

# Categorical Sequences

Rob Nendorf, Nick Scoville and Jeff Strom

December 9, 2004

## Abstract

We define and study the categorical sequence of a space, which is a new formalism that streamlines the computation of the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a space  $X$  by induction on its CW skeleta. The  $k^{\text{th}}$  term in the categorical sequence of a CW complex  $X$ ,  $\sigma_X(k)$ , is the least integer  $n$  for which  $\text{cat}_X(X_n) \geq k$ . We show that  $\sigma_X$  is a well-defined homotopy invariant of  $X$ . We prove that  $\sigma_X(k+l) \geq \sigma_X(k) + \sigma_X(l)$ , which is one of three keys to the power of categorical sequences. In addition to this formula, we provide formulas relating the categorical sequences of spaces and some of their algebraic invariants, including their cohomology algebras and their rational models; we also find relations between the categorical sequences of the spaces in a fibration sequence and give a preliminary result on the categorical sequence of a product of two spaces in the rational case. We completely characterize the sequences which can arise as categorical sequences of formal rational spaces. The most important of the many examples that we offer is a simple proof of a theorem of Ghienne: if  $X$  is a member of the Mislin genus of the Lie group  $Sp(3)$ , then  $\text{cat}(X) = \text{cat}(Sp(3))$ .

**MSC Classification** 55M30, 55P62

**Keywords** Categorical sequence, Lusternik Schnirelmann category, CW skeleta, rational homotopy

## Introduction

The **Lusternik-Schnirelmann category** of a topological space  $X$  is the least integer  $k$  for which  $X$  has an open cover  $X = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$  with the property that each inclusion map  $X_j \hookrightarrow X$  is homotopic to a constant map; it is denoted  $\text{cat}(X)$ . This homotopy invariant of topological spaces was first introduced by Lusternik and Schnirelmann in 1934 as a tool to use in studying functions on (compact) manifolds: a smooth function  $f : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  must have at least  $\text{cat}(M) + 1$  critical points.

If  $X$  is a CW complex, then  $X_n = X_{n-1} \cup_\alpha (n\text{-cells})$ , and therefore  $\text{cat}(X_n) \leq \text{cat}(X_{n-1}) + 1$ . Berstein and Hilton asked what conditions must be placed on the attaching map  $\alpha$  in order to guarantee that equality holds in this upper

bound [3]; the answer is that equality holds when a certain set of generalized Hopf invariants does not contain the trivial map  $*$ . Thus it is possible, at least in principle, to compute the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a finite-dimensional CW complex inductively up its skeleta.

It was shown in [34] that the Hopf sets for lower-dimensional skeleta partially determine the Hopf sets for high-dimensional skeleta. In actual computations, this makes it possible to ‘bootstrap’ up from relatively simple low-dimensional results to (apparently) difficult high-dimensional calculations. Our goal in this paper is to establish a convenient formalism for doing category calculations while making use of all low-dimensional information.

This is done via the **categorical sequence** of a space  $X$ , which is a function  $\sigma_X : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined by

$$\sigma_X(k) = \inf\{n \mid \text{cat}_X(X_n) \geq k\}$$

where  $\text{cat}_X(X_n)$  is the category of  $X_n$  in  $X$  (see Definition 4). It is shown in Propositions 8 and 9 that  $\sigma_X$  is a well-defined homotopy invariant of  $X$ ; i.e., that, when  $n$  is larger than the connectivity of  $X$ ,  $\text{cat}_X(X_n)$  depends only on  $n$  and the homotopy type of  $X$ , and not on any choices made in constructing a CW decomposition of  $X$ . If  $X$  is finite-dimensional, then  $\sigma_X$  determines  $\text{cat}(X)$ ; examples due to Roitberg [26] show that this is not true for infinite-dimensional spaces. In any case, the categorical sequence of  $X$  holds a wealth of useful information.

Though we are not directly concerned with the applications of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category to critical point theory in this paper, categorical sequences could play a useful role there. For example, in the study of the  $n$ -body problem, one is often interested in infinite-dimensional Sobolev spaces  $W$ ; in order to apply the Lusternik-Schnirelmann method in this situation, it is necessary to find compact subsets  $K \subseteq W$  such that the relative category  $\text{cat}_W(K)$  is large (see [1, Rmks. 2.15 & 3.5], [6, Thm. 4.6], or [25], for example). The categorical sequence of  $W$  gives *lower* estimates on the dimension of such subsets. If  $\sigma_W(k) = n$ , then  $\text{cat}_W(W_{n-1}) < k$ ; if  $\dim(K) < n$  then  $K$  can be deformed into  $W_{n-1}$ , and so  $\text{cat}_W(K) < k$ .

Our theoretical results establish formulas for calculation with categorical sequences. Some of the statements make use of another sequence, the **product length sequence** of a nonnegatively graded commutative algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ , defined by setting  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(k)$  to be the least dimension  $n$  for which  $\mathcal{A}^n$  contains a nontrivial  $k$ -fold product.

**Proposition 16** *For any space  $X$  and any ring  $R$ ,  $\sigma_X \leq \sigma_{H^*(X;R)}$ .*

We also estimate the categorical sequence of a rational space in terms of any of its models.

**Proposition 21** *If  $X$  is a simply-connected rational space and  $\mathcal{A}$  is any model for  $X$ , then  $\sigma_X \geq \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ .*

Recall that a simply-connected rational space  $X$  is *formal* if its cohomology algebra  $H^*(X)$ , with trivial differential, is a model for  $X$ . Thus we have the following computation for formal spaces.

**Proposition 30** *If  $X$  is a simply-connected formal rational space, then  $\sigma_X = \sigma_{H^*(X)}$ .*

More generally, we completely determine the sequences  $\sigma$  which can arise as the categorical sequences of simply-connected formal rational spaces.

**Theorem 31** *The following conditions on a sequence  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma(1) > 1$  are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\sigma = \sigma_A$  for some commutative graded algebra  $A$ ,
- (b)  $\sigma(k+1) \geq \frac{k+1}{k} \sigma(k)$  for each  $k$ ,
- (c)  $\sigma = \sigma_W$  where  $W = \bigvee P_i$  and  $P_i = \prod S^{n_j}$  is a product of spheres, and
- (d)  $\sigma = \sigma_X$  for some formal rational space  $X$ .

The keys to the computational power of categorical sequences, though, are the three properties listed in the following theorem. In order to prove parts (b) and (c) for *all* spaces (and not just spaces of finite type, say), we have to use a set-theoretical framework in which Whitehead's problem (which asks: does  $\text{Ext}(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  imply  $A$  is free?) has a positive solution. See §1.1 and Lemma 3 for details.

**Theorem 18** *For any space  $X$ ,*

- (a)  $\sigma_X(k+l) \geq \sigma_X(k) + \sigma_X(l)$ ,
- (b) if  $X$  is simply-connected and  $\sigma_X(k) = n$ , then  $H^n(X; A) \neq 0$  for some coefficient group  $A$ , and
- (c) if equality occurs in (a) and  $X$  is simply-connected, then the cup product

$$H^k(X; A) \otimes H^l(X; B) \rightarrow H^{k+l}(X; A \otimes B)$$

*is nontrivial for some choice of coefficients.*

The point we hope to make in this paper is that calculation with sequences is no harder than calculation of category; indeed, the extra information contained in the sequence, together with Theorem 18, can greatly facilitate computations.

To illustrate this point, let  $X$  be any simply-connected space such that  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(Sp(3); \mathbb{Z}) = \Lambda(x_3, x_7, x_{11})$ . The categorical sequence  $\sigma_X$  clearly has  $\sigma_X(1) = 3$  and  $\sigma_X(2) \geq 7$  by Theorem 18(b). By Theorem 18(a),  $\sigma_X(4) \geq \sigma_X(2) + \sigma_X(2) \geq 14$ . Furthermore,  $\sigma_X(4) > 14$  by Theorem 18(c), because the cup product  $H^7(X) \otimes H^7(X) \rightarrow H^{14}(X)$  is trivial. Now we have  $\sigma_X(4) \geq 18$  by Theorem 18(b), and hence  $\sigma_X(5) \geq \sigma_X(4) + \sigma_X(1) = 21$  by Theorem 18(a). Since  $X$  is 21-dimensional (up to homotopy), this proves that  $\text{cat}(X) \leq 5$  by Theorem 18(b). We will see in Theorem 37 below that this calculation constitutes a simple proof of a result of Ghienne [16] about the Mislin genus of  $Sp(3)$ .

Theorem 18 can also be used to prove a generalization of a somewhat obscure result of Ganea [14].

**Corollary 20** *Let  $X$  be simply-connected and of finite type with  $\sigma_X(k) = n$ . If there are integers  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_l$  such that*

$$\{n \mid \tilde{H}^n(X; G) \neq 0 \text{ for some } G\} \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_l$$

where  $I_j = [a_j, a_j + (n - 1)]$  (brackets denote closed intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ ), then  $\text{cat}(X) < k(l + 1)$ .

The importance of Corollary 20 is not the result as such. Rather, it is the fact that, since it simply encodes an elementary computation with sequences, the result can be safely disregarded without losing computational power. Our proof is completely different from the one given in [14]. Ganea's proof makes use of the Blakers-Massey theorem: certain cofiber sequences are treated as fibration sequences. Our argument uses Theorem 18, which in turn rests on a much more elementary fact: the factorization  $\Delta_{k+l} = (\Delta_k \times \Delta_l) \circ \Delta_2$  of diagonal maps.

One of our most pleasing general results gives formulas relating the categorical sequences of the spaces in a fibration sequence.

**Theorem 24** *Let  $F \xrightarrow{q} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration sequence and write  $a = \text{cat}(q) \leq \text{cat}(F)$  and  $b = \text{cat}(p) \leq \text{cat}(B)$ . Then*

- (a)  $\sigma_E(k(a + 1)) \geq \sigma_B(k)$ , and
- (b)  $\sigma_E(k(b + 1)) \geq \sigma_F(k)$ .

