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Introduction

This paper arose from attempting to understand Bousfield localization functors in stable homotopy theory. All spectra will be $p$-local for a prime $p$ throughout this paper. Recall that if $E$ is a spectrum, a spectrum $X$ is $E$-acyclic if $E \wedge X$ is null. A spectrum is $E$-local if every map from an $E$-acyclic spectrum to it is null. A map $X \to Y$ is an $E$-equivalence if it induces an isomorphism on $E_*$, or equivalently, if the fibre is $E$-acyclic. In [Bou79], Bousfield shows that there is a functor called $E$-localization, which takes a spectrum $X$ to an $E$-local spectrum $L_E X$, and a natural transformation $X \to L_E X$ which is an $E$-isomorphism. Studying $L_E X$ is studying that part of homotopy theory which $E$ sees.

These localization functors have been very important in homotopy theory. Ravenel [Rav84] showed that finite spectra are local with respect to the wedge of all the Morava K-theories $\bigvee_{n<\infty} K(n)$. This gave a conceptual proof of the fact that there are no non-trivial maps from the Eilenberg-MacLane spectrum $\text{HF}_p$ to a finite spectrum $X$.

Hopkins and Ravenel later extended this to the chromatic convergence theorem [Rav92]. If we denote, as usual, the localization with respect to the first $n+1$ Morava K-theories $K(0) \vee \cdots \vee K(n)$ by $L_n$, the chromatic conver-
gence theorem says that for finite \( X \), the tower \( \ldots \pi_i L_n X \to \pi_i L_{n-1} X \to \pi_i L_0 X \) is pro-isomorphic to the constant tower. In particular, \( X \) is the inverse limit of the \( L_n X \).

The major result of this paper is that finite torsion spectra are local with respect to any infinite wedge of Morava K-theories \( W_{i<\infty} K(n_i) \). This has several interesting corollaries. For example, it implies that there are no maps from the Johnson-Wilson spectra \( BP(n) \) to a finite spectrum. It also implies that if \( E \) is a ring spectrum which detects all finite spectra, so that \( E_s(X) \neq 0 \) if \( X \) finite, then \( L_E X \) is either \( X \) or \( X_p \), the \( p \)-completion of \( X \), for finite \( X \). This in turn implies that the only smashing localization which detects all finite complexes is the identity functor.

In order to prove that finite torsion spectra are \( W_{i<\infty} K(n_i) \) local, I show that \( BP_p \) is a wedge summand of \( \prod_i L_{K(n_i)} BP_p \). This is saying that one does not have to reassemble the chromatic pieces of \( BP_p \) into an inverse limit to recover the homotopy theory of \( BP_p \). This result is a \( BP \) analogue of the zeta conjecture of Hopkins. I will describe this conjecture in Section 4, but for now suffice it to say that the conjecture is that \( L_{n-1} X_p \) is a wedge summand in \( L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} X_p \). The zeta conjecture is actually stronger than that, for it also explains how this splitting occurs, but most of the corollaries I draw from the zeta conjecture only need the splitting itself. One corollary of the zeta conjecture would be that, for finite \( X \), \( X_p \) is a wedge summand of \( \prod_i L_{K(n_i)} X_p \), explaining how my result is a \( BP \) analogue of the zeta conjecture. I do not know if \( L_{n-1} BP_p \) is a wedge summand of \( L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} BP_p \).

In the first two sections of this paper, I describe some other results about Bousfield localization functors, this time with respect to spectra \( E \) which kill a finite spectrum. The pedigree of these results is somewhat confusing. Almost all of the results in Sections 1 and 2 have been known to Hopkins for some time. Others may have known them as well, but they have not appeared in print before. I feel that they warrant a larger audience. In addition, I discovered many of these results independantly, and there are a couple of new results as well. For example, I show that \( L_{K(n)} \) is a minimal localization functor. That is, if the natural transformation \( X \to L_E X \) factors through \( L_{K(n)} X \), then \( L_E X \) is either the zero functor or is \( L_{K(n)} X \) itself. I also provide some new examples of smashing localizations.

The last section of the paper discusses the consequences of the zeta conjecture on the homotopy groups of \( L_n S^0 \). We show that, given the zeta conjecture, each homotopy groups is bounded torsion and a direct sum of
cyclics, except in dimension 0, where there is an additional free summand, and in dimension $-2n$, where there is a $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ summand.

This paper is written in the homotopy category of $p$-local spectra. In particular, '=' is equality in the homotopy category, namely homotopy equivalence. Similarly, I will often write that a map or spectrum is 0, by which I mean that it is null-homotopic or contractible.

I would like to thank Mike Hopkins for sharing his ideas so freely. I thank Hal Sadofsky for hundreds of discussions on matters related to this paper. I also thank David Johnson for helpful discussions, and Paul Eakin and Avinash Sathaye for convincing me that my original ideas about infinite abelian groups were too naive.

1 Spectra with finite acyclics

Before describing the results of this section, I need to recall the definition of the Bousfield class of a spectrum [Bou79].

**Definition 1.1** Two spectra $E$ and $F$ are Bousfield equivalent if, given any spectrum $X$, 
\[ E \wedge X = 0 \text{ if and only if } F \wedge X = 0. \]

Denote the equivalence class of $E$ by $\langle E \rangle$. Define $\langle E \rangle \leq \langle F \rangle$ if and only if $E \wedge X = 0$ implies $F \wedge X = 0$. Define 
\[ \langle E \rangle \wedge \langle F \rangle = \langle E \wedge F \rangle \]

and 
\[ \langle E \rangle \lor \langle F \rangle = \langle E \lor F \rangle. \]

There is a minimal Bousfield class $\langle * \rangle$, which we will often denote by 0, and a maximal Bousfield class $\langle S^0 \rangle$. I remind the reader that it is perfectly possible to have $\langle E \rangle \leq \langle F \rangle$ while nonetheless $\langle E \wedge F \rangle = 0$.

