

ON THE HOMOLOGY OF REGULAR QUOTIENTS

ANDREW BAKER

ABSTRACT. We construct a free resolution of R/I^s over R where $I \triangleleft R$ is generated by a (finite or infinite) regular sequence. This generalizes the Koszul complex for the case $s = 1$. We easily deduce that for $s > 1$, the algebra structure of $\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s)$ is trivial and the reduction $R/I^s \rightarrow R/I^{s-1}$ induces the trivial map of algebras.

INTRODUCTION

Let R be a commutative unital ring. We will say that an ideal $I \triangleleft R$ is *regular* if it is generated by a regular sequence u_1, u_2, \dots which may be finite or infinite. We will call the quotient ring R/I a *regular quotient* of R . All tensor products and homomorphisms will be taken over R unless otherwise indicated.

It is well known, see [8] for example, that there is a Koszul resolution $\mathbf{K}_* \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$, where

$$\mathbf{K}_* = \Lambda_R(e_i : i \geq 1)$$

is a differential graded algebra with e_i in degree 1 and differential d given by $de_i = u_i$. The following result is standard, see [4, 8].

Proposition 0.1. *If $I \triangleleft R$ is regular, then $\mathbf{K}_* \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$ provides a free resolution of R/I over R . Moreover, (\mathbf{K}_*, d) is a differential graded R -algebra.*

Corollary 0.2. *As R/I -algebras,*

$$\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I) = \Lambda_{R/I}(e_i : i \geq 1).$$

We will generalize this by defining a family of free resolutions

$$\mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_* \rightarrow R/I^s \rightarrow 0 \quad (s \geq 1),$$

which are well related and allow efficient calculation of the R/I -algebra $\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s)$.

The resolution we construct may well be known, however lacking a convenient reference we give the details. Our main motivation lies in calculations of a topological nature that are part of joint work with Alain Jeanneret and Andrej Lazarev [1, 2], but we feel that this algebraic construction may be of wider interest. Our approach to this construction was suggested by derived category ideas and in particular the construction of Cartan-Eilenberg resolutions [3, 8]. Tate's method of killing homology classes [7] seems to be related, as does Smith's work on homological algebra [5].

Notation. Our indexing conventions are predominantly homological (*i.e.*, lower index) as opposed to cohomological, since that is appropriate for the topological applications we have in mind. Consequently, complexes have differentials which decrease degrees.

For a complex (C_*, d) , we define its k -fold suspension $(C[-k]_*, d[-k])$ by

$$C[-k]_n = C_{n-k}, \quad d[-k] = (-1)^k d: C_{n-k} \longrightarrow C_{n-k-1}.$$

For an R -module M , we sometimes view M as the complex with

$$M_n = \begin{cases} M & \text{if } n = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

1. A RESOLUTION FOR R/I^s

In this section we describe an explicit R -free resolution for R/I^s which allows homological calculations. We begin with a standard result; actually the cited proof applies when I is finitely generated, but it is easy to adapt it to the general case. We will always interpret I^0/I as R/I .

Lemma 1.1 ([4], Theorem 16.2). *For $s \geq 0$, I^s/I^{s+1} is a free R/I -module with a basis consisting of the residue classes of the distinct monomials of degree s in the u_i .*

Corollary 1.2. *For $s \geq 0$, there is a free resolution of I^s/I^{s+1} over R of the form*

$$\mathbf{Q}_*^{(s)} = \mathbf{K}_* \otimes \mathbf{U}^{(s)} \longrightarrow I^s/I^{s+1} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\mathbf{U}^{(s)}$ is a free R -module on a basis indexed on the distinct monomials of degree s in the u_i .

For a sequence $\mathbf{i} = (i_1, \dots, i_s)$ and its associated monomial $u_{\mathbf{i}} = u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_s}$, we will denote the corresponding basis element $1 \otimes u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_s}$ of $\mathbf{K}_* \otimes \mathbf{U}^{(s)}$ by $\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i}}$ and more generally $x \otimes \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i}}$ by $x\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i}}$. We will also denote the differential on $\mathbf{Q}_*^{(s)}$ by $d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(s)}$, noting that

$$(1.1) \quad d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(s)} x\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i}} = (dx)\tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i}}.$$