As a corollary to Theorem 24 we obtain the following elaboration of the celebrated Mapping Theorem from the rational theory of Lusternik-Schnirelmann category.

**Proposition 29** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map between simply-connected rational spaces which induces an injective map  $f_* : \pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(Y)$ . Then  $\sigma_X \geq \sigma_Y$ .*

Finally, we address products. To state our result (and our conjectures), we construct, for sequences  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , a 'product sequence'  $\sigma * \tau$  defined by  $\sigma * \tau(k) = \min\{\sigma(i) + \tau(j) \mid i + j = k\}$ . It is not hard to see, using Proposition 21, that if  $X$  and  $Y$  are simply-connected formal rational spaces, then  $\sigma_{X \times Y} = \sigma_X * \sigma_Y$ . We conjecture that this equation holds in general for simply-connected rational spaces. So far however, the best we have been able to do is an inequality.

**Theorem 35** *If  $X$  and  $Y$  are simply-connected rational spaces, then  $\sigma_{X \times Y} \leq \sigma_X * \sigma_Y$ .*

This inequality is certainly not true in general for nonrational spaces, as the examples of Iwase [20] show. However, we conjecture that the *reverse* inequality  $\sigma_X * \sigma_Y \leq \sigma_{X \times Y}$  is valid, not only for rational spaces, but for *all* spaces.

*Acknowledgments.* We thank Don Stanley, Martin Arkowitz, Yves Félix, Daniel Tanré and Terrell Hodge for their interest and advice at various stages of this project.

## 1 Preliminaries

In this section we establish the basic notation and concepts that will be used in the body of the paper.

## 1.1 Basics

We work with pointed spaces and maps; we use  $*$  to denote the one point space or any trivial map. We use  $\text{id}_X : X \rightarrow X$  to denote the identity map and  $\Delta_k : X \rightarrow X^k$  to denote the diagonal map  $\Delta_k(X) = (x, x, \dots, x)$ . The symbol  $\simeq$  denotes homotopy equivalence of spaces or homotopy of maps. All solid arrow diagrams in this paper are (homotopy) commutative.

If  $S$  is a set of real numbers, then  $\inf(S)$  is the infimum of  $S$ . We adopt the convention that  $\inf(\emptyset) = \infty$ .

**SET THEORY** Whitehead's problem asks: if  $A$  is an abelian group such that  $\text{Ext}(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , does it follow that  $A$  is free? The answer is 'yes' if  $A$  is finitely generated. Shelah has shown that the general problem is undecidable in ordinary ZFC set theory, but the answer is 'yes' if Gödel's Axiom of Constructibility is assumed [30]. In order to avoid (unnecessary) hypotheses in Lemma 3 and Theorem 18 below,

- *we work in a set theory where  $\text{Ext}(A, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  implies that  $A$  is free.*

For those uncomfortable with this assumption, we emphasize that *ordinary ZFC set theory is sufficient to prove Lemma 3 and Theorem 18 when  $H^n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is finitely generated for each  $n$ .*

## 1.2 Skeleta

We are concerned with the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of the CW skeleta of a space. It will simplify some of our later work to use the following slightly abstract notion of skeleton.

**Definition 1** *An  $n$ -skeleton for a space  $X$  is a map  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$ , where  $X_n$  is a CW complex such that*

- (a)  $X_n$  is  $n$ -dimensional (up to homotopy), and
- (b)  $i$  is an  $n$ -equivalence.

This definition is justified by the observation that an  $n$ -skeleton  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$  can be taken as the  $n^{\text{th}}$  CW skeleton of a CW replacement for  $X$ .

The following result will help us to recognize skeleta. We omit the proof.

**Lemma 2** *Let  $i : A \rightarrow X$  where  $A$  and  $X$  are simply-connected. Then  $i$  is an  $n$ -skeleton for  $X$  if and only if*

- (a)  $H^*(A) = 0$  for  $* > n$  in all coefficients, and
- (b) the induced map  $i^* : H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(A)$  is an isomorphism for  $* < n$  and is injective for  $* = n$  in all coefficients.

When we are working with rational spaces [11] we will want our skeleta to also be rational spaces. Unfortunately, this won't always happen; for example, the inclusion  $\bigvee_{n=1}^{\infty} S^n \hookrightarrow S_{\mathbb{Q}}^n$  is an  $n$ -skeleton for the rational  $n$ -sphere. We avoid this problem by defining a **rational  $n$ -skeleton** of a simply-connected rational space  $X$  to be a map  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$  where  $X_n$  is a simply-connected rational space such that

- (a)  $H^*(X_n; \mathbb{Q}) = 0$  for  $* > n$ , and
- (b) the induced map  $i^* : H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(X_n; \mathbb{Q})$  is an isomorphism for  $* < n$  and is injective for  $* = n$ .

Rational  $n$ -skeleta are plentiful: if  $X$  is a rational space and  $X_n$  is an (integral)  $n$ -skeleton of  $X$ , then  $(X_n)_{\mathbb{Q}}$  is a rational  $n$ -skeleton of  $X$ .

In view of the discussion above, we make a standing convention that if a space  $X$  is assumed to be rational, then whenever we refer to an  $n$ -skeleton of  $X$ , we actually mean a *rational*  $n$ -skeleton.

The proof of part (b) of our next result in full generality depends on a positive solution to Whitehead's problem.

**Lemma 3** *Let  $X$  be a simply-connected space.*

- (a) *If  $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is free abelian, then  $X$  has an  $n$ -skeleton  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$  such that  $i^* : H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(X_n)$  is an isomorphism for  $* \leq n$ ,*
- (b) *If  $H^n(X; A) = 0$  for all coefficient groups  $A$ , then  $X$  has an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $n$ -skeleton.*

*The corresponding statements also hold for all simply-connected rational spaces.*

**Proof** Write  $M(G, n)$  for the Moore space with  $H_n(M(G, n); \mathbb{Z}) \cong G$  and  $H_i(M(G, n); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $i \neq 0, n$ . When  $G$  is free abelian, we take  $M(G, n) = \bigvee S^n$ . According to [4], any simply-connected space  $X$  admits a homology decomposition, i.e., a sequence of CW complexes  $X(n)$  which are related to one another by cofiber sequences  $M_{n-1} \rightarrow X(n-1) \rightarrow X(n)$  (where  $M_{n-1} = M(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}), n-1)$ ) and satisfy  $X \simeq \text{hocolim}_n X(n)$ . The inclusion map  $X(n) \rightarrow X$  induces isomorphisms on integral homology through dimension  $n$ , and  $H_k(X(n); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $k > n$ .

With the CW decomposition inherited from the colimit,  $X_n \subseteq X(n) \subseteq X_{n+1}$  for each  $n$ . Furthermore, if  $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is free abelian then  $X(n) = X(n-1) \cup (n\text{-cells})$  so  $X(n)$  is  $n$ -dimensional and hence  $X_n = X(n)$ . Thus  $X(n)$  is the desired  $n$ -skeleton of  $X$ .

To prove (b), assume that  $H^n(X; A) = 0$  for all  $A$ . Using the Universal Coefficient isomorphism [35, Cor. 13.11], we obtain

$$\text{Hom}(H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}), A) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z}); A) = 0$$

for all  $A$ . We claim that (i)  $H_n(X; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and (ii)  $H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is free abelian. To prove (i), we let  $A = H_n(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z})$ ; if  $A$  were nonzero, then  $\text{Hom}(H_n(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}), A)$  would be nonzero (since it contains the identity map), thereby contradicting the assumption. For the second statement, we set  $A = \mathbb{Z}$ ; now  $\text{Ext}(H_{n-1}(\mathbb{Z}; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , and by Whitehead's problem, we conclude that  $H_{n-1}(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is free.

Now apply part (a) to conclude that  $X(n-1)$  is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $(n-1)$ -skeleton and  $X(n)$  is an  $n$ -skeleton of  $X$ . Furthermore, since  $H_n(M(G, n); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ ,  $M_{n-1} \simeq *$ , and so  $X(n) \simeq X(n-1)$  showing that  $X(n)$  is an  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $n$ -skeleton for  $X$ .  $\square$

### 1.3 Lusternik-Schnirelmann Category

We make use of three equivalent definitions of the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of maps and spaces.

**Definition 4** *The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is the least integer  $k$  for which  $X$  has a cover by open sets*

$$X = X_0 \cup X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$$

*such that  $f|_{X_i} \simeq *$  for each  $i$ . When  $f = \text{id}_X$ , we write  $\text{cat}(X) = \text{cat}(\text{id}_X)$  and when  $i : A \hookrightarrow X$ , we write  $\text{cat}_X(A) = \text{cat}(i)$ .*

If  $X$  is a CW complex, then it is equivalent to require each  $X_i$  to be a subcomplex of  $X$  in some CW decomposition.

The category of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  can also be defined in terms of the *Ganea fibrations*  $p_k : G_k(Y) \rightarrow Y$  with fiber  $F_k(Y)$ . The inductive definition of these fibrations begins by defining  $F_0(Y) \longrightarrow G_0(Y) \xrightarrow{p_0} Y$  to be the familiar path-loop fibration sequence  $\Omega(Y) \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(Y) \longrightarrow Y$ . Given the  $k^{\text{th}}$  Ganea fibration sequence  $F_k(Y) \longrightarrow G_k(Y) \xrightarrow{p_k} Y$ , let  $\overline{G}_{k+1}(Y) = G_k(Y) \cup CF_k(Y)$  be the cofiber of  $p_k$  and define  $\overline{p}_{k+1} : \overline{G}_{k+1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$  by sending the cone to the base point of  $Y$ . The  $(k+1)^{\text{st}}$  Ganea fibration  $p_{k+1} : G_{k+1}(Y) \rightarrow Y$  results from converting the map  $\overline{p}_{k+1}$  to a fibration. A result of Ganea [14] implies that  $\text{cat}(f) \leq k$  if and only if there is a lift  $\lambda$  of  $f$  in the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & G_k(Y) \\ & \nearrow \lambda & \downarrow p_k \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

Our third definition is due to G. W. Whitehead. According to [36, p. 458],  $\text{cat}(f) \leq k$  if and only if the composition of  $f$  with the diagonal map of pairs

$$(X, *) \xrightarrow{f} (Y, *) \xrightarrow{\Delta_{k+1}} (Y, *)^{k+1}$$

factors, up to homotopy of pairs, through the trivial pair  $(X, X)$ .

