

HAVING THE H-SPACE STRUCTURE IS NOT A GENERIC PROPERTY

JIANZHONG PAN

ABSTRACT. In this note, we answer in negative a question posed by McGibbon[7] about the generic property of H-space structure. In fact we verify the conjecture of Roitberg [12]. Incidentally, the same example also answers in negative the open problem 10 in McGibbon[7]

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a connected CW complex, the L-S category of X , $cat(X)$, of X is the least integer $k \geq 0$ such that X can be covered by $k + 1$ open subsets which are contractible in X . Of course the condition that X is a CW complex is unnecessary for the above definition to have a meaning. However it is in this context that a rich theory of category exists. Recent works in rational homotopy theory gave rise to a theory of rational L-S category. This makes it possible to calculate the rational L-S category and thus attack the rational Ganea Conjecture[1]. On the other hand works by N.Iwase, H.Scheerer, and D.Stanley provided the method to determine the L-S category itself in some case which lead to the construction of counterexamples to the Ganea Conjecture, see,

Date: June,5,2000.

1991 Mathematics Subject Classification. 55P60,55P45.

Key words and phrases. H-space, Phantom map,Mislin genus.

The author is partially supported by the NSFC project 19701032 and ZD9603 of Chinese Academy of Science .

e.g., [14]. Besides the application to the Ganea Conjecture , another interesting application of these ideas was given by Roitberg[12]. To explain this we have to state one problem posed by McGibbon[7]:

Question 1.1. *If X and Y have the same Mislin genus ,i.e. $X_{(p)} \simeq Y_{(p)}$ for all p where $X_{(p)}$ is the p -localization of X , does it follow that $cat(X) = cat(Y)$?*

In his paper[12], applying some results about category by Iwase[4] and results about phantom maps , Roitberg was able to answer the above question negatively. His main result can be stated as follows

Theorem 1.2. *Let $\phi : \Sigma K(\mathbb{Z}, 5) \rightarrow S^4$ be an essential, special, phantom map and X be the mapping cone of ϕ .Then $cat(X) = 2$.*

Remark 1.3. It is well known that $cat(X) = 1$ iff X is a co-H-space . It follows from the above theorem that X is not a co-H-space . On the other hand it easy to know that $S^4 \vee \Sigma^2 K(\mathbb{Z}, 5)$ has the same Mislin genus with X and is a co-H-space .

From this point of view , the theorem above answers in negative the following

Question 1.4. *If X and Y have the same Mislin genus and X is co-H-space , does it follow that Y is also a co-H-space?*

Question1.1 has an obvious Eckmann-Hilton dual . However it may not be a good question at present time since the dual L-S category is not well developed. A manageable problem is the obvious dual of Question1.4 which has been posed by McGibbon in [7]. A more precise conjecture was given by Roitberg in his paper[12]. The purpose of this paper is to establish Roitberg's conjecture and thus also answers in negative McGibbon's problem.

Theorem 1.5. *Let $\psi : K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow \Omega S^6$ be an essential, special, phantom map and Z be the homotopy fiber of ψ . Then Z and $K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times \Omega^2 S^6$ have the same Mislin genus and Z is not an H-space.*

Since the dual L-S category is not well developed and Iwase's paper [4] is unavailable to the author, method from the well developed theory of H-space will be used in stead. Another feature is the application of the newly developed Gray index of phantom map [5],[9]. Actually , by duality , our method also gives an alternative proof of the main results in [12].

Incidentally , the example constructed above combined with Theorem 3.4 in [3] also provides a negative answer to the open problem 10 in [7]: Is X an H-space if each of its Postnikov approximations $X^{(n)}$ is ? Actually we have the following

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\psi : K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \rightarrow \Omega S^6$ be an essential, special, phantom map and Z be the homotopy fiber of ψ . Then $Z^{(n)}$ is an H-space for each n but Z is not.*

Proof. It follows immediately from Theorem 3.4 in [3] that $Z^{(n)}$ and $(K(\mathbb{Z}, 2) \times \Omega^2 S^6)^{(n)}$ have the same homotopy type and thus $Z^{(n)}$ is an H-space for each n . □

In this paper all spaces involved are assumed to be 1-connected CW complexes with finite type.

The author would like to thank Prof. Roitberg for his interest in this work and for pointing out a fatal error in the earlier version of this paper . It is to correct that error that we find the application of Gray index to this work.