For $s \geq 0$, there is also a map

$$\partial^{(s+1)}: \mathbf{Q}_*^{(s)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_*^{(s+1)}; \quad \partial^{(s+1)} \sum_{\mathbf{i}} y_{\mathbf{i}} \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\mathbf{i}} (dy_{\mathbf{i}}) \tilde{u}_{\mathbf{i}},$$

where we interpret the products for $y_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} \in \mathbf{K}_*$ according to the formula

$$(dy_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)}) \tilde{u}_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} = \sum_j y_{(i_1, \dots, i_s), j} \tilde{u}_{(i_1, \dots, i_s, j)}$$

with

$$dy_{(i_1, \dots, i_s)} = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_s), j} y_{(i_1, \dots, i_s), j} \tilde{u}_j.$$

For $s \geq 1$, define

$$\mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_* = \mathbf{Q}_*^{(0)} \oplus \mathbf{Q}_*^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{Q}_*^{(s-1)},$$

with the differential $d^{(s)}$ given by

$$(1.2) \quad d^{(s)}(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}) = (x'_0, x'_1, \dots, x'_{s-1}),$$

where

$$x'_k = \begin{cases} d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(0)} x_0 & \text{if } k = 0, \\ \partial^{(k)} x_{k-1} + d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(k)} x_k & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We need to show that $(d^{(s)})^2 = 0$. This follows from the following easily verified identities which hold for all $r \geq 0$:

$$(1.3) \quad d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(r+1)} \partial^{(r+1)} + \partial^{(r+1)} d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(r)} = 0,$$

$$(1.4) \quad \partial^{(r+1)} \partial^{(r)} = 0.$$

Then

$$(d^{(s)})^2(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}) = (x''_0, x''_1, \dots, x''_{s-1}),$$

where

$$x''_0 = (d^{(0)})^2 x_0 = 0,$$

$$x''_1 = \partial^{(1)} d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(0)} x_0 + d^{(1)} \partial^{(1)} x_0 + (d^{(1)})^2 x_1 = 0,$$

while for $2 \leq k \leq s-1$,

$$x''_k = \partial^{(k)} \partial^{(k-1)} x_{k-2} + \partial^{(k)} d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(k-1)} x_{k-1} + d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(k)} \partial^{(k)} x_{k-1} + (d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(k)})^2 x_k = 0.$$

There is an augmentation map

$$\varepsilon^{(s)}: \mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_0 \longrightarrow R/I^s,$$

namely the R -module homomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{(s)} & \left(a_0, \sum_{(i_1)} a_{(i_1)} \tilde{u}_{(i_1)}, \sum_{(i_1, i_2)} a_{(i_1, i_2)} \tilde{u}_{(i_1, i_2)}, \dots, \sum_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})} a_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})} \tilde{u}_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})} \right) \\ & = a_0 + \sum_{(i_1)} a_{(i_1)} u_{(i_1)} + \sum_{(i_1, i_2)} a_{(i_1, i_2)} u_{(i_1, i_2)} + \dots + \sum_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})} a_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})} u_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{s-1})}, \end{aligned}$$

in which the sum $\sum_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)}$ is taken over all the distinct monomials $u_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)} = u_{i_1} \cdots u_{i_k}$ of degree k and $a_{(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k)} \in R$. Then $\varepsilon^{(s)}$ is surjective and in $\mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_0$ we have

$$\text{im } d^{(s)} \subseteq \ker \varepsilon^{(s)}.$$

On the other hand, suppose that

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \tilde{a}_1, \dots, \tilde{a}_{s-1}) \in \ker \varepsilon^{(s)},$$

where

$$\tilde{a}_k = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} a_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} \tilde{u}_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)}.$$

Then writing

$$a_k = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} a_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} u_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)},$$

we find

$$a_0 + a_1 + \dots + a_{s-1} \in I^s,$$

so $a_0 \in I$. This means that

$$\mathbf{a} \equiv (0, \tilde{b}_1, \tilde{a}_2, \dots, \tilde{a}_{s-1}) \pmod{\text{im } d^{(s)}}.$$

Repeating this argument modulo higher powers of I , we find that

$$\mathbf{a} \equiv (0, 0, \dots, 0, \tilde{b}_{s-1}) \pmod{\text{im } d^{(s)}},$$

where

$$\tilde{b}_{s-1} = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})} a_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})} \tilde{u}_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})}$$

and

$$b_{s-1} = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})} a_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})} u_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})} \in I^s.$$

But taking

$$c = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})} a_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-1})} \tau_{i_{s-1}} \tilde{u}_{(i_1, \dots, i_{s-2})},$$

we find

$$d^{(s)}(0, \dots, 0, c) = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \tilde{b}_{s-1}).$$