In this section we investigate Bousfield classes of spectra $E$ which have finite acyclics, i.e. there is some finite $X$ with $E \wedge X = 0$. Highlights of this section include the minimality of the Bousfield class of $K(n)$ (Corollary 1.7) and the new examples of smashing localizations (Proposition 1.5). We also show that every $BP$-module spectrum with finite acyclics has the Bousfield
class of a wedge of Morava K-theories, and that a $v_n$-periodic Landweber exact spectrum has the same Bousfield class as $E(n)$.

First, we need to recall some corollaries of the nilpotence theorem [DHS, HS]. Recall that a finite spectrum $X$ has type $n$ if $K(i)_*(X) = 0$ for $i < n$ but $K(n)_*(X) \neq 0$. Every finite spectrum is of some finite type, and the periodicity theorem of J. Smith, written up in [Rav92], says that there is a spectrum of type $n$ for all $n$. Let $C_n$ denote the class of all finite spectra of type at least $n$. Then [HS] any nonempty collection of finite spectra that is closed under cofibrations and retracts is some $C_n$.

**Lemma 1.2 (Hopkins-Smith)** All finite spectra of type $n$ have the same Bousfield class, which we denote $F(n)$.

**Proof:** This is an easy application of the nilpotence theorem. Given an $X$ of type $n$, let $C$ consist of all finite spectra $Y$ such that $\langle Y \rangle \leq \langle X \rangle$. It is easy to see that $C$ is closed under retracts, cofibrations, and suspensions, so must be a $C_k$ for some $k$. Since $X \in C$, $C \subseteq C_n$. In particular, if $Y$ is type $n$, $\langle Y \rangle \leq \langle X \rangle$. Interchanging $X$ and $Y$ completes the proof. □

A spectrum $X$ in $C_n$ has a $v_n$ self-map, that is, a map inducing an isomorphism on $K(n)_*(X)$ [HS]. Any two such become equal upon iterating them enough times, so that there is a well-defined telescope $Tel_n(X)$. $Tel_n$ is actually an exact functor on the category of finite spectra with $v_n$ self maps. This follows from the fact that a map between two such finite spectra will commute with large enough iterates of the $v_n$ self maps. By following a similar line of proof as in the above lemma, we get

**Lemma 1.3** The telescopes of finite spectra of type $n$ all have the same Bousfield class, which we denote $Tel(n)$.

This lemma was also known to Hopkins and Smith, and it appears in [MS] as well.

Recall the lemma of [Rav84]: if $f$ is a self-map of $X$ and $Tel(X)$ is its telescope and $Y$ its cofibre, then $\langle X \rangle = \langle Tel(X) \rangle \vee \langle Y \rangle$. Applying this repeatedly using $v_n$ self maps, we get

$$\langle S^0 \rangle = \langle Tel(0) \rangle \vee \cdots \vee \langle Tel(n) \rangle \vee \langle F(n + 1) \rangle.$$ (1)
This decomposition is the key to most of our results in this section. Note that it is orthogonal, in the sense that $\text{Tel}(m) \wedge \text{Tel}(n) = \text{Tel}(m) \wedge F(n) = 0$ if $m < n$. This is proven in [MS].

Given any spectrum $E$, let

$$\text{FA}(E) = \{X | X \text{ is finite and } E \wedge X = 0\}.$$ 

In this section, we will discuss spectra which have finite acyclics, so that we assume $\text{FA}(E) \neq \{*\}$. It is easy to see that $\text{FA}(E)$ is closed under cofibrations and retracts, so it must be $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}$ for some $n$. We then have the following observation.

**Lemma 1.4** If $\text{FA}(E) = \mathcal{C}_{n+1}$, then

$$\langle E \rangle = \langle E \wedge \text{Tel}(0) \rangle \vee \cdots \vee \langle E \wedge \text{Tel}(n) \rangle.$$

In particular, $\langle \text{Tel}(0) \vee \cdots \vee \text{Tel}(n) \rangle$ is the largest Bousfield class with finite acyclics $\mathcal{C}_{n+1}$, and therefore localization with respect to it, denoted $L_n^f$, is smashing.

**Proof:** Just smash equation (1) with $E$. To see $L_n^f$ is smashing, note that for any spectrum $E$, $\text{FA}(L_ES^0) = \text{FA}(E)$. Thus,

$$\langle L_n^f S^0 \rangle \leq \langle \text{Tel}(0) \vee \cdots \vee \text{Tel}(n) \rangle.$$ 

This implies by Prop. 1.27 of [Rav84] that $L_n^f$ is smashing. $\Box$

$L_n^f$ has been investigated by many authors [Bou92, MS, Mil, Rav92a]. All of them noticed that it is smashing, though I think this is the most transparent proof. The telescope conjecture is usually stated as saying that if $X$ is type $n$ then $L_{K(n)}X = \text{Tel}(X)$. This is equivalent to $\langle \text{Tel}(n) \rangle = \langle K(n) \rangle$, and also to $L_n^f = L_n$. (For details, see [MS] or one of the other cited papers above.) This conjecture is now known to be false for $n = 2$ [Rav92b, MRS], and is presumed to be false for larger $n$ as well.

As an amusing example of what the failure of the telescope conjecture means, we include the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.5** Localization with respect to

$$\text{Tel}(0) \vee \cdots \vee \text{Tel}(m) \vee K(m+1) \vee \cdots \vee K(n)$$

is smashing.
Proof: Call this localization functor $L_{m,n}$. We have that
\[
\langle \text{Tel}(0) \vee \cdots \vee \text{Tel}(m) \rangle \leq \langle L_{m,n}S^0 \rangle \leq \langle \text{Tel}(0) \vee \cdots \vee \text{Tel}(n) \rangle.
\]

We need to show that $\langle L_{m,n}S^0 \wedge \text{Tel}(i) \rangle = \langle K(i) \rangle$ if $m < i \leq n$. Note that
\[
\langle L_{m,n}S^0 \wedge \text{Tel}(i) \rangle = \langle L_{m,n}S^0 \wedge F(i) \wedge \text{Tel}(i) \rangle = \langle L_{m,n}F(i) \wedge \text{Tel}(i) \rangle.
\]

But $F(i)$ is $\text{Tel}(0) \vee \cdots \vee \text{Tel}(m)$ acyclic, so $L_{m,n}F(i) = L_nF(i)$. Since $L_n$ is smashing [Rav86], $\langle L_nF(i) \rangle = \langle K(i) \vee \cdots \vee K(n) \rangle$, and the result follows. \hfill \Box

It is an old problem of Bousfield’s to classify all smashing localization functors. We address another part of this problem in Section 3.