2. BACKGROUND ABOUT H-SPACES AND PHANTOM MAPS

First we will recall some backgrounds about H-spaces, see [17] for details. An H-space is a space X with a map $\mu : X \times X \rightarrow X$ such that $\mu|_{X \vee X} = F$ where $F : X \vee X \rightarrow X$ is the natural folding map. An H-map between H-spaces is a map of spaces $f : X \rightarrow Y$ such that the following diagram commutes up to homotopy.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times X & \xrightarrow{f \times f} & Y \times Y \\ \mu_X \downarrow & & \mu_Y \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

In this case we say that f is a $\mu_X - \mu_Y$ H-map. Two elementary but important results are the followings

Proposition 2.1. *Let (X, μ_X) be an H-space. Then, for any space M , $[M, X]$ is an algebraic loop, i.e., for any $f, g \in [X, Y]$ there exists a unique $D_{f,g} \in [M, X]$ such that*

$$\mu_*(D_{f,g}, g) = f$$

Proposition 2.2. *If $f : (X, \mu_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y)$ is an H-map, then the homotopy fiber of f is an H-space.*

Thus it is important to know when is a map an H-map or what is the obstruction for a map to be an H-map.

Definition 2.3. Let (X, μ) and (Y, μ') be H-spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of spaces. H-derivation of f is the map

$$HD(f) \in [X \wedge X, Y]$$

which is defined by

$$HD(f)\Lambda = D_{f\mu, \mu'(f \times f)}$$

where $\Lambda : X \times X \rightarrow X \wedge X$ is the natural quotient map.

Remark 2.4. The definition of H-derivation depends on the H-space structures on both X and Y .

Remark 2.5. Let (X, μ) and (Y, μ') be H-spaces and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a map of spaces. It is well known that $f : (X, \mu_X) \rightarrow (Y, \mu_Y)$ is an H-map iff $HD(f) = *$.

An easy but crucial corollary of this last remark is the following

Corollary 2.6. *Let (X, μ) and (X', μ') be H-spaces and $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a map of spaces. Assume further that $\pi_i X' = 0$ for $i \geq 2d$ and X is $(d-1)$ -connected. Then f is a $\mu - \mu'$ H-map.*

Following is one of the fundamental properties of H-derivation

Proposition 2.7. *Let (X_i, μ_i) be H-spaces, $i = 0, 1, 2$ and $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$, $g : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be maps of spaces.*

- (a) *If $f : X_0 \rightarrow X_1$ is a $\mu_0 - \mu_1$ H-map, then $HD(gf) = HD(g)(f \wedge f)$*
 (b) *If $g : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is a $\mu_1 - \mu_2$ H-map, then $HD(gf) = gHD(f)$*

Another ingredient for the main result is the phantom map. Recall that a map f from a CW complex X is called an phantom map if its restriction to the n -th skeleton is inessential for any integer n . Let $Ph(X, Y)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of phantom maps from X to Y . The following result which follows from the Sullivan conjecture provides us many examples of phantom maps.

Theorem 2.8. [8] *Let $Y = \Omega^i K$ and $X = \Sigma^j Z$ such that $i, j \geq 0$, K is a 1-connected finite CW complex. Then every map from X to Y is a phantom map if Z is as follows:*

- Z is the classifying space of a 1-connected compact Lie group
- Z is an infinite loop space with torsion fundamental group
- Z has only finitely number of nontrivial homotopy groups

and in this case we have

$$Ph(X, Y) = [X, Y] = [X_{(0)}, Y] = \prod_{n>0} H^n(X, \pi_{n+1}(Y) \otimes R)$$

Let $Ph(X, Y)$ denote the set of homotopy classes of phantom maps from X to Y . The p -localization l_p induces a natural map

$$l_p^* : Ph(X, Y) \rightarrow Ph(X, Y_{(p)})$$

It follows that there is a natural map

$$l : Ph(X, Y) \rightarrow \prod_p Ph(X, Y_{(p)})$$

It is well known that l is an epimorphism [15] and $Ker(l)$ is nontrivial iff $Ph(X, Y)$ is nontrivial[7], see also [3],[11]. The phantom map in $Ker(l)$ is called special, following Roitberg[12], see also,[6] where it is called the clone of constant map.