Hence $\mathbf{a} \in \text{im } d^{(s)}$. This shows that

$$\ker \varepsilon^{(s)} = \text{im } d^{(s)}.$$

Suppose that $n \geq 1$ and

$$\mathbf{x} = (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{s-1}) \in \mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_n$$

satisfies $d^{(s)} \mathbf{x} = 0$. Then $x'_0 = 0$ and so by exactness of $\mathbf{Q}_*^{(0)}$,

$$x_0 = d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(0)} y_0$$

for some $y_0 \in \mathbf{Q}_{n+1}^{(0)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = x'_1 &= \partial^{(1)} d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(0)} y_0 + d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(1)} x_1 \\ &= d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(1)} (-\partial^{(1)} y_0 + x_1), \end{aligned}$$

hence by exactness of $\mathbf{Q}_*^{(1)}$,

$$x_1 = d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(1)} y_1 + \partial^{(1)} y_0$$

for some $y_1 \in \mathbf{Q}_{n+1}^{(1)}$. Continuing in this way, eventually we obtain an element

$$(y_0, y_1, \dots, y_{s-1}) \in \mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_{n+1}$$

for which

$$x_k = d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(k)} y_k + \partial^{(k)} y_{k-1} \quad (1 \leq k \leq s-1).$$

Theorem 1.3. For $s \geq 1$,

$$\mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{(s)}} R/I^s \rightarrow 0$$

is a resolution by free R -modules.

The complex $(\mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_*, d^{(s)})$ has a multiplicative structure coming from the pairings

$$\mathbf{Q}_*^{(p)} \otimes \mathbf{Q}_*^{(q)} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Q}_*^{(p+q)}; \quad (x \tilde{u}_{(i_1, \dots, i_p)}) \otimes (y \tilde{u}_{(j_1, \dots, j_q)}) \longmapsto (xy) \tilde{u}_{(i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q)}.$$

Theorem 1.4. For $s \geq 1$, the complex $(\mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_*, d^{(s)})$ is a differential graded R -algebra, providing a multiplicative resolution free resolution of R/I^s over R .

Corollary 1.5. As an R/I -algebra,

$$\text{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s) = \text{H}_*(R/I \otimes \mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_*, 1 \otimes d^{(s)}).$$

Notice that in the differential graded R/I -algebra $(R/I \otimes \mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_*, 1 \otimes d^{(s)})$ we have

$$(1.5) \quad 1 \otimes d^{(s)}(t \otimes (x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{s-1})) = t \otimes (0, \partial^{(1)} x_0, \partial^{(2)} x_1, \dots, \partial^{(s-2)} x_{s-2}).$$

We will exploit this in the next section.

2. A SPECTRAL SEQUENCE

In order to compute $\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s)$ explicitly we will set up a double complex and consider one of the two associated spectral sequences [8]. We begin by defining the double complex $(P_{*,*}, d^h, d^v)$ with

$$\begin{aligned} P_{p,q} &= \mathbf{Q}^{(p)}[-p]_{q+p} (= \mathbf{Q}_q^{(p)} \text{ as } R\text{-modules}), \\ d^h &= (-1)^p \partial^{(p+1)}[-p] = \partial^{(p+1)}, \\ d^v &= (-1)^p d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(p)}[-p] = d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(p)}. \end{aligned}$$

Considered as a homomorphism

$$d^v d^h + d^h d^v : P_{p,q} \longrightarrow P_{p+1,q+1},$$

we have from Equation (1.3),

$$d^v d^h + d^h d^v = d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(p+1)} \partial^{(p+1)} + \partial^{(p+1)} d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(p)} = 0.$$

As the associated (direct sum) total complex $(\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus} P_*, d^{\mathrm{Tot}})$ we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus} P_n = \bigoplus_k P_{k,n-k}, \quad d^{\mathrm{Tot}} = d^h + d^v.$$

Notice that

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus} P_n = \mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_n, \quad d^{\mathrm{Tot}} = d^{(s)}$$

Hence

$$H_*(\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus} P_*, d^{\mathrm{Tot}}) = R/I^s.$$

Applying the functor $R/I \otimes (\)$ we obtain another double complex $(\bar{P}_{*,*}, d^h, d^v)$ where

$$\bar{P}_{p,q} = R/I \otimes P_{p,q}.$$

The associated total complex $(\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus} \bar{P}_*, d^{\mathrm{Tot}})$ has

$$\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus} \bar{P}_n = R/I \otimes \mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_n, \quad d^{\mathrm{Tot}} = 1 \otimes d^{(s)}$$

and homology

$$H_*(\mathrm{Tot}^{\oplus} \bar{P}_*, d^{\mathrm{Tot}}) = \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s).$$