We will make use of a related invariant, called *Qcat*, which is defined in terms of the fibrations that result from applying a fiberwise version of the infinite suspension functor  $Q$  to the Ganea fibrations. Let  $q_k : \widehat{G}_k(Y) \rightarrow Y$  denote the fiberwise infinite suspension of the  $k$ -th Ganea fibration. Then  $\text{Qcat}(f)$  is the least integer  $k$  for which  $f$  lifts through  $q_k$  [27].

### 1.4 Rational Homotopy and L-S Category

We briefly recall some key elements of the rational theory of L-S category. The reader is encouraged to consult [5, Ch. 5] or [11, Part V] for details.

A (simply-connected) **Sullivan algebra** is a commutative differential graded algebra (CDGA)  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that: (a)  $\mathcal{A}^0 \cong \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathcal{A}^1 = 0$ ; (b) as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{A} \cong \Lambda(V)$  where  $V$  is a graded vector space; and (c) the differential  $d$  is decomposable in the sense that  $d(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{A}}^2$ , where  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  is the augmentation ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Every simply-connected space  $X$  has a **Sullivan minimal model**,  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ , which is a Sullivan algebra such that  $H^*(\mathcal{M}(X)) \cong H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . A **model** for  $X$  is any CDGA for which there is a map  $\phi : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  which induces an isomorphism in cohomology ( $\phi$  is a **quasi-isomorphism**).

**Definition 5** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an augmented CDGA and write  $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$  for the augmentation ideal. The **nilpotency** of  $\mathcal{A}$ , denoted  $\text{nil}(\mathcal{A})$ , is the greatest integer  $k$  such that  $(\bar{\mathcal{A}})^k \neq 0$ .

The algebraic study of the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of rational spaces can be developed from the following result, which can be found in [5, Cor. 5.16] (though, historically, it was not [5, Rem. 5.15]).

**Theorem 6** If  $X$  is a rational space, then the following are equivalent

- (a)  $\text{cat}(X) \leq k$ , and
- (b)  $\mathcal{M}(X)$  is a retract (up to chain homotopy) of a Sullivan algebra  $\mathcal{B}$  which is quasi-isomorphic with another CDGA  $\mathcal{A}$  with  $\text{nil}(\mathcal{A}) \leq k$ .

It follows immediately from Theorem 6 that if  $u \in H^*(Y) = H^*(\mathcal{M}(Y))$  can be represented by a cocycle which is a  $k$ -fold product, then  $f^*(u) = 0$  for any map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  with  $\text{cat}(X) < k$ . In this case, the **(rational) category weight** of  $u$  is at least  $k$ . We write  $\text{wgt}(u) \geq k$  and observe that  $\text{cat}(X) \geq \text{wgt}(u)$  whenever  $u \neq 0 \in H^*(X)$ . The maximum value of  $\text{wgt}(u)$  for  $u \in H^*(X)$  is known as the **Toomer invariant** of  $X$ , and is denoted  $e_0(X)$ .

There is a related invariant, denoted  $\text{Mcat}$  [7]. It is known that  $\text{Mcat}(X) = \text{cat}(X)$  for simply-connected rational spaces [19, Thm.0]. The equality of  $\text{Mcat}$  and  $\text{cat}$  is known to fail for maps: according to Parent [24, Thms.2&11]  $\text{Mcat}(f \times g) = \text{Mcat}(f) + \text{Mcat}(g)$ ; on the other hand, Stanley [33] has produced examples of maps  $f$  and  $g$  between simply-connected rational spaces such that  $\text{cat}(f \times g) < \text{cat}(f) + \text{cat}(g)$ . It is also known that  $\text{Mcat}(X) = \text{Qcat}(X)$  when  $X$  is a simply-connected rational space [28] (but see also [5, Thm.5.49]). A simple adaptation of the proof of [5, Thm.5.49] yields the following generalization to maps; we omit the proof.

**Proposition 7** If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a map between simply-connected rational spaces, then  $\text{Qcat}(f) = \text{Mcat}(f)$ .

## 2 Categorical Sequences

In this section we will define our object of study, the categorical sequence associated to a space  $X$ . To ensure that our sequences are well-defined, we must first prove some results concerning the relative category of an  $n$ -skeleton.

## 2.1 Relative Category of Skeleta

Since we usually think of an  $n$ -skeleton as a subspace of  $X$ , we will sometimes write  $\text{cat}_X(X_n)$  instead of  $\text{cat}(i)$  when  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$  is an  $n$ -skeleton.

**Proposition 8** *For fixed  $n$ , the integer  $\text{cat}_X(X_n)$  depends only on the homotopy type of  $X$ , and not on the choice of  $n$ -skeleton.*

**Proof** Let  $i : A \rightarrow X$  and  $j : B \rightarrow X$  be two  $n$ -skeleta of  $X$  and consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & B \\ & \nearrow l & \downarrow j \\ A & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

Since  $j$  is an  $n$ -equivalence and  $A$  is  $n$ -dimensional, there is a lift  $l : A \rightarrow B$  such that  $j \circ l \simeq i$  [35, Thm. 6.31]. It follows that  $\text{cat}_X(A) = \text{cat}(i) \leq \text{cat}(j)$  [2, 1.4]. Since the situation is symmetrical, we also have  $\text{cat}(j) \leq \text{cat}(i)$ .  $\square$

It can be conceptually easier to work with the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of spaces rather than of maps. Happily, there is no difference between the two for skeleta.

**Proposition 9** *If  $X$  is  $(c-1)$ -connected and  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$  is an  $n$ -skeleton with  $n \geq c$ , then*

- (a)  $\text{cat}(X_n) = \text{cat}(i)$ ,
- (b)  $\text{Qcat}(X_n) = \text{Qcat}(i)$ , and
- (c) *if  $X$  is a rational space and  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$  is a rational  $n$ -skeleton for  $X$ , then  $\text{cat}(X_n) = \text{Mcat}(i)$ .*

**Proof** We begin by proving (a). It is trivial that  $\text{cat}_X(X_n) \leq \text{cat}(X_n)$ ; we wish to prove the reverse inequality. Assume that  $\text{cat}_X(X_n) = k$ ; we will show that  $\text{cat}(X_n) \leq k$ . Since  $n \geq c$ , the map  $i_* : \pi_n(X_n) \rightarrow \pi_n(X)$  is nontrivial, and hence  $k \geq 1$ . Now consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F_k(X_n) & \xrightarrow{l} & F_k(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & F_k(X) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ G_k(X_n) & \xrightarrow{j} & P & \xrightarrow{\quad} & G_k(X) \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \sigma & \downarrow \tau & \nearrow \lambda & \downarrow \\ X_n & \xlongequal{\quad} & X_n & \xrightarrow{i} & X \end{array}$$

in which the bottom right square is a pullback. Since  $\text{cat}_X(X_n) = k$  there is a lift  $\lambda$  of  $i$ . By the pullback property, there is a section  $\tau : X_n \rightarrow P$ .

According to [5, Lem. 6.26], the map  $l : F_k(X_n) \rightarrow F_k(X)$  is an  $(n+kc-1)$ -equivalence since  $k \geq 1$ , and it follows that  $j$  is also a  $(n+kc-1)$ -equivalence.

Since  $n \leq n + kc - 1$ , it follows that there is a (unique) map  $\sigma : X_n \rightarrow G_k(X_n)$  with  $j \circ \sigma = \tau$  [35, Thm. 6.31]. This  $\sigma$  is a section (up to homotopy) of the fibration  $G_k(X_n) \rightarrow X_n$ , and so  $\text{cat}(X_n) \leq k$ .

The key to the proof of part (a) is the fact that  $l : F_k(X_n) \rightarrow F_k(X)$  is an  $(n + kc - 1)$ -equivalence. But this implies that  $Ql : QF_k(X_n) \rightarrow QF_k(X)$  is also an  $(n + kc - 1)$ -equivalence, and so the proof of (a) can be used again to show  $\text{Qcat}(i) = \text{Qcat}(X_n)$ .

It remains to prove (c). For this we simply compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cat}(X_n) &= \text{Mcat}(X_n) && \text{by [19, Thm. 0]} \\ &= \text{Qcat}(X_n) && \text{by [28]} \\ &= \text{Qcat}(i) && \text{by part (b)} \\ &= \text{Mcat}(i) && \text{by Proposition 7.} \end{aligned}$$

□

**Remark 10** The proof of Proposition 9(a) is an adaptation of the proof of [12, Thm. 1]. The argument actually works equally well with  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$  replaced by any  $n$ -equivalence  $f : Z \rightarrow X$  with  $\dim(Z) \leq n + kc - 1$ . The conclusion in this case is that  $\text{cat}(f) = \text{cat}(Z) = k$ .

## 2.2 Sequences from Topology and Algebra

We will be concerned with sequences whose values are either nonnegative integers or  $\infty$ ; thus a sequence is a function  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$ . We say that  $\sigma \leq \tau$  if  $\sigma(k) \leq \tau(k)$  for each  $k \geq 0$ . We write  $\sigma < \tau$  if  $\sigma \leq \tau$  and  $\sigma \neq \tau$  ( $\sigma < \tau$  does *not* mean that  $\sigma(k) < \tau(k)$  for every  $k$ ). If  $\sigma$  is increasing, then the **length** of  $\sigma$  is  $\sup\{k \mid \sigma(k) < \infty\}$ .