On the other hand, Gray, Le Minh Ha, McGibbon and Strom [2], [5],[9] introduced the notion of Gray index which is defined as follows:

Definition 2.9. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a phantom map. Then f can be factorized as the composition $X \rightarrow X/X_k \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} Y$ for each k . The Gray index of f , denoted by $G(f)$, is the largest integer k such that the \bar{f} can be chosen to be a phantom map. $G(f) = \infty$ if no such k exists.

Remark 2.10. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a phantom map. Then f can be lifted to the k -th connected covering for each k and $G(f) + 1$ is the largest integer k such that the k th lifting can be chosen to be a phantom map.

A useful fact we need is

Proposition 2.11. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a phantom map. Then*

- (i) $G(f) \geq n$ if X is n -connected or Y is $n + 1$ -connected.
- (ii) $G(f) \in \{k | H^n(X, \pi_{n+1}(Y) \otimes Q) \neq 0\}$ if $H^n(X, \pi_{n+1}(Y) \otimes Q) = 0$ for n sufficiently large.

For the proof of the Proposition above, see [5] and [9].

An immediate corollary of the above Proposition which is crucial to our purpose is

Corollary 2.12. *Let $f : K(Z, 2m) \wedge K(Z, 2m) \rightarrow \Omega^1 S^{4m+2}$ be any essential map. Then $G(f) = 4m$ where $m \geq 1$.*

Now we are ready to prove the main result.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5

First is a preliminary lemma needed later.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be an H-space which is $(e - 1)$ -connected and $\pi_i(X) = 0$ for $i \geq 2e$ and $Y = \Omega^j K$ where K is a $(d + j - 1)$ -connected finite CW-complex with $j \geq 1$ and $d > e \geq 2$. Let $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ be any essential map. Then Z (=homotopy fiber of ψ) is not an H-space if $HD(\psi) \circ (i \wedge i)$ is essential where $i : Z \rightarrow X$ is the homotopy fiber of ψ .*

Proof. If Z is an H-space, then $* = \psi \circ i : Z \rightarrow Y$ is an H-map and $HD(*) = 0$. Since i is an H-map by Corollary 2.6, it follows by Proposition 2.7 that

$$* = HD(*) = HD(\psi \circ i) = HD(\psi) \circ (i \wedge i)$$

which is in contradiction to the condition. □

Remark 3.2. To apply the above lemma it suffices to discuss when ψ is not an H-map and when $i \wedge i$ induces an injective.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $X = K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m)$ and $Y = \Omega^1 S^{4m+2}$ with $m \geq 1$. Then there is no essential H-map from X to Y .*

Proof. By Proposition 2.8, the rationalization $r : X \rightarrow X_{(0)}$ which is an H-map induces an isomorphism of groups

$$r^* : [X_{(0)}, Y] \rightarrow [X, Y]$$

It follows from Proposition 2.7 that it suffices to prove that there is no essential H-map from $X_{(0)}$ to Y .

On the other hand the map $h : S^{4m+2} \rightarrow K(\mathbb{Z}, 4m+2)$ which represents a generator of $H^{4m+2}(S^{4m+2}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ induces an isomorphism of groups

$$(\Omega^3 h)_* : [X_{(0)}, Y] \rightarrow [X_{(0)}, K(\mathbb{Z}, 4m+1)]$$

Again the Proposition 2.7 implies that it suffices to prove that there is no essential H-map from $X_{(0)}$ to $K(\mathbb{Z}, 4m+1)$ which is well known to be equivalent to the injectivity of the following homomorphism

$$\theta^* : H^{4m+2}(\Sigma X_{(0)}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{4m+2}(\Sigma X_{(0)} \wedge X_{(0)}; \mathbb{Z})$$

where θ is defined as follows:

Let $X * X = X \times I \times X / \{(x, 0, y) \sim (x, 0, y'), (x, 1, y) \sim (x', 1, y)\}$ be the join. There is a well defined map

$$k : X * X \rightarrow \Sigma X \wedge X$$

by $k[x, t, y] = (x, y, t)$. It is well known that $X * X$ is homotopy equivalent to $\Sigma X \wedge X$. If X is an H-space with multiplication μ , then θ is the composite map

$$\Sigma X \wedge X \simeq X * X \xrightarrow{k} \Sigma(X \times X) \rightarrow \Sigma X$$

where the last map is the map $-\Sigma\pi_1 + \Sigma\mu - \Sigma\pi_2$ and π_1, π_2 are the projection of $X \times X$ to the first and second factors respectively.