To measure the extent to which the telescope conjecture fails, note that there is a natural map $L_n^fX \to L_nX$. Let $A_nX$ be the fibre of this map. Note that if $X$ is type $n$, this is also the fibre of the map $\text{Tel}(X) \to L_{K(n)}(X)$, for then $L_n^f(X) = \text{Tel}(X)$ ([MS]), and $L_nX = L_{K(n)}(X)$.

**Proposition 1.6** If $X$ is finite and type $n$, the Bousfield class of $A_nX$ does not depend on $X$. We denote it $A(n)$, $\langle \text{Tel}(n) \rangle = \langle K(n) \rangle \vee \langle A(n) \rangle$, and $A(n) \wedge K(m) = 0$ for all $m$.

**Proof:** First note that because $L_n^f$ and $L_n$ are both smashing (see [Rav92] for $L_n$), so is $A_n$. That is, $A_nX = A_nS^0 \wedge X$ for all $X$. In particular, if $X$ and $Y$ are Bousfield equivalent, so are $A_nX$ and $A_nY$. This shows that $\langle A(n) \rangle$ is well-defined. The map $L_n^fX \to L_nX$ is an isomorphism on $K(m)$ homology for all $m$, (and also on $BP$ homology as we will see below), so $A(n) \wedge K(m) = 0$ for all $m$. If $X$ is type $n$, $\langle \text{Tel}(X) \rangle = \langle \text{Tel}(n) \rangle$ and $\langle L_{K(n)}X \rangle = \langle K(n) \rangle$, and it follows that $\langle \text{Tel}(n) \rangle = \langle K(n) \rangle \vee \langle A(n) \rangle$. \hfill \Box

$A(n)$ behaves very much like $M_nX$, the $n$th monochromatic layer, which is the fiber of $L_nX \to L_{n-1}X$. In particular, we have that $A(n) \wedge A(n)$ is homotopy equivalent to $A(n)$, and $L_{A(n)}A(n)$ is homotopy equivalent to $L_{A(n)}S^0$.

**Corollary 1.7** $\langle K(n) \rangle$ is a minimal Bousfield class. That is, if $\langle E \rangle < \langle K(n) \rangle$, then $E$ is null.
Proof: Suppose $\langle E \rangle \leq \langle K(n) \rangle$. Then

$$\langle E \wedge \text{Tel}(m) \rangle \leq \langle K(n) \wedge \text{Tel}(m) \rangle = 0$$

if $n \neq m$. Similarly, $\langle E \wedge F(n+1) \rangle \leq \langle K(n) \wedge F(n+1) \rangle = 0$. Thus, from equation (1), we have that $\langle E \rangle = \langle E \wedge T(n) \rangle$. But, also

$$\langle E \wedge A(n) \rangle \leq \langle K(n) \wedge A(n) \rangle = 0,$$

so by the preceding proposition, we have $\langle E \rangle = \langle E \wedge K(n) \rangle$. Since $K(n)$ is a field spectrum, $E \wedge K(n)$ is a wedge of suspensions of $K(n)$, so there are only two possibilities for $\langle E \wedge K(n) \rangle$, 0 or $\langle K(n) \rangle$. \(\square\)

Note that the corresponding result is not true for the other field spectrum, $HF_p$. Indeed, in the proof of Theorem 2.2 of [Rav84], Ravenel shows that $\langle Y \rangle < \langle HF_p \rangle$, where $Y$ denotes the Brown-Comanetz dual of $BP \wedge M(p)$.

A similar argument to the above shows that if $\langle E \rangle$ is less than or equal to some finite wedge of Morava K-theories, then $E$ must be Bousfield equivalent to a finite wedge of Morava K-theories. This says in particular that the chromatic tower is unrefinable. There is no localization functor $L_E$ that fits between $L_n$ and $L_{n-1}$.

In the light of this result and the failure of the telescope conjecture, one might ask if $A(n)$ is also a minimal Bousfield class. This would say that the telescope conjecture is not so badly wrong. I think this is likely to be true, but since I have no data, I will not be so bold as to conjecture it.

The following theorem will show that the telescope conjecture is true after smashing with $BP$. This has been known to Hopkins, Ravenel, and probably others, though it has not appeared before. First we need a lemma.

**Lemma 1.8** Suppose $R$ is a ring spectrum and the unit map $S^0 \xrightarrow{\eta} R$ factors through some spectrum $E$. Then $\langle E \rangle \geq \langle M \rangle$.

**Proof:** Since $R$ is a ring spectrum, the composite

$$R = S^0 \wedge R \xrightarrow{\eta \wedge 1} R \wedge R \to R$$

is the identity. Since $\eta$ factors through $E$, the identity map on $R$ factors through $E \wedge R$. So if $E \wedge Z$ is null, so is $R \wedge Z$. \(\square\)

Recall that $P(n)$ is a $BP$-module spectrum whose homotopy is

$$\pi_* P(n) = BP_* / (p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}).$$
The first part of the following theorem is Ravenel’s theorem 2.1(g) in [Rav84].
We reprove it so as to make the second part clearer.