In view of Propositions 8 and 9, we may make the following definition.

**Definition 11** *The categorical sequence of a CW complex  $X$  is the sequence  $\sigma_X : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$  defined by*

$$\sigma_X(k) = \inf\{n \mid \text{cat}_X(X_n) \geq k\}.$$

The following elementary observations about categorical sequences will be used constantly in what follows.

- Remark 12**
- (a)  $\sigma_X$  is an invariant of the weak homotopy type of  $X$ .
  - (b) If  $X$  is  $(c - 1)$ -connected but not  $c$ -connected, then  $\sigma_X(0) = 0$  and  $\sigma_X(1) = c$ .
  - (c) The finite values of  $\sigma_X$  are strictly increasing.
  - (d) If  $\sigma_X(k) = n$ , then  $X_n \neq X_{n-1}$  in every cellular decomposition of  $X$ . In particular, if  $X$  is simply-connected and  $\sigma_X(k) = n$ , then  $H^n(X) \neq 0$  for some coefficients (see Theorem 18(b) below).
  - (e) If  $X$  is finite-dimensional, then  $\sigma_X = \text{length}(\sigma_X)$ ; if  $X$  is infinite-dimensional, then  $\text{length}(\sigma_X) \leq \text{cat}(X) \leq 2 \cdot \text{length}(\sigma_X)$  [18].

- (f) If  $\sigma_X(k) < \infty$  for all  $k$ , then  $\text{cat}(X) = \infty$ , even for infinite-dimensional  $X$ .
- (g) If  $\sigma_X \leq \sigma_Y$  and  $Y$  is finite-dimensional, then  $\text{cat}(X) \geq \text{cat}(Y)$ . If  $Y$  is infinite-dimensional and  $\text{cat}(Y) = \infty$ , then  $\text{cat}(X) = \infty$ .

Before proceeding further, we give some examples.

**Example 13** (a) As is well-known, the integral cohomology of the symplectic group  $Sp(2)$  is  $H^*(Sp(2)) = \Lambda(x_3, x_7)$ , an exterior algebra on generators in dimensions 3 and 7. It follows from Theorem 18(b) that the only possible finite values for  $\sigma_X(k)$  are 0, 3, 7 and 10. Since it is known [29, Ex. 4.4] that  $\text{cat}(Sp(2)) = 3$ ,  $\sigma_{Sp(2)}(3) < \infty$ , and hence

$$\sigma_{Sp(2)} = (0, 3, 7, 10, \infty, \infty, \dots).$$

- (b) Define a Sullivan algebra  $\mathcal{M} = \Lambda(x_3, y_3, z_5)$  with  $d(z_5) = x_3 y_3$ , and let  $X$  be a rational space whose minimal model is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{M}$  (this algebra and space appear in [11, p. 387]). The nontrivial cohomology of  $X$  is

$$\begin{aligned} H^3(X) &= \mathbb{Q}[x] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[y] \\ H^8(X) &= \mathbb{Q}[xz] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[yz] \\ H^{11}(X) &= \mathbb{Q}[xyz] \end{aligned}$$

where brackets indicate cohomology classes. Thus  $\text{cat}(X) \leq 3$ , and since  $\text{cat}(X) \geq \text{wgt}([xyz]) = 3$ , we have  $\text{cat}(X) = 3$ . This forces  $\sigma_X = (0, 3, 8, 11, \infty, \infty, \dots)$ .

- (c) The ‘finite-dimensional’ hypothesis in Remark 12(g) cannot be removed. Roitberg has shown that the cofibers  $C$  of certain (phantom) maps  $f : \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}, 5) \rightarrow S^4$  have the property that  $C_n$  is a suspension for all  $n$ , but  $\text{cat}(C) = 2$ . Thus  $\sigma_C = (0, 4, \infty, \infty, \dots)$ , and so  $\text{cat}(C) = 2 > 1 = \text{length}(\sigma_C)$ .

We will often abbreviate a sequence by deleting any terms known to be infinite. Thus, for example, we could summarize the results of Example 13(a,b) by writing  $\sigma_{Sp(2)} = (0, 3, 7, 10)$  and  $\sigma_X = (0, 3, 8, 11)$ . If we were unsure of the later values of the sequence, we would write, for example,  $\sigma_{Sp(2)} = (0, 3, 7, \dots)$ ; knowing that  $\text{cat}(Sp(2)) \leq 3$ , we might write  $\sigma_{Sp(2)} = (0, 3, 7, a)$ , where  $a = 10$  or  $a = \infty$ .

We will also make use of the algebraic **product length sequence** of a nonnegatively graded augmented CGA  $\mathcal{A}$ , defined by

$$\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(k) = \inf\{n \mid \exists \text{ nontrivial } k\text{-fold products in } \mathcal{A}^n\}.$$

If each of  $P$  and  $Q$  is either a space or a graded algebra, then it may happen that  $\sigma_P = \sigma_Q$ . If so, then we say that  $P$  and  $Q$  are **isosequential**.

**Example 14** (a) The spaces  $\overbrace{S^2 \times \cdots \times S^2}^{n \text{ factors}}$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  are easily seen to be isosequential.

- (b) It is easy to verify that  $\sigma_{\mathbb{C}P^\infty} = (0, 2, 4, 6, \dots)$ ; it is even easier to check that if  $\mathcal{A} = H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = (0, 2, 4, 6, \dots)$ . Thus the space  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  and the graded algebra  $H^*(\mathbb{C}P^\infty; \mathbb{Q})$  are isosequential. In this case,  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  is formal [5, Ex. 5.4], so  $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{C}P^\infty)$ , and hence  $\mathbb{C}P^\infty$  is isosequential with its minimal model.
- (c) Let  $X$  be the rational space of Example 13(b). Then  $\sigma_X = (0, 3, 8, 11)$ , but  $\sigma_{H^*(X)} = (0, 3, 11)$ , and  $\sigma_{\mathcal{M}(X)} = (0, 3, 6, 11)$ , so  $X$  is not isosequential with either  $H^*(X)$  or  $\mathcal{M}(X)$ . Instead, these sequences are related by the string of strict inequalities  $\sigma_{\mathcal{M}(X)} < \sigma_X < \sigma_{H^*(X)}$ .

### 3 Inequalities Between Sequences

One of our goals is to develop techniques for computing categorical sequences  $\sigma_X$ . As with formulas for the calculation of  $\text{cat}(X)$ , many of our results for sequences come in the form of inequalities.

#### 3.1 Inequalities for General Spaces

We begin by dispensing with wedges and retracts.

**Proposition 15** *Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be any two spaces. Then*

- (a)  $\sigma_{X \vee Y}(k) = \min\{\sigma_X(k), \sigma_Y(k)\}$ , and  
 (b) if  $X$  is a homotopy retract of  $Y$ , then  $\sigma_X \geq \sigma_Y$ .

**Proof** Part (a) follows from the formula  $\text{cat}(f \vee g) = \max\{\text{cat}(f), \text{cat}(g)\}$ . For (b), we consider the homotopy commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X_{n-1} & \xrightarrow{\quad s \quad} & Y_{n-1} \\
 \downarrow i & & \downarrow j \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad} & Y \xrightarrow{\quad \quad} X \\
 & \searrow \text{id}_X & \\
 & & 
 \end{array}$$

in which the map  $s$  exists by cellular approximation. It follows that  $\text{cat}_X(X_{n-1}) = \text{cat}(i) \leq \text{cat}(j) = \text{cat}_Y(Y_{n-1})$ . Now  $\sigma_Y(k) = n$  implies that  $\text{cat}_Y(Y_{n-1}) < k$  and hence that  $\text{cat}_X(X_{n-1}) < k$ . Therefore  $\sigma_X(k) \geq n = \sigma_Y(k)$ .  $\square$

Our next result recasts the classical cup length lower bound for Lusternik-Schirelmann category in terms of sequences.

**Proposition 16** *For any space  $X$  and any ring  $R$ ,  $\sigma_X \leq \sigma_{H^*(X; R)}$ .*

**Proof** If  $\sigma_{H^*(X)}(k) = n$ , then there is a nontrivial  $k$ -fold cup product  $u_1 \cdots u_k \in H^n(X)$ . Let  $i : X_n \rightarrow X$  be an  $n$ -skeleton. Thus  $i$  induces an injection

$i^* : H^n(X) \rightarrow H^n(X_n)$ , so  $i^*(u_1 \cdots u_k) = i^*(u_1) \cdots i^*(u_k) \neq 0 \in H^n(X_n)$ . Therefore  $\text{cat}(X_n) \geq k$  [5, Prop. 1.5] and so  $\sigma_X(k) \leq n$ .  $\square$

Proposition 16 can be used to determine the categorical sequence of a product of spheres. This simple corollary will play an important role in our characterization of the categorical sequences of formal rational spaces (§5).

**Corollary 17** *If  $X = S^{n_1} \times \cdots \times S^{n_r}$  with  $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_r$ , then  $\sigma_X$  is given by the formula  $\sigma_X(k) = \sigma_{H^*(X)}(k) = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$  for  $k \leq r$  and  $\sigma_X(k) = \infty$  for  $k > r$ .*

**Proof** Clearly  $\sigma_{H^*(X)}(k) = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k$ , and Proposition 16 implies that  $\sigma_X \leq \sigma_{H^*(X)}$ . For the reverse inequality, let

$$X(k) = \{(x_1, \dots, x_r) \mid \text{at least } r - k \text{ entries are } *\} \subseteq X.$$

It is well-known that  $X(0), X(1), \dots, X(r)$  constitute a cone decomposition of  $X$ . Furthermore,  $X(k-1)$  contains the cellular  $(n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k - 1)$ -skeleton of  $X$ , and so

$$\text{cat}(X_{n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_k-1}) \leq \text{cat}(X(k-1)) < k.$$

Therefore  $\sigma_X(k) \geq n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k = \sigma_{H^*(X)}(k)$ .  $\square$

The following theorem gives surprisingly strong algebraic control over categorical sequences. The proofs of parts (b) and (c) in full generality depend on the positive solution to Whitehead's problem; but they are valid in ordinary ZFC set theory if  $X$  is of finite type.