Consider the following commutative diagram where the horizontal maps which are isomorphisms come from the universal coefficient Theorem

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 H^{4m+2}(\Sigma X_{(0)}; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H_{4m+1}(\Sigma X_{(0)}; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) \\
 \theta_* \downarrow & & \text{Ext}(\theta_*, \mathbb{Z}) \downarrow \\
 H^{4m+2}(\Sigma X_{(0)} \wedge X_{(0)}; \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ext}(H_{4m+1}(\Sigma X_{(0)} \wedge X_{(0)}; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z})
 \end{array}$$

It follows that it suffices to prove that the map

$$\theta_* : H_{4m+1}(\Sigma X_{(0)} \wedge X_{(0)}; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{4m+1}(\Sigma X_{(0)}; \mathbb{Z})$$

or equivalently the map

$$\theta_* : H_{4m}(X \wedge X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_{4m}(X; \mathbb{Q})$$

is injective . On the other hand, it is well known that the map θ_* is dual to the reduced coproduct which is an isomorphism in this case and thus completes the proof. \square

The Theorem1.5 is actually a corollary of the following more general Theorem

Theorem 3.4. *Let $X = K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m)$ and $Y = \Omega S^{4m+2}$ with $m \geq 1$. Let $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ be any essential, special, phantom map. Then Z (=homotopy fiber of ψ) is not an H-space and has the same Mislin genus with $X \times \Omega Y$.*

Proof. That Z and $X \times \Omega Y$ have the same Mislin genus follows from the condition that $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ is a special phantom map.

On the other hand Lemma3.1 and Theorem3.3 apply here. Thus the Theorem above follows from the following Proposition. \square

Proposition 3.5. *Let $X = K(\mathbb{Z}, 2m)$ and $Y = \Omega S^{4m+2}$ with $m \geq 1$. Let $\psi : X \rightarrow Y$ be any essential, special, phantom map which exists by*

Proposition 2.8 and the remark after it. Then $(i \wedge i)^ : [X \wedge X, Y] \rightarrow [Z \wedge Z, Y]$ is injective where $i : Z \rightarrow X$ is the homotopy fiber of ψ .*

Proof. Let $f : X \wedge X \rightarrow Y$ be any essential map. If $f \circ (i \wedge i) \sim *$ we will prove that this leads to a contradiction which concludes the proof. Since f is a phantom map, $f \circ (i \wedge i) \sim *$ is also a phantom map. Thus we have the following commutative diagram up to homotopy.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z \wedge Z & \xrightarrow{i \wedge i} & X \wedge X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \text{id} \downarrow \\ Z_{(0)} \wedge Z_{(0)} & \xrightarrow{i_{(0)} \wedge i_{(0)}} & X_{(0)} \wedge X_{(0)} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{f}} & Y \end{array}$$

If $f \circ (i \wedge i) \sim *$, then $\tilde{f} \circ (i_{(0)} \wedge i_{(0)})$ is the composite $Z_{(0)} \wedge Z_{(0)} \rightarrow \Sigma Z_\tau \wedge Z_\tau \xrightarrow{h} Y$ where Z_τ is the homotopy fiber of the rationalization $X \rightarrow X_{(0)}$. On the other hand we claim that

Claim 3.6. *Any map $h : \Sigma Z_\tau \wedge Z_\tau \rightarrow Y$ factors through a map $\Sigma Z_\tau \wedge Z_\tau \rightarrow \Sigma F_\tau \wedge F_\tau$ where $F = \Omega^2 S^{4m+2}$.*

Assuming this, note that $i_{(0)} \wedge i_{(0)}$ admits a right inverse, we have that f is the composite $X \wedge X \rightarrow X_{(0)} \wedge X_{(0)} \rightarrow \Sigma F_\tau \wedge F_\tau \rightarrow Y$.

It is easy to know that ΣF_τ is $4m - 1$ -connected and thus $\Sigma F_\tau \wedge F_\tau$ is $8m - 2$ -connected. It follows that f is the composite $X \wedge X \rightarrow X_{(0)} \wedge X_{(0)} \rightarrow Y \langle 8m - 2 \rangle \rightarrow Y$. By Remark 2.10, $G(f) \geq 8m - 3$ which contradicts Corollary 2.12. \square

Remark 3.7. Roitberg has shown us how the use of Gray index can be avoided.