**Theorem 1.9**

\[ \langle BP \land F(n) \rangle = \langle P(n) \rangle \]

and

\[ \langle BP \land \text{Tel}(n) \rangle = \langle K(n) \rangle. \]

**Proof:** If there were a spectrum \( V(n - 1) \) with

\[ BP_* V(n - 1) = BP_*(p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}), \]

it would be type \( n \) and we would have \( BP \land V(n - 1) = P(n) \), so the result would be obvious. In general, there are not such spectra, but there are appropriate substitutes \( M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \) constructed by Devinatz in [Dev]. These exist for sufficiently large \( (i_0, i_1, \ldots, i_{n-1}) \), they are finite of type \( n \), and they have the evident \( BP \)-homology. Furthermore, \( BP \land M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \) can be constructed from \( P(n) \) using cofibre sequences, in the same way that the mod \( p^n \) Moore space can be constructed from the mod \( p \) Moore space. Therefore

\[ \langle BP \land M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \rangle \leq \langle P(n) \rangle. \]

Note that there is a natural map of \( BP \)-module spectra

\[ BP \land M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \rightarrow P(n). \]

The unit map \( S^0 \rightarrow P(n) \) of the ring spectrum \( P(n) \) factors through this map, so by the proceeding lemma,

\[ \langle BP \land M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \rangle \geq \langle P(n) \rangle. \]

It can actually be shown using a variant of the Landweber exact functor theorem and Lemma 2.13 of [Rav84] that \( P(n) \) is a module spectrum over \( BP \land M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \), but we do not need this.

To see that \( \langle BP \land \text{Tel}(n) \rangle = \langle K(n) \rangle \), we proceed similarly. A \( v_n \) self map on \( M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \) induces multiplication by a power of \( v_n \) on \( BP \)-homology, so

\[ BP \land \text{Tel}(M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})) = v_n^{-1}(BP \land M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})). \]
The maps that build $BP \wedge M(p^i, v_1^i, \ldots, v_{n-1}^i)$ from $P(n)$ by cofibre sequences can all be chosen to be $BP$ module maps. Thus they will also build $v_n^{-1}(BP \wedge M(p^i, v_1^i, \ldots, v_{n-1}^i))$ from $v_n^{-1}P(n)$. Thus

$$\langle BP \wedge \text{Tel}(n) \rangle \leq \langle v_n^{-1}P(n) \rangle = \langle K(n) \rangle.$$  

The latter equality comes from Theorem 2.1 of [Rav84].

The unit map of $v_n^{-1}P(n)$ factors through $v_n^{-1}(BP \wedge M(p^i, v_1^i, \ldots, v_{n-1}^i))$, so we also have $\langle BP \wedge \text{Tel}(n) \rangle \geq \langle K(n) \rangle$. □

**Corollary 1.10** $BP \wedge A(n) = 0$, so that the natural map $L_n^f X \to L_n X$ is a $BP$ equivalence.

**Proof:**

$$\langle BP \wedge A(n) \rangle = \langle BP \wedge \text{Tel}(n) \wedge A(n) \rangle = \langle K(n) \wedge A(n) \rangle = 0.\square$$

**Corollary 1.11** Every $BP$-module spectrum with finite acyclics is Bousfield equivalent to a finite wedge of Morava $K$-theories.

**Proof:** Suppose $E$ is a $BP$-module spectrum with $\text{FA}(E) = C_{n+1}$. Since $E$ is a $BP$ module spectrum, $E$ is a retract of $BP \wedge E$, so

$$\langle E \rangle = \langle BP \wedge E \rangle = \langle BP \wedge E \wedge \text{Tel}(0) \rangle \vee \cdots \vee \langle BP \wedge E \wedge \text{Tel}(n) \rangle.$$  

But $\langle BP \wedge E \wedge \text{Tel}(n) \rangle = \langle K(n) \wedge E \rangle$. Since $K(n)$ is a field spectrum, $\langle K(n) \wedge E \rangle$ is either 0 or $\langle K(n) \rangle$. □

A particularly good kind of $BP$-module spectrum is a Landweber exact spectrum [Land]. Recall that $E$ is Landweber exact if the natural map

$$BP_*(X) \otimes_{BP_*} E_* \to E_*(X)$$

is an isomorphism. The most common examples are $E(n)$ and elliptic cohomology. Call $E$ $v_n$-periodic if $v_n \in BP_*$ maps to a unit in $E_*/(p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$.

**Corollary 1.12** If $E$ is a $v_n$-periodic Landweber exact spectrum, then

$$\langle E \rangle = \langle E(n) \rangle = \langle K(0) \rangle \vee \cdots \vee \langle K(n) \rangle.$$
Proof: Recall that if \( E \) is \( v_n \)-periodic and Landweber exact then \( v_j \) is not a zero-divisor mod \((p, v_1, \ldots, v_{j-1})\) for \( j < n \), and \( v_n \) is a unit mod \((p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})\) [Land]. It suffices to show that \( E \wedge K(j) \neq 0 \) for \( j \leq n \), and that \( E \wedge F(n + 1) = 0 \). Since \( E \) is a \( BP \)-module spectrum, \( \langle E \wedge K(j) \rangle = \langle E \wedge Tel(j) \rangle \), it suffices to show that \( E \wedge v_j^{-1}M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{j-1}) \neq 0 \). But the homotopy of \( E \wedge v_j^{-1}M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{j-1}) \) is \( v_j^{-1}E_*/(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{j-1}^{j-1}) \), which is not 0 by Landweber exactness.

Similarly, the homotopy of \( E \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \) is \( E_*/(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \).

We know that \( v_n \) is a unit mod \((p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})\), and it follows that \( v_n \) is also a unit mod \((p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})\), so the homotopy is 0. □

2 Localizations with respect to finite spectra

In this section we consider what localization with respect to a finite spectrum looks like. We also determine the \( K(n) \)-localization of \( BP \). All of the results in this section are known to Hopkins and possibly others. Special cases of some of these results have appeared in [MS].

We have already used the \( M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \) in the previous section. We need them again here, and we need to know that they exist for sufficiently large \((i_0, \ldots, i_n)\). Furthermore, there are natural maps

\[
M(p^{j_0}, v_1^{j_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{j_{n-1}}) \to M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})
\]

for \( j_k \) sufficiently large compared to \( i_k \), which induce the evident map on \( BP \)-homology. Notice that these maps fix the bottom cell.

The following result says that localization with respect to \( F(n) \) is completion at \( p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1} \).

