we define the map  $F_{2m}((\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)) \rightarrow F_{2m+1}((\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1))$  as the obvious map induced by

$$i_{2m} : j_{2m-1} \circ \dots \circ i_1(\tau) \rightarrow i_{2m} \circ j_{2m-1} \circ \dots \circ i_1(\tau).$$

On the other hand, we define, for every  $m \geq 1$ , the natural transformation  $T_{2m-1} : F_{2m} \rightarrow F_{2m-1}$  in the following way:  $F_{2m}((\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1)) \rightarrow F_{2m-1}((\tau, j_n \circ i_{n-1} \circ \dots \circ j_2 \circ i_1))$  is the map induced by

$$i_{2m-1} : j_{2m-1} \circ \dots \circ i_1(\tau) \rightarrow i_{2m-2} \circ j_{2m-3} \circ \dots \circ i_1(\tau).$$

Recall the fact that, by definition of the  $j$ 's,  $j^{-1}$  represents a morphism in  $\mathbf{GX}$ .

By the previous arguments we have defined a string of natural transformations

$$\text{Id} = F_0 \xrightarrow{T_0} F_1 \xleftarrow{T_1} F_2 \xrightarrow{T_2} F_3 \xleftarrow{T_3} \dots$$

Before we continue, we shall do a couple of remarks.

- It is known ([DH00], I.5) the functors  $F_n$  define simplicial maps from nerves

$$N(F_n) : N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma) \rightarrow N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)$$

which, the same way, define maps  $|f_n|$  from the realization of the nerve to itself. The fact that  $F_n$  is always related to  $F_{n+1}$  by a natural transformations means that  $f_n$  is simplicially homotopic to  $f_{n+1}$ , and, in addition,  $|f_n|$  is homotopic to  $|f_{n+1}|$ . The crucial point here is the homotopies between the realization of the maps are first defined over the vertices of the nerve of  $\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma \times I$  (with the usual simplicial structure of the product) and then extended by linearity to all the complex. We will use this fact later.

- Let  $(\tau, j_n \circ \dots \circ i_1)$  be an object of the overcategory. From the definitions of the functors  $F_i$  we can deduce that  $F_n \circ \dots \circ F_1((\tau, j_n \circ \dots \circ i_1)) = (\sigma, \text{Id})$ . So, as the chain of maps  $j_n \circ \dots \circ i_1$  is always finite, we can say that for every  $(\tau, \phi) \in (\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)$  there exists a minimal natural number  $n_{(\tau, \phi)}$  such that  $F_{n_{\tau, \phi}} \circ \dots \circ F_1((\tau, \phi)) = (\sigma, \text{Id})$ . At the level of nerves, we are saying that for every vertex  $v \in N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)$  there exists  $n_v$  such that  $f_{n_v} \circ \dots \circ f_1(v) = N(\sigma, \text{Id})$ .

For  $n$  even, let us call  $H_n$  the simplicial homotopy induced by the transformation  $T_n$ . If  $n$  is odd, we call  $H'_{n-1}$  the homotopy induced by  $T_n$  between  $f_n$  and  $f_{n-1}$ , and put  $H_{n-1}(x, t) = H'_{n-1}(x, 1-t)$ , the homotopy that begins in  $f_{n-1}$  and ends at  $f_n$ .

Now we are prepared to define the homotopy between the identity and the constant map from the realization to itself with value  $|N(\sigma, \text{Id})|$  (in the rest we will

call this element  $*$ ). So, consider a vertex  $v \in N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)$ . We define a map  $H : |N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)| \times I \longrightarrow |N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)|$  by

$$H(v, t) = \begin{cases} |H_0|(v, n_v t) & \text{if } t \in [0, \frac{1}{n_v}] \\ |H_1|(v, n_v t - 1) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{1}{n_v}, \frac{2}{n_v}] \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ |H_{n-1}|(v, n_v t - (n-1)) & \text{if } t \in [\frac{n-1}{n_v}, 1] \end{cases}$$

The map  $H$  defined in this way lineally extends to all of  $|N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)|$ . Let us see  $H$  is the desired map.

- (1) If  $v$  is a vertex of  $N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)$ ,  $H(v, 0) = H_0(v, 0) = v$ . In the same way,  $H(v, 1) = H_{n_v}(v, 1) = *$ . As  $|H|_i$  is defined by linear extension for every  $i$  and the same happens with  $H$ , the previous equalities hold for every point of the complex.
- (2)  $H$  is continuous respect  $t$  because the homotopies  $|H_i|$  are, and  $|H_j(x, 1)| = f_{j+1}(x) = |H_{j+1}(x, 0)|$  for every  $x \in |N(\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma)|$ .
- (3) Finally,  $H$  is continuous respect the first component because it is defined by linear extension of a map defined on the vertices of a simplicial complex.

These three statements prove that  $H$  is the homotopy between the identity and the constant map we were looking for. So,  $\mathcal{L} \downarrow \sigma$  is contractible. □