**Theorem 18** *For any space  $X$ ,*

- (a)  $\sigma_X(k+l) \geq \sigma_X(k) + \sigma_X(l)$ ,
- (b) *if  $X$  is simply-connected and  $\sigma_X(k) = n$ , then  $H^n(X; A) \neq 0$  for some coefficient group  $A$ , and*
- (c) *if equality occurs in (a) and  $X$  is simply-connected, then the cup product*

$$H^k(X; A) \otimes H^l(X; B) \rightarrow H^{k+l}(X; A \otimes B)$$

*is nontrivial for some choice of coefficients.*

**Proof** Write  $\sigma_X(k) = a$  and  $\sigma_X(l) = b$ . Then  $\text{cat}(X_{a-1}) = k-1$  and  $\text{cat}(X_{b-1}) = l-1$ , which means that there are factorizations

$$(X, *) \rightarrow (X, X_{a-1}) \rightarrow (X, *)^k \quad \text{and} \quad (X, *) \rightarrow (X, X_{b-1}) \rightarrow (X, *)^l$$

of  $\Delta_k$  and  $\Delta_l$ , up to homotopy of pairs. Putting these together using cellular approximation and the factorization  $\Delta_{k+l} = (\Delta_k \times \Delta_l) \circ \Delta_2$ , we obtain the homotopy-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
(X_n, *) & \longrightarrow & (X, *) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_2} & (X, *) \times (X, *) & \xrightarrow{\Delta_k \times \Delta_l} & (X, *)^k \times (X, *)^l \\
\downarrow & \searrow^{\alpha} & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \parallel \\
(X_n, *) & \longrightarrow & (X, *) & \longrightarrow & (X, X_{a-1}) \times (X, X_{b-1}) & \longrightarrow & (X, *)^k \times (X, *)^l \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \uparrow & & \\
(X_n, X_{a+b-1}) & \longrightarrow & (X, X_{a+b-1}) & \longrightarrow & (X \times X, (X \times X)_{a+b-1}) & & 
\end{array}$$

Taking  $n = a + b - 1$  we see that  $\Delta_{k+l}|_{X_{a+b-1}}$  factors, up to homotopy of pairs, through  $(X_{a+b-1}, X_{a+b-1})$ , and so  $\text{cat}_X(X_{a+b-1}) < k + l$  by the Whitehead definition and Proposition 9. Therefore  $\sigma_X(k + l) \geq a + b$ , proving (a).

Now we prove part (b). If  $\sigma_X(k) = n$ , then  $\text{cat}_X(X_n) > \text{cat}_X(X_{n-1})$ , so  $X$  does not have an  $(n - 1)$ -dimensional  $n$ -skeleton. By Lemma 3(c), then, it cannot be that  $H^n(X; A) = 0$  for all  $A$ .

To prove the statement (c) about cup products, we first recall that by Theorem 18(b), if  $\sigma_X(i) = m$ , then  $H^m(X; A) \neq 0$  for some coefficient group  $G$ . Let  $u \in H^m(X; A)$  be nonzero, and interpret it as a map  $u : X \rightarrow K(A, m)$ . This map factors

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X & & & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow^{\mu_m} & \searrow^u & & \\
X/X_{m-1} & \xrightarrow{\kappa_m} & K(\pi_m, m) & \longrightarrow & K(A, m),
\end{array}$$

where  $\pi_m = \pi_m(X/X_{m-1})$ . Since  $u \neq *$ ,  $\mu_m \neq *$  as well. Note also that  $K(\pi_m, m)$  may be constructed from  $X/X_{m-1}$  by attaching cells of dimension  $m + 2$  and higher, so  $\kappa_m$  is an  $(m + 1)$ -equivalence.

Since  $(X, X_{a-1}) \times (X, X_{b-1}) = (X \times X, X \times X_{b-1} \cup X_{a-1} \times X)$  is an  $(a+b-1)$ -connected pair and  $X \times X_{b-1} \cup X_{a-1} \times X$  is 1-connected, we apply the Blakers-Massey theorem [35, Cor. 6.22] to conclude that the collapse map

$$(X, X_{a-1}) \times (X, X_{b-1}) \rightarrow (X/X_{a-1} \wedge X/X_{b-1}, *)$$

is an  $(a + b + 1)$ -equivalence.

Assuming  $\sigma_X(k + l) = \sigma_X(k) + \sigma_X(l) = a + b$ , we may set  $n = a + b$  in the diagram of part (a) and conclude that the composite map  $(X_{a+b}, *) \rightarrow (X, X_{a-1}) \times (X, X_{b-1})$  is nontrivial. Because the collapse map is an  $(a + b + 1)$ -equivalence and  $X_{a+b}$  is  $(a + b)$ -dimensional, we see that the composition

$$(X_{a+b}, *) \rightarrow (X, X_{a-1}) \times (X, X_{b-1}) \rightarrow (X/X_{a-1} \wedge X/X_{b-1}, *)$$

is also nontrivial. Now the desired cup product is

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
X_{a+b} & & & & \\
\downarrow & \searrow^{\mu_a \cdot \mu_b} & & & \\
X/X_{a-1} \wedge X/X_{b-1} & \longrightarrow & K(\pi_a, a) \wedge K(\pi_b, b) & \longrightarrow & K(\pi_a \otimes \pi_b, a + b),
\end{array}$$

and it is nontrivial because the horizontal maps are all  $(a + b + 1)$ -equivalences and  $X_{a+b}$  is  $(a + b)$ -dimensional.  $\square$

The following elementary computation illustrates the use of Theorem 18.

**Example 19** Let us consider the exceptional Lie group  $G_2$ . It is known [23] that  $H^*(G_2; \mathbb{Z}/2) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/2[x_3]/(x_3^4)) \otimes \Lambda(x_5)$ . Therefore

$$\sigma_{G_2} \leq \sigma_{H^*(G_2; \mathbb{Z}/2)} = (0, 3, 6, 9, 14, \infty, \dots)$$

by Proposition 16. On the other hand, we know  $\sigma_{G_2}(1) = 3$  by Remark 12(b), so  $\sigma_{G_2} \geq (0, 3, 6, 9, 12, \infty, \dots)$  by Theorem 18(b,c); this determines  $\sigma_{G_2}$  except for  $\sigma_{G_2}(4)$ . However,  $H^*(G_2; A) = 0$  for  $*$  = 12, 13 and any abelian group  $A$ , so  $\sigma_{G_2}(4) \neq 12, 13$  by Theorem 18(b). We conclude that  $\sigma_{G_2} = (0, 3, 6, 9, 14)$ .

Theorem 18 implies the well-known result  $\text{cat}(X) \leq \frac{\text{dimension}(X)}{\text{connectivity}(X)}$ . In [15], Ganea generalized this familiar upper bound to obtain an upper bound for the category of  $X$  in terms of the set of dimensions in which  $H^*(X)$  is nontrivial. We now prove a further generalization by a completely different method. For a space  $X$ , let

$$h(X) = \{n \mid \tilde{H}^n(X; G) \neq 0 \text{ for some } G\}.$$

**Corollary 20** *Let  $X$  be simply-connected and of finite type with  $\sigma_X(k) = n$ . If there are integers  $0 < a_1 < a_2 < \dots < a_l$  such that*

$$h(X) \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \dots \cup I_l$$

where  $I_j = [a_j, a_j + (n - 1)]$  (brackets denote closed intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ ), then  $\text{cat}(X) < k(l + 1)$ .

**Proof** Consider the integers  $\sigma_X(kj)$ ,  $j = 1, 2, \dots$ . We show by induction that  $\sigma_X(kj) \geq a_j$ . If  $\sigma_X(kj) = \infty$  we are done, so we assume that this value is finite, and hence is an element of  $h(X)$  by Theorem 18(b). Since  $n \in h(X)$ ,  $a_1 \leq n \leq a_1 + (n - 1)$ . Now assume that  $\sigma_X(k(j - 1)) \geq a_{j-1}$ . By Theorem 18(a),

$$\sigma_X(kj) \geq \sigma_X(k(j - 1)) + \sigma_X(k) \geq a_{j-1} + n,$$

which implies that  $\sigma_X(kj) \notin \bigcup_{t < j} I_t$  and forces  $\sigma_X(kj) \in \bigcup_{t \geq j} I_t \subseteq [a_j, \infty)$ .

In particular,  $\sigma_X(kl) \geq a_l$ , and so  $\sigma_X(k(l + 1)) > \sigma_X(kl) + \sigma_X(k) = a_l + n$  by Theorem 18(a). Thus  $\sigma_X(k(l + 1)) \notin h(X)$ , and so  $\sigma_X(k(l + 1)) = \infty$ . Therefore  $\text{cat}(X) < k(l + 1)$  by Remark 12(e).  $\square$

Ganea's theorem is the special case  $k = 1$  when  $X$  is  $(n - 1)$ -connected. It should be noted, though, that Ganea's result applies for *strong category* (i.e., cone length), where ours only applies for ordinary Lusternik-Schnirelmann category. It would be interesting to know whether our generalization holds with cone length in place of category.

### 3.2 Rational Spaces

The categorical sequence  $\sigma_X$  for a rational space  $X$  can be easily bounded above in terms of *any one* of its models.

**Proposition 21** *For any simply-connected rational space  $X$ , and any model  $\mathcal{A}$  for  $X$ ,  $\sigma_X \geq \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ .*

**Proof** Write  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(k) = n$  and let  $\mathcal{B}$  be the quotient of  $\mathcal{A}$  by the differential ideal consisting of all elements of dimension  $n$  or greater. Then  $\text{nil}(\mathcal{B}) < k$  and the quotient  $q : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$  induces an isomorphism on cohomology in dimensions  $< n - 1$  and an injection in dimension  $n - 1$ .

Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the Sullivan minimal model for  $\mathcal{B}$  and let  $r : \mathcal{M}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$  cover the map  $q$ . Then  $r$  has a **spatial realization**  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  such that  $q^* = i^* : H^*(X) \rightarrow H^*(Z)$  [11, Ch. 17]. It follows that  $i : Z \rightarrow X$  is a rational  $(n - 1)$ -skeleton. Since  $\mathcal{M}(Z) \sim \mathcal{B}$  by construction and  $\text{nil}(\mathcal{B}) < k$ , we conclude using Theorem 6 that  $\text{cat}(Z) < k$ . It follows that  $\sigma_X(k) \geq n$ .  $\square$

**Example 22** Let  $(\mathcal{A}, d)$  be the CDGA with generators  $x_n, y_m$  and  $w_{n+m-1}$  (subscripts indicate dimension;  $2 \leq n \leq m$ ) subject to the relations  $x^2 = y^2 = w^2 = 0$  and with differential determined by  $dx = dy = 0$  and  $dw = xy$ . This is not a Sullivan algebra, but it does have a Sullivan model,  $\mathcal{M}$ , and  $\mathcal{M}$  has a spatial realization,  $X$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a model for  $X$ , and according to Proposition 21,

$$\sigma_X \geq \sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = (0, n, n + m, 2(n + m) - 1, \infty, \infty, \dots).$$

But we can say even more, because the nonzero cohomology of  $X$  occurs in dimensions  $n, m, 2n + m - 1, n + 2m - 1$  and  $2(n + m) - 1$ . Since  $X$  is indistinguishable from  $S^n \vee S^m$  through dimension  $n + m$ , we know that  $\sigma_X(2) > n + m$ , and therefore  $\sigma_X(2) \geq 2n + m - 1$ . Thus

$$\sigma_X \geq (0, n, 2n + m - 1, 2(n + m) - 1, \infty, \infty, \dots).$$

Since  $\mathcal{A}$  is finite-dimensional, so is  $H^*(X)$ , and we conclude that  $\text{cat}(X) \leq 3$ .

## 4 Sequences and Fibrations

In this section we study the relationship between the sequences  $\sigma_F, \sigma_E$  and  $\sigma_B$  when  $F \rightarrow E \rightarrow B$  is a fibration sequence. Our general result is the key to a ‘mapping theorem’ for categorical sequences of rational spaces.

### 4.1 General Spaces

Our first result is proved by a slight generalization of the method Hardie used to prove the main result of [17].

**Proposition 23** Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & X & & \\ & & \downarrow f & \searrow p \circ f & \\ F & \xrightarrow{q} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

in which the bottom row is a fibration sequence. Then

$$\text{cat}(f) + 1 \leq (\text{cat}(p \circ f) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}(q) + 1).$$

**Proof** Suppose  $\text{cat}(p \circ f) = k$  and that  $\text{cat}(q) = l$ . Then  $X$  has a cover  $X = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$  by subcomplexes such that  $(p \circ f)|_{A_i} \simeq *$  for each  $i$ . Since  $p$  is a fibration with fiber  $F$ ,  $f|_{A_i}$  factors (up to homotopy) as  $j \circ g_i$ , where  $g_i : A_i \rightarrow F$ . Therefore  $\text{cat}(f|_{A_i}) \leq \text{cat}(q) = l$  and so we can write  $A_i = A_{i0} \cup A_{i1} \cup \dots \cup A_{il}$  where  $(q \circ g_i)|_{A_{ij}} \simeq *$ . Thus  $X = \bigcup_{i,j} A_{ij}$  where  $0 \leq i \leq k$  and  $0 \leq j \leq l$  and  $f|_{A_{ij}} \simeq *$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ . Therefore  $\text{cat}(f) + 1 \leq (k + 1)(l + 1)$ .  $\square$

Hardie's result is the special case in which  $f = \text{id}_E$ . We are interested in the more general situation in which  $f : X \rightarrow E$  is an  $n$ -skeleton.

**Theorem 24** Let  $F \xrightarrow{q} E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration sequence and write  $a = \text{cat}(q) \leq \text{cat}(F)$  and  $b = \text{cat}(p) \leq \text{cat}(B)$ . Then

- (a)  $\sigma_E(k(a + 1)) \geq \sigma_B(k)$ , and
- (b)  $\sigma_E(k(b + 1)) \geq \sigma_F(k)$ .

**Proof** Let  $\sigma_B(k) = n$ . Thus  $\text{cat}(B_{n-1}) < k$  and we have to show that  $\text{cat}(E_{n-1}) < k(a + 1)$ . Consider the homotopy-commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & E_{n-1} & \cdots \cdots \cdots & B_{n-1} \\ & & \downarrow i & & \downarrow \\ F & \xrightarrow{q} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

in which the dotted arrow exists by cellular approximation. According to Proposition 23,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cat}(i) &\leq (\text{cat}(p \circ i) + 1) \cdot (\text{cat}(q) + 1) - 1 \\ &< (\text{cat}(B_{n-1}) + 1) \cdot (a + 1) \\ &< k(a + 1), \end{aligned}$$

proving (a).

For part (b), we let  $\sigma_F(k) = n$ , so  $\text{cat}(F_{n-1}) = k - 1$ . Choose an  $(n - 1)$ -skeleton  $i : E_{n-1} \rightarrow E$ . Since  $\text{cat}(p \circ i) \leq \text{cat}(p) = b$ , we can write  $E_{n-1} = A_0 \cup A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_b$  where  $A_j$  is a subcomplex of  $E_{n-1}$  (so  $\dim(A_j) < n$ ) and  $(p \circ i)|_{A_j} \simeq *$  for each  $j$ . Thus  $i|_{A_j}$  factors (up to homotopy) through the  $F \rightarrow E$ , and so we have the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & F_{n-1} & \leftarrow \cdots \cdots \cdots & A_j \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow i|_{A_j} \\ F & \xrightarrow{q} & E & \xrightarrow{p} & B \end{array}$$

in which the dotted arrow exists by cellular approximation. This proves that  $\text{cat}(i|_{A_j}) \leq \text{cat}(F_{n-1}) = k - 1$ , and so  $\text{cat}(i) < (b + 1)k$ , which implies  $\sigma_E((b + 1)k) \geq n$ .  $\square$

**Remark 25** These inequalities are not the best possible. A quick look at the proof of Theorem 24 shows that, in studying the category of  $E_n$ , for example, the estimate  $\text{cat}(p \circ i) \leq b$  can be improved to  $\text{cat}(p \circ i) \leq \text{cat}(B_n)$ , and similarly for the second formula. We leave the cumbersome formulation of the sharper results to the reader.

Since the reverse formulas expressing  $\sigma_E$  in terms of  $\sigma_B$  and  $\sigma_F$  are not entirely obvious, we record them here.

**Corollary 26** *In the situation of Theorem 24,*

$$(a) \quad \sigma_E(k) \leq \sigma_B \left( \left\lceil \frac{k-a}{a+1} \right\rceil \right), \text{ and}$$

$$(b) \quad \sigma_E(k) \leq \sigma_F \left( \left\lceil \frac{k-b}{b+1} \right\rceil \right).$$

In [6], Fadell and Husseini studied the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of free loop spaces using a general result that relates the category of the fiber and the total space in a fibration sequence with a section. This result generalizes to a statement about categorical sequences.

**Corollary 27** *Let  $F \longrightarrow E \xrightarrow{p} B$  be a fibration sequence. If  $p$  has a section  $s$ , then  $\sigma_E \leq \sigma_F$ .*

**Proof** Extend the given fibration sequence to the left to obtain

$$\Omega E \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\Omega s} \\ \xrightarrow{\Omega p} \end{array} \Omega B \xrightarrow{\partial} F \longrightarrow E.$$

Since  $\Omega p$  has a section, the map  $\partial : \Omega B \rightarrow F$  is trivial. Thus  $\text{cat}(\partial) = 0$ , and Theorem 24(a) implies  $\sigma_F(k) = \sigma_F((\text{cat}(\partial) + 1)k) \geq \sigma_E(k)$ .  $\square$

We can now expand upon the main homotopy-theoretical result of [6].

**Example 28** Let  $\Lambda(X) = \text{map}(S^1, X)$  denote the free loop space on  $X$ . Evaluation at the basepoint determines a fibration  $p : \Lambda(X) \rightarrow X$  with fiber  $\Omega X$ , and the map  $s : x \mapsto l_x$ , where  $l_x$  is the constant map  $l_x(S^1) = x$ , is a section of  $p$ . Therefore Corollary 27 shows that

$$\sigma_{\Lambda(X)} \leq \sigma_{\Omega X}.$$

In particular,  $\text{cat}(\Lambda(X)) = \infty$  if  $\text{cat}(\Omega X) = \infty$ .

## 4.2 A Mapping Theorem for Sequences

One of the most powerful early results concerning the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of rational spaces is the Mapping Theorem [8]; the ‘book proof’ of this result [9] uses Proposition 23 in the special case  $\text{cat}(j) = 0$ . We use exactly the same argument to get an inequality for categorical sequences.

**Proposition 29** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map between rational spaces which induces an injective map  $f_* : \pi_*(X) \rightarrow \pi_*(Y)$ . Then  $\sigma_X \geq \sigma_Y$ .*

**Proof** Let  $q : F \rightarrow X$  be the homotopy fiber of  $f$ . According to the proof of the standard Mapping Theorem, the injectivity hypothesis on  $f_*$  implies that  $q \simeq *$  and so  $\text{cat}(q) = 0$  [5, Thm. 4.11]. It now follows from Theorem 24 that  $\sigma_X(k) \geq \sigma_Y(k)$  for all  $k$ .  $\square$

## 5 Formal Sequences

A simply-connected space  $X$  is **formal** if its cohomology algebra, with trivial differential, is a model for  $X$  [11, p. 156]. In this section we characterize the categorical sequences of formal rational spaces in several ways.