**Theorem 2.1** For arbitrary \( X \), the map \( X \to \lim(X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})) \) induced by inclusion of the bottom cell is \( F(n) \)-localization.

**Proof:** First we verify that the right-hand side is \( F(n) \)-local. Suppose \( Z \) is \( F(n) \)-acyclic. Then

\[
[Z, X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})] = [Z \wedge DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}), X],
\]
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where $DY$ denotes the Spanier-Whitehead dual of $Y$. Since
\[ K(i)_*(DY) = K(i)^*(Y) = \text{Hom}_{K(i)_*}(K(i)_*(Y), K(i)_*), \]
$DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$ also has type $n$. Thus
\[ Z \wedge DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) = 0, \]
so $X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$ is $F(n)$-local. Then the inverse limit of $X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$ is also $F(n)$-local.

Now we must check that the map is an $F(n)$-isomorphism. By Spanier-Whitehead duality, it suffices to show that
\[ [F(n), X] \to [F(n), \lim_{\leftarrow} (X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}))] \]
is an isomorphism. We have an exact sequence
\[ \lim_{\leftarrow} [F(n), X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})] \to [F(n), \lim_{\leftarrow} (X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}))] \]
\[ \to \lim_{\leftarrow} [F(n), X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})] \to 0. \]
There is a dimension shift on the $\lim_{\leftarrow}^1$ term, but we will show it is $0$ so that will not matter.

So we need to investigate $[F(n), X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})]$, or equivalently, $[F(n) \wedge DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}), X]$. Note that $DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$ is just a desuspension of $M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$, so that the top cell is in degree $0$. (This is easy to see from the construction of the $M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$.) Also note that if $X$ is type $n$, $X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$ is a wedge of copies of $X$, for large enough indices $(i_0, \ldots, i_{n-1})$. Indeed, at each stage of the construction of $M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$, one takes the cofiber of a $v_j$ self map on $M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$. Since $X$ is type $n$, that $v_j$ self map must be nilpotent on $X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$, so that if we take large enough indices, it will be null.

Thus $[F(n), X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})]$ is a direct sum of copies of $[F(n), X]$ in dimensions corresponding to the cells of $DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$. The maps in the inverse system are all multiplication by a $v_j$ to some power, except on the top cell, which is fixed. So they are nilpotent, and for large enough indices will be $0$. Hence $\lim_{\leftarrow} [F(n), X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})] = [F(n), X]$ as required. Furthermore, the system is Mittag-Leffler, so the $\lim_{\leftarrow}^1$ term vanishes as well.

\[ \square \]
Corollary 2.2

\[ L_{F(n) \wedge E}X = L_{F(n)}L_E X. \]

**Proof:** The map \( X \to L_{F(n)} L_E X \) is an \( F(n) \wedge E \)-isomorphism, so it suffices to show that \( L_{F(n)} L_E X \) is \( F(n) \wedge E \)-local. Since

\[ L_{F(n)} L_E X = \lim \left( L_E X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \right), \]

it will suffice to show that \( L_E X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \) is \( F(n) \wedge E \)-local. Suppose \( Z \) is \( F(n) \wedge E \)-local, and consider

\[ [Z, L_E X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})] = \left[ Z \wedge DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}), L_E X \right]. \]

\( DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \) is type \( n \), so \( Z \wedge DM(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \) is \( E \)-acyclic, since \( Z \) is \( F(n) \wedge E \)-acyclic. Thus this group is 0 as required. \( \Box \)

Note that if \( X \) is finite,

\[ L_{F(n)} L_E X = \lim \left( L_E X \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \right) \]

\[ = \lim \left( X \wedge L_E M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \right). \]

In particular, recalling from [MS] that

\[ L_{Tel(n)} M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) = Tel(M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})), \]

and taking \( E = Tel(0) \vee \cdots \vee Tel(n) \), we recover their result that

\[ L_{Tel(n)} S^0 = \lim \left( Tel(M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})) \right). \]

We can use a similar argument to calculate \( L_{K(n)} BP \).

**Lemma 2.3**

\[ L_{K(n)} BP = L_{F(n)}(v_n^{-1} BP) = \lim \left( v_n^{-1} BP \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \right). \]

**Proof:** Note that \( v_n^{-1} BP \) is Landweber exact and \( v_n \) periodic, so has Bousfield class \( \langle K(0) \vee \cdots \vee K(n) \rangle \). As a ring spectrum, it is self-local, so \( L_n(v_n^{-1} BP) = v_n^{-1} BP \). Thus

\[ L_{K(n)}(v_n^{-1} BP) = L_{F(n)} L_n(v_n^{-1} BP) = L_{F(n)}(v_n^{-1} BP). \]
So it suffices to show that $BP \to v_n^{-1}BP$ is a $K(n)$-isomorphism, or equivalently that $BP \times_{v_n} \to BP$ is a $K(n)$-isomorphism. (We have left out the evident suspension). Since $K(n)$ is a field spectrum and so has a Kunneth isomorphism, it will suffice to show that

$$BP \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}}) \times_{v_n} \to BP \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$$

is a $K(n)$-isomorphism.

Note that $\times v_n$ induces multiplication by $\eta_R v_n$ on $BP, BP$ or $K(n)_{BP}$. Here $\eta_R$ is the right unit, discussed in [Rav86], where it is shown that $\eta_R v_n \equiv v_n \mod (p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$.