Filtering by columns we obtain a spectral sequence with

$$(2.1) \quad E_{p,q}^2 = H_p(H_q(\bar{P}_{*,*}, d^v), d^h) \implies \mathrm{Tor}_{p+q}^R(R/I, R/I^s).$$

Here

$$H_*(\bar{P}_{p,*}, d^v) = H_*(R/I \otimes \mathbf{Q}_*^{(p)}, 1 \otimes d_{\mathbf{Q}}^{(p)}) = \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, I^p/I^{p+1})$$

and $H_*(H_q(\bar{P}_{*,*}, d^v), d^h)$ is the homology of the complex

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_q^R(R/I, R/I) \xrightarrow{\partial_*^{(1)}} \mathrm{Tor}_q^R(R/I, I/I^2) \\ \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_q^R(R/I, I^2/I^3) \xrightarrow{\partial_*^{(s-1)}} \mathrm{Tor}_q^R(R/I, I^{s-1}/I^s) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.1. *For $s \geq 2$, the complex of graded R/I -modules*

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I) \xrightarrow{\partial_*^{(1)}} \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, I/I^2) \\ \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, I^2/I^3) \xrightarrow{\partial_*^{(s-1)}} \mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, I^{s-1}/I^s) \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

is exact, hence the spectral sequence of (2.1) collapses at E^2 to give

$$\mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/I, R/I^s) = \begin{cases} R/I & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \mathrm{coker} \partial_*^{(s-1)} : \mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/I, I^{s-2}/I^{s-1}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Tor}_n^R(R/I, I^{s-1}/I^s) & \text{if } n \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

With its natural R/I -algebra structure, $\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s)$ has trivial products.

Proof. Our proof uses the observation that this complex is equivalent to part of the Koszul complex $\Lambda_{R/I[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)$ which provides a free resolution of $R/I = R/I[\tilde{u}_i : i]/(\tilde{u}_i : i)$ as an $R/I[\tilde{u}_i : i]$ -module. Up to a sign, the differential \tilde{d} agrees with that of the complex in Lemma 2.1. The result follows by exactness of the Koszul complex since the generators $\tilde{u}_1, \tilde{u}_2, \dots$ form a regular sequence in $R/I[\tilde{u}_i : i]$. We now proceed to give the details.

For a commutative unital ring \mathbb{k} , make $\Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)$ a bigraded \mathbb{k} -algebra for which

$$\mathrm{bideg} \tilde{e}_i = (1, 0), \quad \mathrm{bideg} \tilde{u}_i = (1, -1).$$

For each grading $p \geq 0$ of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)$,

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)^p = \bigoplus_{q \geq 0} \Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)^{p+q, -q}$$

and the differential

$$d^p : \Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)^p \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)^{p+1}$$

decomposes as a sum of components

$$d^{p+q, -q} : \Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)^{p+q, -q} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)^{p+q, -q-1},$$

since

$$d^p(\tilde{e}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i_p} \tilde{u}_{j_1} \cdots \tilde{u}_{j_q}) = \sum_{k=1}^p (-1)^{k-1} \tilde{e}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i_{k-1}} \tilde{e}_{i_{k+1}} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i_p} \tilde{u}_{i_k} \tilde{u}_{j_1} \cdots \tilde{u}_{j_q}.$$

Exactness of d on $\Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)$ is equivalent to the fact that for all pairs p, q ,

$$\ker d^{p+q, -q} = \mathrm{im} \ker d^{p+q, -q+1}.$$

Hence for all q we have

$$\bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \ker d^{p+q, -q} = \bigoplus_{p \geq 0} \mathrm{im} d^{p+q, -q+1},$$

which is equivalent to the exactness of

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i : i]_{q-1} \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i : i]_q \xrightarrow{d} \Lambda_{\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i) \otimes_{\mathbb{k}} \mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i : i]_{q+1},$$

where $\mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i : i]_n \subseteq \mathbb{k}[\tilde{u}_i : i]$ denotes the homogeneous polynomials of degree n .