First we show that formal rational spaces and their cohomology algebras are isosequential.

**Proposition 30** *If  $X$  is a simply-connected formal rational space, then  $\sigma_X = \sigma_{H^*(X)}$ .*

**Proof** By definition,  $H^*(X)$  is a model for  $X$ . Propositions 21 and 16 show that  $\sigma_{H^*(X)} \leq \sigma_X \leq \sigma_{H^*(X)}$ , which proves the result.  $\square$

Our main result in this section completely characterizes the sequences which can occur as categorical sequences of simply-connected rational formal spaces.

**Theorem 31** *The following conditions on a sequence  $\sigma$  with  $\sigma(1) > 1$  are equivalent:*

- (a)  $\sigma = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$  for some CGA  $\mathcal{A}$ ,
- (b)  $\sigma(k+1) \geq \frac{k+1}{k} \sigma(k)$  for each  $k$ ,
- (c)  $\sigma = \sigma_W$  where  $W = \bigvee P_i$  and  $P_i = \prod S^{n_j}$  is a product of spheres, and
- (d)  $\sigma = \sigma_X$  for some formal space  $X$ .

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 31 we need to establish a technical result about sequences. Let  $0 < k \leq n$  be integers, write  $n = kx + r$  with  $0 \leq r < k$  and let  $r + s = k$ . Define  $\tau$  to be the sequence whose finite values are

$$\tau = (0, x, 2x, \dots, sx, sx + (x+1), sx + 2(x+1), \dots, \underbrace{sx + r(x+1)}_n).$$

We call  $\tau$  the *optimal  $k$ -term sequence with  $\tau(k) = n$* .

**Lemma 32** *Assume that  $\sigma$  is a sequence satisfying condition (b) of Theorem 31, and that  $\sigma(k) < \infty$ . Let  $\tau$  be the optimal  $k$ -term sequence with  $\tau(k) = \sigma(k)$ . Then  $\sigma(j) \leq \tau(j)$  for all  $j$ .*

**Proof** This is clearly true for  $j > k$ , because  $\tau(j) = \infty$  for such  $j$ . If  $\sigma(j) > \tau(j)$  for some  $j \leq k$ , then  $\sigma(j) \geq \tau(j) + 1$ , and so

$$\sigma(j+1) \geq \frac{1}{j}\sigma(j) + \sigma(j) \geq \frac{1}{j}\sigma(j) + (\tau(j) + 1)$$

Now  $\sigma(j) > \tau(j) \geq jx$ , so  $\frac{1}{j}\sigma(j) > x$ . Therefore

$$\sigma(j+1) > \tau(j) + (x+1) \geq \tau(j+1).$$

Inductively, we see that  $\sigma(l) > \tau(l)$  for all  $i \leq l \leq k$ , which contradicts the hypothesis  $\sigma(k) = \tau(k)$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 31** We begin by proving that (a) implies (b). Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a CGA such that  $\sigma = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}$ . If  $\sigma = (0, n)$  has length 1, then there is nothing to prove, so we proceed by induction, assuming that the implication is valid for sequences of length  $\leq k$ . Write  $n = \sigma(k+1) = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(k+1)$ . Then there is a nontrivial product  $x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k+1} \in \mathcal{A}^n$ , where we write the terms in order so that  $|x_1| \leq |x_2| \leq \cdots \leq |x_{k+1}|$ . For  $j \leq k+1$  we have

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_j \neq 0 \in \mathcal{A}^{|x_1|+|x_2|+\cdots+|x_j|},$$

so  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(j) \leq |x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_j|$  for each  $j$ . Since  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(k+1) = |x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_{k+1}|$  by construction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(k+1) - \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(k) &\geq (|x_1| + \cdots + |x_{k+1}|) - (|x_1| + \cdots + |x_k|) \\ &= |x_{k+1}| \\ &= \frac{1}{k} \overbrace{(|x_{k+1}| + |x_{k+1}| + \cdots + |x_{k+1}|)}^{k \text{ terms}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} (|x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_k|) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{k} \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(k), \end{aligned}$$

which proves the result.

Next we prove that (b) implies (c) by induction on the length  $k$  of the sequence  $\sigma$ . If  $\sigma = (0, n)$ , then  $\sigma = \sigma_{S^n}$  and the result holds. Suppose now that the result is known for all sequences with length  $\leq k$ , and let  $\sigma$  be a sequence with length  $k+1$ . Write  $\bar{\sigma}$  for the sequence

$$\bar{\sigma}(j) = \begin{cases} \sigma(j) & \text{if } j \leq k \\ \infty & \text{if } j > k. \end{cases}$$

Since  $\text{length}(\bar{\sigma}) \leq k$ , we can apply the inductive hypothesis, to find a wedge of products of spheres  $W$  such that  $\sigma_W = \bar{\sigma}$ . Let  $\tau$  be the optimal  $(k+1)$ -term sequence with  $\tau(k+1) = \sigma(k+1)$ , and define

$$P = \overbrace{S^x \times S^x \times \cdots \times S^x}^{s \text{ factors}} \times \overbrace{S^{x+1} \times S^{x+1} \times \cdots \times S^{x+1}}^{r \text{ factors}}.$$

Then  $\sigma_P = \tau$  by Corollary 17, and Proposition 15 shows that

$$\sigma_{W \vee P}(j) = \min\{\sigma_W(j), \sigma_P(j)\}$$

for all  $j$ . For  $j \leq k$ , we have  $\sigma_W(j) = \sigma(j) \leq \tau(j) = \sigma_P(j)$  by Lemma 32, so  $\sigma_{W \vee P} = \sigma(j)$  for  $j < k$  by Proposition 15(a). Also  $\sigma_P(k+1) = \sigma(k+1) < \infty = \sigma_W(k+1)$ , so  $\sigma_{W \vee P}(k+1) = \sigma(k+1)$ .

The implication (c)  $\Rightarrow$  (d) follows from the fact that the rationalization of a wedge of products of spheres is formal.

According to Proposition 30, if  $X$  is a formal rational space, then  $\sigma_X = \sigma_{H^*(X)}$ . Thus (d) implies (a).  $\square$

In view of Theorem 31, we define a **formal sequence** to be any sequence  $\sigma$  which satisfies the condition

$$\sigma(k+1) \geq \frac{k+1}{k} \sigma(k) \quad \text{for all } k.$$

It is *not* true that every formal space is isosequential with its minimal model. For example, the minimal model of  $S^4$  is  $\Lambda(x_4, x_7)$ , so

$$\sigma_{S^4} = (0, 4) > (0, 4, 8, 12, \dots) = \sigma_{\mathcal{M}(S^4)}.$$

Our study of formal sequences grew out of a simple question: is every simply-connected rational space isosequential with a product of spheres, or a wedge of products of spheres, or a product of wedges of products of spheres, etc?

Any space constructed from spheres by repeatedly taking products and wedges is automatically formal [5, Ex. 5.4]. Using Theorem 31, we see that any such space is isosequential with a simple wedge of products of spheres. Furthermore, Theorem 31 reveals that our original question reduces to asking whether or not  $\sigma_X$  is a formal sequence whenever  $X$  is a rational space. We have already seen that this is not the case!

**Example 33** The space  $X$  of Example 13(b) is a rational space whose categorical sequence is  $\sigma_X = (0, 3, 8, 11)$ . Since  $11 < \frac{3}{2} \cdot 8$ ,  $\sigma_X$  is not a formal sequence. By Theorem 31,  $X$  is not isosequential with any wedge of products of spheres.

## 6 Products

For two sequences  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$ , we define a new sequence  $\sigma * \tau$  by

$$\sigma * \tau(k) = \min\{\sigma(i) + \tau(j) \mid i + j = k\}$$

Our goal in this section is to prove a result linking the sequences  $\sigma_{X \times Y}$  and  $\sigma_X * \sigma_Y$ . When the spaces in question are formal, this is not hard to do.

**Proposition 34** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  be simply-connected CGAs and let  $X$  and  $Y$  be simply-connected formal rational spaces. Then*

- (a)  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{B}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{A}} * \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}$ , and  
(b)  $\sigma_{X \times Y} = \sigma_X * \sigma_Y$ .

**Proof** We omit the easy proof of (a), and use it to prove (b) as follows: since  $X$ ,  $Y$  and  $X \times Y$  are each formal and rational,

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{X \times Y} &= \sigma_{H^*(X \times Y)} \\ &= \sigma_{H^*(X) \otimes H^*(Y)} \\ &= \sigma_{H^*(X)} * \sigma_{H^*(Y)} \\ &= \sigma_X * \sigma_Y \end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 30. □

The following conjecture seems quite plausible.

**Conjecture 1** For simply-connected rational spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\sigma_{X \times Y} = \sigma_X * \sigma_Y$ .

Unfortunately, we have been unable to prove this. However, we can prove that there is an inequality relating these sequences.

**Theorem 35** For simply-connected rational spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\sigma_{X \times Y} \leq \sigma_X * \sigma_Y$ .

**Proof** Let  $\sigma_X * \sigma_Y(k) = n$ . Thus there are  $i$  and  $j$  with  $i + j = k$ ,  $\sigma_X(i) = a$ ,  $\sigma_Y(j) = b$ , and  $a + b = n$ . Now let  $i_a \times i_b : X_a \times Y_b \rightarrow X \times Y$  and compute

$$\begin{aligned} \text{cat}((X \times Y)_n) &\geq \text{cat}_{X \times Y}(X_a \times Y_b) && \\ &\geq \text{Mcat}(i_a \times i_b) && \text{by Proposition 9(c)} \\ &= \text{Mcat}(i_a) + \text{Mcat}(i_b) && \text{by [24, Thm. 2]} \\ &= \text{cat}(X_a) + \text{cat}(Y_b) && \text{by Proposition 9(c)} \\ &= k, \end{aligned}$$

which means that  $\sigma_{X \times Y}(k) \leq n = \sigma_X * \sigma_Y(k)$ , □

The inequality of Theorem 35 fails when the spaces are not rational, as the following example demonstrates.