Thus, $\times v_n$ is an isomorphism on $K(n)_{BP}$. But $BP \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})$ can be built from $P(n)$ using cofiber sequences where the maps are $BP$-module maps. Thus $\times v_n$ is also an isomorphism on

$$K(n)_*(BP \wedge M(p^{i_0}, v_1^{i_1}, \ldots, v_{n-1}^{i_{n-1}})). \square$$

The homotopy of $L_{K(n)}BP$ is then easily calculated to be $(v_n^{-1}BP_*)_{I_n}$, the completion of $v_n^{-1}BP_*$ at the ideal $I_n = (p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$. Note that $v_n$ is not a unit in $L_nBP$, but becomes one upon completion at $I_n$. In particular, one sees that $L_{K(n)}BP$ is Landweber exact, so we have

**Corollary 2.4**

$$\langle L_{K(n)}S^0 \rangle = \langle K(0) \vee \cdots \vee K(n) \rangle$$

**Proof:** $\langle L_{K(n)}S^0 \rangle \leq \langle L_nS^0 \rangle$, since $L_{K(n)}S^0$ is an $L_nS^0$ module spectrum. Since $L_n$ is smashing, $\langle L_nS^0 \rangle = \langle K(0) \vee \cdots \vee K(n) \rangle$. On the other hand, $L_{K(n)}BP$ is an $L_{K(n)}S^0$-module spectrum, and since $L_{K(n)}BP$ is Landweber exact,

$$\langle L_{K(n)}BP \rangle = \langle K(0) \vee \cdots \vee K(n) \rangle. \square$$

### 3 Ring spectra without finite acyclics

In this section we prove our $BP$-version of the zeta conjecture and use it to deduce that finite torsion spectra are local with respect to any infinite wedge
of Morava K-theories. A corollary of this is that localization with respect to a ring spectrum that has no finite acyclics must be the identity functor or $p$-completion on finite complexes.

Recall that all spectra are $p$-local, and $X_p$ denotes the $p$-completion of $X$. Throughout this section $(n_i)$ will be an infinite increasing sequence of nonnegative integers.

**Theorem 3.1** The natural map

$$BP_p \to \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{K(n_i)}BP_p$$

is the inclusion of a wedge summand.

To prove this theorem, we use Brown-Comanetz duality. Recall that the Brown-Comanetz dual of a spectrum $X$ is the spectrum $IX$ which represents the functor $Y \mapsto \text{Hom}(\pi_0(X \wedge Y), \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$. In particular, if $X$ has finitely generated homotopy groups, then $I^2X = X_p$. Recall as well that a map $Y \to X$ is called $f$-phantom if, for all finite $Z$ and maps $Z \to Y$, the composite $Z \to Y \to X$ is null. Recall the following lemma, on page 66 of [Mar].

**Lemma 3.2** For any spectrum $X$, any $f$-phantom map into $IX$ is null.

Let $F$ be the fibre of $BP_p \to \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{K(n_i)}BP_p$. Since $BP_p = I(I(BP))$, we will have proved the theorem if we can show that the map $F \to BP_p$ is $f$-phantom.

First we remove the $p$-completion.

**Lemma 3.3** Let $F'$ be the fibre of

$$BP \to \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} L_{K(n_i)}BP.$$

If $F' \to BP$ is $f$-phantom, then $F \to BP_p$ is null.

**Proof of lemma:** Let $C$ be the fiber of $BP \to BP_p$. Then $C$ is a rational space, so $L_{K(n)}C = \ast$, and $L_{K(n)}BP = L_{K(n)}(BP_p)$ for $n > 0$. Consider the following diagram.
We consider two cases. If $L_{K(0)}$ appears in the product, then

$$C_2 = \text{fiber}(L_{K(0)}BP \to L_{K(0)}(BP_p)) = C,$$

so that $F = F'$. Then if $F' \to BP$ is f-phantom, so is $F \to BP_p$, and so it is null.

On the other hand, if $L_{K(0)}$ does not appear in the product, then $C_2 = 0$, and we have a cofiber sequence

$$F' \to F \to \Sigma C.$$

If $F' \to BP$ is f-phantom, then $F' \to BP \to BP_p$ is null, so $F \to BP_p$ factors through $\Sigma C$. But $C$ is $M(p)$-acyclic and $BP_p$ is $M(p)$-local, so the map must be null. \(\Box\)

So to complete the proof of the theorem, it will suffice to prove:

**Lemma 3.4** If $X$ is finite, the map $BP^*(X) \to (L_{K(n)}BP)^*(X)$ is injective for large $n$. 
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Proof: By using Spanier-Whitehead duality, it suffices to prove the lemma in homology rather than cohomology. Recall from the preceding sections that $L_{K(n)}BP_* = (v^{-1}BP_*)_I$, where $I_n = (p, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$ as usual. Note that $L_{K(n)}BP$ clearly satisfies the hypotheses of the Landweber exact functor theorem, so that

$$(L_{K(n)}BP)_*(X) = BP_*(X) \otimes_{BP_*} L_{K(n)}BP_*.$$ 

The Landweber filtration theorem [Land] says that $BP_*(X)$ has a finite filtration by $BP_*BP$ subcomodules $M_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$, such that the quotient $M_{i+1}/M_i$ is isomorphic to $BP_*/I_{m_i}$ for some $m_i$. Choose $n$ larger than all the $m_i$. Then $BP_*/I_{m_i}$ injects into $BP_*/I_{m_i} \otimes_{BP_*} (v^{-1}BP_*)_I$. The proof of the Landweber exact functor theorem actually shows that $(v^{-1}BP_*)_I$ is flat in the category of $BP_*BP$ comodules which are finitely generated over $BP_*$. Now an easy induction on the $M_i$ using the 5-lemma completes the proof. □

Corollary 3.5 $BP_p$ is local with respect to $E = \vee K(n_i)$ for any infinite sequence $(n_i)$ of integers. $BP$ is $E$-local if and only if the sequence contains 0.

Proof $L_{K(n_i)}BP$ is certainly $E$-local, and any product of local spectra is local. Thus $BP_p$ is a retract of a local space, so is local. We have the cofiber sequence $C \to BP \to BP_p$, where $C$ is rational. Thus, $BP$ is $E$-local if and only if $C$ is $E$-local if and only if $HQ$ is $E$-local. This is true if and only if 0 is in the sequence. □

It is natural to ask if the analogue of chromatic convergence holds. Define $X_j = L_{K(n_0)\ldots \vee K(n_j)}BP$. One would then ask if $BP_p$ is the inverse limit $X$ of the $X_j$. I don’t know the answer to this question. Note though that the map from $BP_p \to \prod L_{K(n_i)}BP_p$ factors through $X$, so that $BP_p$ is a retract of $X$.