The statement about products is now immediate since the spectral sequence is clearly multiplicative. Actually the full force of this is not really needed since

$$\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s) \cong R/I \oplus \mathrm{coker} \partial_*^{(s-1)}$$

and products of elements in the bottom filtration $\mathrm{coker} \partial_*^{(s-1)}$ are zero in $E^\infty = E^2$. \square

We can strengthen our hold on $\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s)$ using the ideas in the last proof.

Proposition 2.2. *For $s \geq 1$, $\mathrm{Tor}_*^R(R/I, R/I^s)$ is a free R/I -module.*

Proof. The case $s = 1$ is of course a consequence of Corollary 0.2.

Using the notation of the proof of Lemma 2.1, notice that in terms of the \mathbb{k} -basis of elements $\tilde{e}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i_p} \tilde{u}_{j_1} \cdots \tilde{u}_{j_q}$, each $d^{p+q, -q}$ is actually given by a \mathbb{Z} -linear combination. Therefore we can reduce to the case where $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{Z}$, and then tensor up over \mathbb{Z} with an arbitrary \mathbb{k} .

For each pair $p, q \geq 0$, $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i)^{p+q, -q}$ breaks up into a direct sum of \mathbb{Z} -submodules $M^{p+q, -q}(S)$ where S is a set of exactly $p + q$ elements of the indexing set for the u_i 's and $M^{p+q, -q}(S)$ is spanned by the finitely many elements $\tilde{e}_{i_1} \cdots \tilde{e}_{i_p} \tilde{u}_{j_1} \cdots \tilde{u}_{j_q}$ with

$$S = \{i_1, \dots, i_p, j_1, \dots, j_q\}, \quad i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_p.$$

Notice that on restriction we have

$$d_{M(S)}^{p+q,-q} = d^{p+q,-q} : M^{p+q,-q}(S) \longrightarrow M^{p+q,-q-1}(S).$$

By exactness, $\text{im } d_{M(S)}^{p+q,-q+1} = \ker d_{M(S)}^{p+q,-q}$. Since $M^{p+q,-q}(S)$ is a finitely generated free module, $\ker d_{M(S)}^{p+q,-q}$ is indivisible in $M^{p+q,-q}(S)$ and so is a summand. Hence $\text{im } d_{M(S)}^{p+q,-q+1}$ is always a summand of $M^{p+q,-q}(S)$. Taking the sum over all S and then over all p we find that for each q ,

$$\text{im } d : \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{u}_i : i]_{q-1} \longrightarrow \Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{u}_i : i]_q$$

is a summand in

$$\Lambda_{\mathbb{Z}[\tilde{u}_i:i]}(\tilde{e}_i : i) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}[\tilde{u}_i : i]_q.$$

□

3. RESOLUTIONS OF EXTENSIONS

In this section we recall some standard facts about extensions of R -modules, see [8] for example; we also give an interpretation in the language of the derived category of complexes of R -modules. Our aim is to put the construction of the complex $(\mathbf{K}(R; I^s)_*, d^{(s)})$ into a broader context. In fact, we found this complex by iterating the splicing construction for the resolution of an extension given below; in our case this works well to give a very explicit and manageable resolution.

Suppose that

$$(3.1) \quad \mathcal{E} : \quad 0 \rightarrow L \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow N \rightarrow 0$$

is a short exact sequence of R -modules and

$$P_* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} N \rightarrow 0$$

is a projective resolution of N . Then there are homomorphisms $\varepsilon_0 : P_0 \longrightarrow M$ and $\varepsilon_1 : P_1 \longrightarrow L$ which fit into a commutative diagram

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & N & \longleftarrow & P_0 & \longleftarrow & P_1 & \longleftarrow & P_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ & & \parallel & & \varepsilon_0 \downarrow & & \varepsilon_1 \downarrow & & & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & N & \longleftarrow & M & \longleftarrow & L & \longleftarrow & 0 & & \end{array}$$

Then ε_1 is a cocycle in $\text{Hom}_R(P_1, L)$ which represents an element $\Theta(\mathcal{E}) \in \text{Ext}_R^1(N, L)$ classifying the extension \mathcal{E} .