It remains to prove the Claim 3.6 which follows from the following

Lemma 3.8. *There is a map $\Sigma g \wedge g : \Sigma Z_\tau \wedge Z_\tau \rightarrow \Sigma F_\tau \wedge F_\tau$ such that the following map is a weak homotopy equivalence*

$$(\Sigma g \wedge g)^* : \text{map}_*(\Sigma F_\tau \wedge F_\tau, Y) \rightarrow \text{map}_*(\Sigma Z_\tau \wedge Z_\tau, Y)$$

Proof. Roitberg and P. Touhey proved in [13] that , if X, Y have the same Mislin genus, then $X_\tau \simeq Y_\tau$. So we have a map g which is a composite

$$Z_\tau \simeq (X \times F)_\tau \xrightarrow{\pi_\tau} F_\tau$$

where $\pi : X \times F \rightarrow F$ is the projection.

To prove $\Sigma g \wedge g$ induces a homotopy equivalence it suffices to prove that $\pi_\tau : (X \times F)_\tau \rightarrow (F)_\tau$ induces a homotopy equivalence

$$(\Sigma\pi_\tau \wedge \pi_\tau)^* : \text{map}_*(\Sigma F_\tau \wedge F_\tau, Y) \rightarrow \text{map}_*(\Sigma(X \times F)_\tau \wedge (X \times F)_\tau, Y)$$

which follows directly from the fact that $\text{map}_*(X_\tau, Y)$ is weakly contractible and the fact

$$\text{map}_*(\Sigma X_\tau, Y) \simeq \text{map}_*(\Sigma X, \hat{Y})$$

which can be found in [16] , for a stronger result , see Pan and Woo [10]. □

REFERENCES

- [1] T.Ganea, *Some problems on numerical homotopy invariants*, Lecture Notes in Math., v.**249**, Springer , Berlin,1971, pp.23-30
- [2] B.Gray, *Operations and a problem of Heller*, PhD thesis, University of Chicago,1965
- [3] J.R.Harper, J.Roitberg, *Phantom maps and spaces of the same n-type for all n* , J. of Pure and Applied Algebra **80**(1992), pp.123–137
- [4] N.Iwase, *A_∞ method in L-S category*, Preprint.
- [5] Lê Minh Hà and J. Strom , *The Gray filtration of phantom maps*, Preprint, Hopf Archive ,Nov., 1999
- [6] C.A.McGibbon, *Clones of spaces and maps in homotopy theory*, Comment. Math. Helv. , **68**(1993), pp.263–277

- [7] C.A.McGibbon, *Mislin genus of a space*, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, **6**(1994), pp.75–102
- [8] C.A.McGibbon, *Phantom maps*, Handbook of algebraic topology, pp.1209–1257 (I. M. James,ed.), North-Holland, 1995
- [9] C.A.McGibbon and J.Strom, *Numerical invariants of phantom maps*, Preprint, Hopf Archive ,Feb., 2000
- [10] Pan Jianzhong, Woo Mooha , *On the Phantom elements*, to appear in Contemp. Math.
- [11] J.Roitberg, *Computing homotopy classes of phantom maps*, CRM Proceedings and Lecture Notes, **6**(1994), pp. 141–168
- [12] J.Roitberg, *The Lusternik-Schnirelmann category of certain infinite CW-complexes*, Topology **39**(2000), pp.95–101
- [13] J.Roitberg, P. Touhey, *The homotopy fiber of profinite completion*, to appear in Topology and its Applications.
- [14] D.Stanley, *Spaces with Lusternik-Schnirelmann category n and cone length $n+1$* , Preprint in Hopf Archive ,1998
- [15] R.J.Steiner, *Localization, completion and Infinite complexes*, Mathematika. **24**(1977), pp.1–15
- [16] P.Touhey, *A Phantom Dissertation* , CUNY , (1997).
- [17] A.Zabrodsky, *Hopf spaces*, Math. Studies 22, North-Holland, 1976

INSTITUTE OF MATH.,ACADEMIA SINICA ,BEIJING 100080, CHINA

E-mail address: pjz@math03.math.ac.cn