Theorem 3.6 Suppose $R$ is a ring spectrum with no finite acyclics. If $HQ \wedge R \neq \ast$, then $L_RX = X$ for all finite $X$. If $HQ \wedge R = \ast$, then $L_RX = X_p$ for all finite $X$.

First we show

Lemma 3.7 Suppose $E$ is any spectrum such that $L_EX = X$ for some finite $X$. Then if $HQ \wedge E \neq \ast$, then $L_EX = X$ for all finite $X$. If $HQ \wedge E = \ast$, then $L_EX = X_p$ for all finite $X$. 
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Proof: Consider the class $\mathcal{C}$ of all finite $X$ that are local with respect to $E$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under retracts, suspensions, and cofibrations. It is nonempty by hypothesis, so it must be a $C_n$ for some $n$. Suppose $n > 1$, and let $X$ be a space of type $n - 1$. Then $X$ has a $v_{n-1}$-self map $f$, which must be of positive degree $d$. In the cofiber sequence $\Sigma^d X \to X \to Y$, $Y$ has type $n$ so is $E$-local. Thus, if $Z$ is $E$-acyclic, any map $Z \to X$ factors through $\Sigma^d X$. Repeating this process, we find that $g$ factors through the inverse limit of the $\Sigma^k Y$, which is null. Thus $X$ is $E$-local, which is a contradiction.

Thus $\mathcal{C} \supseteq C_1$. In particular, the Moore space $M(p)$ is $E$-local. Consider the cofiber sequence $S^0_p \to S^0_p \to M(p)$. Again, if $Z$ is $E$-acyclic, any map $Z \to S^0_p$ factors through the inverse limit of the times $p$ complete sphere, which is null. So $S^0_p$ is $E$-local.

Now consider the cofiber sequence

$$F \to S^0 \to S^0_p.$$  

$F$ is a rational space, so it is either $E$-acyclic or $E$-local according to whether $E \wedge H\mathbb{Q}$ is trivial or not. Localizing the cofiber sequence at $E$ completes the proof of the lemma. \hfill \Box

Thus to prove the theorem, we only need to show that some finite $X$ is $R$-local. A corollary of the nilpotence theorem [Hop] tells us that any ring spectrum must be detected by one of the $K(n)$, for $0 \leq n \leq \infty$. If $R$ is detected by $K(\infty) = HF_p$ then the Bousfield class of $R$ is at least as big as that of $HF_p$. Since the Moore space $M(p)$ is $HF_p$-local, it is also $R$-local, and we are done.

So suppose that $R \wedge HF_p$ is null. I claim that $R \wedge K(n)$ must then be nonzero for infinitely many $n < \infty$. Indeed, for all $n$, there is a ring spectrum $Y_n$ of type $n$. (see [Dev] for specific examples). Then $R \wedge Y_n$ is also a ring spectrum, which is nonzero since $R$ has no finite acyclics. It is not detected by any $K(i)$ with $i < n$ or $i = \infty$, so it must be detected by some $K(i)$ for $i \geq n$.

This means by [Rav84, Thm 2.1] that the Bousfield class of $R$ is as least as big as that of some infinite wedge of Morava K-theories. Thus it will suffice to show that $M(p)$ is local with respect to such a wedge, for then it will be $R$-local as well. To do this we follow the argument of [Rav84, Thm 4.4]. We know already that $BP_p$ is local. It follows that any locally finite wedge of suspensions of $BP \wedge M(p)$ is local. We then use the Adams tower.
based on $BP$ homology to write $M(p)$ as an inverse limit of spaces $K_s$ of the form $BP \wedge BP^{n\wedge} \wedge M(p)$. Here $BP$ is the fiber of the unit map $S^0 \to BP$. Since $BP \wedge BP$ is a locally finite wedge of suspensions of $BP$, each $K_s$ is local. Then $M(p)$, as the inverse limit of local spectra, is also local.

Corollary 3.5 and Theorem 3.6 can be used to show that some mapping groups are 0. For example, they imply that $[BP(n), BP_p] = 0$ and $[BP(n), X_p] = 0$, where $X$ is finite. Indeed, $BP(n)$ has no $K(i)$ homology for $i > n$.

Recall the problem of Bousfield, mentioned in Section 1, which asks for a classification of smashing localization functors.

**Corollary 3.8** If the localization functor $L_E$ is smashing and $E$ has no finite acyclics, then $L_E$ is the identity functor.

**Proof:** If $L_E$ is smashing, then $\langle E \rangle = \langle L_ES^0 \rangle$, which is a ring spectrum. Since $E$ has no finite acyclics, neither does $L_ES^0$. So the proceeding theorem tells us that $L_ES^0$, which is $L_E S^0$, is either $S^0$ or $S^0_p$. But $S^0_p$ has the same Bousfield class as the sphere itself. Indeed, suppose $S^0_p \wedge X$ is zero. Then, using the cofibre sequence

$$F \to S^0 \to S^0_p$$

we find that $X$ is a rational space. But $S^0_p \wedge HQ$ is not zero, so $S^0 \wedge X$ can’t be either. Thus $\langle E \rangle = \langle L_ES^0 \rangle = \langle S^0 \rangle$, as required. □

This also proves the following conjecture in the case that $E$ is a ring spectrum with no finite acyclics. Hopkins and possibly others have made this conjecture independantly.

**Conjecture 3.9** If $E$ is arbitrary, then $L_ES^0$ is smashing.

This brings us to the question of localization with respect to an arbitrary spectrum with no finite acyclics. I make the following conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.10** If $E$ has no finite acyclics, then $L_ES^0$ is either the sphere itself or $S^0_p$.

Our method above relied on showing that $BP_p$ is $E$-local. This will certainly not be true in general. There are $E$ with no finite acyclics such that $BP \wedge E$ is zero. An example of such a spectrum is $IS^0$, the Brown-Comanetz dual of the sphere. It is a consequence of sections 2 and 3 of
that $BP \wedge IS^0 = 0$. However, torsion finite spectra are local with respect to $IS^0$. In fact $I^2X$ is always $IX$-local, since
\[ [Z, I^2X] = [Z, F(IX, IS^0)] = [Z \wedge IX, IS^0]. \]
So $S^0_p = I^2S^0$ is local with respect to $IS^0$.