Now let $Q_* \xrightarrow{\eta} L \rightarrow 0$ be a projective resolution of L with differential d_Q and $Q[-1]_*$ its suspension. Then the differential $d_Q[-1]$ in $Q[-1]_*$ is given by

$$d_Q[-1]x = -d_Qx.$$

It is well known that in the derived category $\mathcal{D}^b(R)$ of bounded below complexes of R -modules,

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Ext}_R^1(N, L) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(R)}(P_*, Q[-1]_*).$$

Given the diagram (3.2), there is an extension to a diagram

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & N & \longleftarrow & P_0 & \longleftarrow & P_1 & \longleftarrow & P_2 & \longleftarrow & P_3 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ & & \parallel & & \varepsilon_0 \downarrow & & \varepsilon'_1 \downarrow & & \varepsilon'_2 \downarrow & & \varepsilon'_3 \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & N & \longleftarrow & M & \longleftarrow & Q_0 & \longleftarrow & Q_1 & \longleftarrow & Q_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

and hence the element

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & P_0 & \longleftarrow & P_1 & \longleftarrow & P_2 & \longleftarrow & P_3 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ \parallel & & 0 \downarrow & & \varepsilon'_1 \downarrow & & \varepsilon'_2 \downarrow & & \varepsilon'_3 \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & Q_0 & \longleftarrow & Q_1 & \longleftarrow & Q_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

which represents an element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(R)}(P_*, Q[-1]_*)$. Conversely, a diagram with exact rows such as (3.4) clearly gives rise to an extension of the form (3.2). Perhaps a more illuminating way to view this morphism in $\mathcal{D}^b(R)$ is in terms of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & P_0 & \longleftarrow & P_1 & \longleftarrow & P_2 & \longleftarrow & P_3 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ \parallel & & 0 \downarrow & & \varepsilon_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & L[-1] & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ \parallel & & \uparrow & & \varepsilon \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & 0 & \longleftarrow & Q[-1]_0 & \longleftarrow & Q[-1]_1 & \longleftarrow & Q[-1]_2 & \longleftarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

where the augmentation $\varepsilon: Q[-1]_* \rightarrow L[-1]$ is a homology equivalence, hence an isomorphism in $\mathcal{D}^b(R)$, so the composite

$$P_* \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_1} L \xrightarrow{\varepsilon^{-1}} Q_*$$

gives an element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(R)}(P_*, Q[-1]_*)$. Of course all of these classes agree with $\Theta(\mathcal{E})$. Notice that $\Theta(\mathcal{E})$ is determined by the homomorphism $\varepsilon_1: P_1 \rightarrow Q[-1]_1 = Q_0$ lifting the map $P_1 \rightarrow L$.

We also recall a well known related result, see [8].

Proposition 3.1. *For a ring R , let*

$$0 \leftarrow A \leftarrow B \leftarrow C \leftarrow 0$$

be short exact and $P_ \rightarrow A \rightarrow 0$ and $Q_* \rightarrow C \rightarrow 0$ projective resolutions. Then there is a projective resolution of the form $(P \oplus Q)_* \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$ and a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longleftarrow & P_* & \longleftarrow & (P \oplus Q)_* & \longleftarrow & Q_* & \longleftarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longleftarrow & A & \longleftarrow & B & \longleftarrow & C & \longleftarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Proof. The extension is classified by an element of $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}^b(R)}(P_*, Q[-1]_*)$ corresponding to a chain map $\partial_*: P_* \rightarrow Q[-1]_*$. Viewed as a sequence of maps $\partial_n: P_n \rightarrow Q[-1]_{n-1}$, ∂_* must satisfy

$$(3.5) \quad d_Q \partial_n + \partial_{n-1} d_P = 0 \quad (n \geq 1).$$

The formula

$$d(x, y) = (d x, \partial_n x + d_Q y) \quad (x \in P_n, y \in Q_n)$$

defines the differential in $(P \oplus Q)_*$. □

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Baker & A. Jeanneret, Brave new Bockstein operations, in preparation.
- [2] A. Baker & A. Lazarev, On the Adams Spectral Sequence for R -modules, Glasgow University Mathematics Department preprint 01/2.
- [3] H. Cartan & S. Eilenberg, Homological Algebra, Princeton University Press (1956).
- [4] H. Matsumura, Commutative Ring Theory, Cambridge University Press (1986).
- [5] L. Smith. Homological algebra and the Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **129** (1967), 58–93.
- [6] N. P. Strickland, Products on MU -modules, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **351** (1999), 2569–2606.
- [7] J. Tate, Homology of Noetherian rings and of local algebras, Illinois J. Math. **1** (1957), 14–27.
- [8] C. A. Weibel, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, Cambridge University Press (1994).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF GLASGOW, GLASGOW G12 8QW, SCOTLAND.

E-mail address: `a.baker@maths.gla.ac.uk`

URL: `http://www.maths.gla.ac.uk/~ajb`