**Example 36** Iwase [20] has constructed a space  $X = S^2 \cup D^{10}$  with the property that  $\text{cat}(X \times S^k) = \text{cat}(X) = 2$  for all  $k \geq 2$ . The categorical sequences for  $X$  and  $S^2$  are  $\sigma_X = (0, 2, 10, \infty, \dots)$  and  $\sigma_{S^2} = (0, 2, \infty, \dots)$ , respectively. Now we have

$$\sigma_X * \sigma_{S^2} = (0, 2, 4, 12, \infty, \dots) < (0, 2, 4, \infty, \dots) = \sigma_{X \times S^2}.$$

Nevertheless, the following conjecture seems reasonable.

**Conjecture 2** For general spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ ,  $\sigma_{X \times Y} \geq \sigma_X * \sigma_Y$ .

Observe that Conjecture 2, together with Theorem 35, implies Conjecture 1.

## 7 The Mislin Genus of $Sp(3)$

In this section we use categorical sequences to give a simple proof of a theorem of Ghienne [16].

The **Mislin genus** of a nilpotent space  $X$  is the set  $\mathcal{G}(X)$  of homotopy types of nilpotent spaces  $Y$  such that the  $p$ -localizations  $X_{(p)}$  and  $Y_{(p)}$  are homotopy equivalent for every prime  $p$ . McGibbon [22, § 8] asked whether Lusternik-Schirelmann category is an invariant of Mislin genus; that is, if  $X \in \mathcal{G}(Y)$ , does it follow that  $\text{cat}(X) = \text{cat}(Y)$ ? This is known to be false for certain infinite-dimensional spaces [26], but the question remains open for finite complexes  $Y$ .

In [16], Ghienne proved that McGibbon's conjecture holds in the special case  $Y = Sp(3)$ . We use a sequence computation to give a simple alternative proof of this result.

**Theorem 37** (Ghienne) *If  $X \in \mathcal{G}(Sp(3))$ , then  $\text{cat}(X) = 5$ .*

**Proof** According to [13, 21],  $\text{wcat}(Sp(3)) = \text{cat}(Sp(3)) = 5$ . Since weak category is a genus invariant, we have

$$\text{cat}(X) \geq \text{wcat}(X) = \text{wcat}(Sp(3)) = 5$$

for any space  $X \in \mathcal{G}(Sp(3))$ . It remains to show that  $\text{cat}(X) \leq 5$  for every  $X \in \mathcal{G}(Sp(3))$ . In fact, we prove the following stronger statement: any simply-connected space  $X$  whose cohomology ring  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Z})$  is isomorphic to  $H^*(Sp(3); \mathbb{Z})$  must have  $\text{cat}(X) \leq 5$ .

The categorical sequence  $\sigma_X$  clearly has  $\sigma_X(1) = 3$  and  $\sigma_X(2) \geq 7$  by Theorem 18(b). By Theorem 18(a),  $\sigma_X(4) \geq \sigma_X(2) + \sigma_X(2) \geq 14$ . Furthermore,  $\sigma_X(4) > 14$  by Theorem 18(c), because the cup product  $H^7(X) \otimes H^7(X) \rightarrow H^{14}(X)$  is trivial. Now we have  $\sigma_X(4) \geq 18$  by Theorem 18(b), and hence  $\sigma_X(5) \geq \sigma_X(4) + \sigma_X(1) = 21$ . From this we immediately conclude that  $\text{cat}(X) = \text{cat}(X_{21}) \leq 5$ .  $\square$

McGibbon's conjecture for finite complexes is equivalent to the following conjecture for finite type spaces.

**Conjecture 3** *If  $X$  is a nilpotent space of finite type, then  $\sigma_Y = \sigma_X$  for every  $Y \in \mathcal{G}(X)$ .*

Conjecture 3 is easily seen to be valid for  $X = Sp(2)$ . We can also verify the conjecture for  $X = Sp(3)$ .

**Corollary 38** *If  $X \in \mathcal{G}(Sp(3))$ , then  $\sigma_X = \sigma_{Sp(3)} = (0, 3, 7, 10, 18, 21)$ .*

**Proof** The proof of Theorem 37 shows that if  $H^*(X) \cong H^*(Sp(3))$  then  $\sigma_X \geq (0, 3, 7, 10, 18, 21)$ . If  $\text{cat}(X) = 5$ , then  $\sigma_X(5) \leq 21$ , and this implies  $\sigma_X(2) = 7$ . Now Theorem 8 of [34] implies that  $\text{cat}(X_{10}) = 3$ , and hence  $\sigma_X(3) = 10$ . The analysis used in the proof of Theorem 37 shows that  $\sigma_X = (0, 3, 7, 10, 18, 21)$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] A. Ambrosetti, and V. C. Zelati, *Critical points with lack of compactness and singular dynamical systems*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **149** (1987), 237–259.
- [2] I. Berstein and T. Ganea, *The category of a map and of a cohomology class*, Fund. Math. **50** 1961/1962, 265–279.
- [3] I. Berstein and P. J. Hilton, *Category and generalized Hopf invariants*, Illinois J. Math. **4** (1960) 437–451.
- [4] E. Brown Jr. and A. Copeland Jr., *An homology analogue of Postnikov systems*, Michigan Math. J **6** (1959) 313–330.
- [5] O. Cornea, G. Lupton, J. Oprea and D. Tanré, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **103**, AMS, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [6] E. Fadell and S. Husseini, *A note on the category of the free loop space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **107** (1989), no. 2, 527–536.
- [7] Y. Félix, *La dichotomie elliptique-hyperbolique en homotopie rationnelle*, Astérisque **176**, (1989), 187 pp.
- [8] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, *Rational LS category and its applications*, Trans. AMS **273** (1982) 1–37.
- [9] Y. Félix, J.-M. Lemaire, *On the mapping theorem for Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Topology **24** (1985), 41–43.
- [10] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, J.-M. Lemaire, *The rational LS category of products and of Poincaré duality complexes*, Topology **37** (1998), 749–756.
- [11] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, J.-C. Thomas, *Rational homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **205** Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [12] Y. Félix, S. Halperin, J.-C. Thomas, *Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of skeleta*, Top. Appl. **125** (2002), 357–361.
- [13] L. Fernández-Suárez, A. Gómez-Tato, J. Strom, and D. Tanré, *The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of  $Sp(3)$* , Proc. AMS **132** (2004), 587–595.
- [14] T. Ganea, *A generalization of the homology and homotopy suspension*, Comment. Math. Helv. **39** (1965) 295–322.
- [15] T. Ganea, *Upper estimates for the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, translated as Soviet Math. Dokl. **2** (1961) 180–183.
- [16] P. Ghienne, *The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of spaces in the Mislin genus of  $Sp(3)$* , Lusternik-Schnirelmann category and related topics (South Hadley, MA, 2001), 121–126, Contemp. Math., **316**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.
- [17] K. A. Hardie *A note on fibrations and category*, Michigan Math. J. **17** (1970) 351–352.
- [18] K. A. Hardie, *On the category of the double mapping cylinder*, Tôhoku Math. J. (2) **25** (1973), 355–358.
- [19] K. P. Hess, *A proof of Ganea’s conjecture for rational spaces*, Topology **30** (1991), 205–214.
- [20] N. Iwase, *Ganea’s conjecture on Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **30** (1998), no. 6, 623–634.
- [21] N. Iwase, M. Mimura, *L-S categories of simply-connected compact simple Lie groups of low rank*, Categorical decomposition techniques in algebraic topology (Isle of Skye, 2001), 199–212, Progr. Math., **215**, Birkhäuser, Basel, 2004.
- [22] C. A. McGibbon, *The Mislin genus of a space*, The Hilton Symposium 1993 (Montreal, PQ), 75–102, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994.
- [23] M. Mimura and H. Toda, *Topology of Lie groups. I, II*, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, **91** AMS, Providence, RI, 1991.
- [24] P.-E. Parent, *LS category: product formulas*, Top. Appl. **106** (2000), 35–47.

- [25] P. Rabinowitz, *Periodic solutions for some forced singular Hamiltonian systems*, Analysis, etc., 521–544, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1990.
- [26] J. Roitberg, *The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of certain infinite CW-complexes*, Topology **39** (2000), 95–101.
- [27] H. Scheerer, D. Stanley, Donald and D. Tanré, *Fibrewise construction applied to Lusternik-Schnirelmann category*, Israel J. Math. **131** (2002), 333–359.
- [28] H. Scheerer and M. Stelzer, *Fibrewise infinite symmetric products and M-category*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **36** (1999), no. 4, 671–682.
- [29] P. A. Schweitzer, *Secondary cohomology operations induced by the diagonal mapping*, Topology **3** (1965) 337–355.
- [30] S. Shelah, *Infinite abelian groups, Whitehead problem and some constructions*, Israel J. Math. **18** (1974), 243–256.
- [31] W. Singhof, *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of Lie groups*, Math. Z. **145** (1975), 111–116.
- [32] D. Stanley, *Spaces with Lusternik-Schnirelmann category  $n$  and cone length  $n + 1$* , Topology **39** (2000), no. 5, 985–1019.
- [33] D. Stanley, *On the Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of maps*, Canad. J. Math. **54** (2002), 608–633.
- [34] J. Strom, *Decomposition of the diagonal map*, Topology **42** (2003), 349–364.
- [35] R. M. Switzer, *Algebraic topology—homotopy and homology*, Classics in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.
- [36] G. W. Whitehead, *Elements of Homotopy Theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics **61**, Springer-Verlag, 1978.

Department of Mathematics  
 Western Michigan University  
 Kalamazoo, MI 49008

rob.nendorf@wmich.edu  
 nickscoville@hotmail.com  
 jeff.strom@wmich.edu