#### REFERENCES

- [Ady75] S.I. Adyan, *The Burnside problem and identities in groups*. Izdat. Nauka, Moscow, 1975.
- [AD01] G.Z. Arone and W.G. Dwyer, *Partition complexes, Tits buildings and symmetric products*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **82** (2001), no. 1, 229–256.
- [BCH94] P. Baum, A. Connes and N. Higson, *Classifying space for proper actions and K-Theory of group C\*-Algebras*, Contemporary Maths. **167** (1994), 241–291.
- [BK72] A.K. Bousfield and D.M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 304, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [BLN01] N.P. Brady, I.J. Leary and B.E.A. Nucinkis, *On algebraic and geometric dimensions for groups with torsion*, Journal of London Math. Soc. **64** (2001), no. 2, 489–500.
- [Bou94] A.K. Bousfield, *Localization and periodicity in unstable homotopy theory*, Journal of the A.M.S. **7** (1994), no. 4, 831–873.
- [Bou97] ———, *Homotopical localizations of spaces*, Amer. J. Math. **119** (1997), no. 6, 1321–1354.
- [Bre72] G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, 1972.
- [Bro82] K.S. Brown, *Cohomology of groups*, Graduate Text in Maths., vol. 87, Springer, 1982.
- [Cha96] W. Chachólski, *On the functors  $\mathbf{CW}_A$  and  $\mathbf{P}_A$* , Duke J. Math. **84** (1996), no. 3, 599–631.
- [CM65] H. Coxeter and W. Moser, *Generators and relations for discrete groups*, 2nd ed., Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, vol. 14, Springer-Verlag, 1965.
- [Con90] J.H. Conway, *The orbifold notation for surface groups*, Groups, combinatorics and geometry, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., no. 165, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1990, pp. 438–447.
- [Dav83] M.W. Davis, *Groups generated by reflections and aspherical manifolds not covered by euclidean space*, Ann. of Math. (2) **117** (1983), 293–324.
- [DDS99] M. Du Sautuoy, J. Dermott and G. Smith, *Zeta functions of crystallographic groups and analytic continuation*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (1999), no. 3, 511–534.
- [DF95] E. Dror-Farjoun, *Cellular spaces, null spaces and homotopy localization*, Lecture Notes in Maths., vol. 1622, Springer, 1995.
- [DH00] W.G. Dwyer and H.W. Henn, *Homotopy theoretic methods in group cohomology*, Adv. Courses in Math., vol. 1, CRM Barcelona, 2000.
- [Die87] T. Tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*, De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics, vol. 8, de Gruyter and Co., 1987.
- [Dwy97] W.G. Dwyer, *Homology decompositions for classifying spaces of finite groups*, Topology **36** (1997), no. 4, 783–804.
- [Flo03] R.J. Flores, *Nullification and cellularization of classifying spaces of finite groups*, Preprint, available at <http://hopf.math.purdue.edu/cgi-bin/generate?/Flores/draft1>.

- [GJ99] P.G. Goerss and J.F. Jardine, *Simplicial homotopy theory*, Progress in Mathematics, vol. 174, Birkhäuser, 1999.
- [GZ67] P. Gabriel and M. Zisman, *Calculus of fractions and homotopy theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [HV92] J. Hollender and R.M. Vogt, *Modules of topological spaces, applications to homotopy limits and  $E_\infty$ -structures*, Arch. Math. **59** (1992), 115–129.
- [Jar02] J.F. Jardine, *Simplicial approximation*, Preprint, available at <http://hopf.math.purdue.edu/cgi-bin/generate?/Jardine/simpset3>
- [KM98] P.H. Kropholler and G. Mislin, *Groups acting on finite dimensional spaces with finite stabilizers*, Comment. Math. Helv. **73** (1998), no. 1, 122–136.
- [Kur60] A.G. Kurosh, *The theory of groups*, vol. II, Chelsea publishing Co., 1960.
- [Lee02] X. Lee, [http://www.xahlee.org/wallpaper\\_dir/c5\\_17wallpapergroups.html](http://www.xahlee.org/wallpaper_dir/c5_17wallpapergroups.html).
- [Lev02] S. Levy, <http://www.geom.umn.edu/docs/reference/crc-formulas/node12.html>.
- [LN01] I.J. Leary and B.E.A. Nucinkis, *Every CW-Complex is a classifying space for proper bundles*, Topology **40** (2001), no. 3, 539–550.
- [LS89] J. Lannes and L. Schwartz, *Sur la structure des  $A$ -modules instables injectifs*, Topology **28**, no. 2, 153–169
- [LS00] W. Lück and R. Stamm, *Computations of  $K$ - and  $L$ -Theory of cocompact planar groups*, K-Theory **21** (2000), no. 3, 249–292.
- [Lüc89] W. Lück, *Transformation groups and algebraic  $K$ -Theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1408, Springer-Verlag, 1989.
- [Lüc00] ———, *The type of the classifying space for a family of subgroups*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **149** (2000), no. 2, 177–203.
- [Mac71] S. MacLane, *Categories for the working mathematician*, Graduate Texts in Maths., vol. 5, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [Mil84] H. Miller, *On the Sullivan conjecture for mapping spaces*, Annals of Math. (1984), 1–90.
- [Mis01] G. Mislin, *On the classifying space for proper actions*, Cohomological Methods in Homotopy Theory, Progress in Math., no. 196, Birkhäuser, 2001, pp. 263–269.
- [MV01] G. Mislin and A. Valette, *Advanced course in proper group actions*, CRM, Barcelona, 2001.
- [Ols89] A.Y. Ol’shanskii, *The geometry of defining relations in groups*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989.
- [Pla02] R.J. Platten, *On the minimal dimension of a classifying space for proper bundles*, in preparation.
- [Rob72] D. Robinson, *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups*, vol. 62, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [Sch78] D. Schattschneider, *The plane symmetry groups: their recognition and notation*, Amer. Math. Monthly **85** (1978), no. 6, 439–450.
- [Ser80] J.P. Serre, *Trees*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [Swi75] R.M. Switzer, *Algebraic topology – homotopy and homology*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 212, Springer-Verlag, 1975.
- [Tho79] R.W. Thomason, *Homotopy colimits in the category of small categories*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc. **85** (1979), no. 1, 91–109.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE BARCELONA, E-08193 BELLATERRA, SPAIN

*E-mail address:* `ramonj@mat.uab.es`