4 The zeta conjecture

In this section, we describe Hopkins’ zeta conjecture and deduce some corollaries of it. I believe that all of the results in this section except Corollary 4.6 are known to Hopkins.

The conjecture is concerned with the fibre of the map $L_nS^0 \to L_{K(n)}S^0$. The following lemma is a generalization of a lemma of Hopkins.

**Lemma 4.1** Suppose $E, F$ are spectra such that $F \wedge L_ES^0$ is null. Then for arbitrary $X$, the fibre of the natural map $L_{E \vee F}X \to LFX$ is the function spectrum $F(L_ES^0, L_{E \vee F}X)$.

**Proof:** Let $Y$ denote the fibre. Then $Y$ is $E \vee F$ local and $F$ acyclic. We claim that $Y$ is therefore $E$ local. Consider the map $Y \to LEY$. This is an $E$ isomorphism, and $FY = 0$. Now $LEY$ is an $L_ES^0$ module spectrum, so since $F \wedge L_ES^0$ is null, so is $F \wedge L_EY$. Thus the map $Y \to LEY$ is an $E \vee F$ isomorphism. Since both sides are $E \vee F$ local, it is therefore an equivalence, so $Y$ is $E$ local.

To show that $Y$ is $F(L_ES^0, L_{E \vee F}X)$, it will suffice to show that $Y$ has the same universal property, i.e. that
\[ [Z, Y] = [Z \wedge L_ES^0, L_{E \vee F}X]. \]
Since $Y$ is $E$ local, and the natural map $Z \to Z \wedge LE S^0$ is an $E$ isomorphism, we have $[Z, Y] = [Z \wedge L_ES^0, Y]$. Since $L_ES^0$ is $F$ acyclic, so is $Z \wedge L_ES^0$. Applying $[Z, ]$ to the cofibre sequence
\[ Y \to L_{E \vee F}X \to LX \]
we see that $[Z \wedge L_ES^0, Y] = [Z \wedge L_ES^0, L_{E \vee F}X]$, as required. □
The main example we are interested in here is the cofibre sequence

$$F(L_{n-1}S^0, L_nX) \to L_nX \to L_{K(n)}X.$$  

To describe the zeta conjecture, I must briefly describe some work of Hopkins-Ravenel and Hopkins-Miller based on Morava’s philosophy. Unfortunately, little of this work has appeared, though some of it may be in [Rav92]. The idea is this: the Morava stabilizer group $S_n$ is essentially the group of automorphisms of the formal group law over $K(n)_*$. This is not quite true: it is actually the automorphisms of the same formal group law, but considered over the ring $F_{p^n}[u, u^{-1}]$. Here $u$ has degree 2 and is a $p^n - 1$-fold root of $v_n$. It is technically advantageous to use $u$ instead of $v_n$. The work of Lubin and Tate gives an action of $S_n$ on a complete ring whose residue field is $F_{p^n}[u, u^{-1}]$. We take this ring to be the flat $E(n)_*$-module

$$E_{n*} = W(F_{p^n})[[u_1, \ldots, u_{n-1}]]/[u, u^{-1}].$$

Here the $u_i$ have degree 0, $u$ has degree 2, and $W(F_{p^n})$ is the Witt vectors of the field with $p^n$ elements. The map

$$E(n)_* = Z(p)[v_1, \ldots, v_n, v^{-1}_n] \to E_{n*}$$

takes $v_i$ to $u_i u^{p^i-1}$ and $v_n$ to $u^{p^n-1}$. The residue field of the complete local ring $E_{n*}$ is then $F_{p^n}[u, u^{-1}]$. Now given an element of $S_n$, it lifts to an isomorphism from the formal group $F$ over $E_{n*}$ to a possibly different formal group $F'$. The work of Lubin-Tate [LT] shows that there is a well-defined automorphism of the ring $E_{n*}$ taking $F'$ to a formal group law which is $*$-isomorphic to $F$, i.e. isomorphic by an isomorphism which reduces to the identity on the residue field $F_{p^n}[u, u^{-1}]$. This gives an action of $S_n$ on $E_{n*}$.

Now, $E_{n*}$ is actually the homotopy of a spectrum $E_n$. In fact, $E_{n*}$ is a flat $E(n)_*$-module, so one can simply tensor with it. In [HM] it is shown that $S_n$ actually acts on the spectrum $E_n$, in fact by $E_\infty$ maps. They show that the homotopy fixed point spectrum of this action is $L_{K(n)}S^0$. (Actually, one has to cope with the Galois group $Z/n$ of the extension $W(F_{p^n})$ over $Z_p$ as well.) There is then a homotopy fixed point set spectral sequence

$$E_2 = H^{*,*}(S_n; E_{n*})^{Z/n} \Rightarrow \pi_*(L_{K(n)}S^0).$$

This spectral sequence was known before the work of [HM]: I believe it is due to Hopkins-Ravenel, and a brief description of it appears in [MS2].
There is a determinant map $S_n \to \mathbb{Z}_p$, where we are thinking of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ as a subgroup of the group of units of $\mathbb{Z}_p$. If we think of $S_n$ as acting trivially on $\mathbb{Z}_p$, this is a crossed homomorphism, so a cohomology class in $H^1(S_n; \mathbb{Z}_p)$. This gives rise (by reduction to $\mathbb{F}_p$ and then including into $\mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}]$) to a canonical element in $H^1(S_n; \mathbb{F}_p[u, u^{-1}])$ known as $\zeta_n$, where $S_n$ is acting trivially here as well. This element survives all the Bockstein spectral sequences used in calculating $H^*(S_n; E_{n_0})$ and is Galois invariant.

One of the corollaries of the work of Hopkins-Miller [HM] is that $\zeta_n$ actually comes from a homotopy class

$$\zeta_n : S^{-1} \to L_{K(n)}S^0.$$ 

Noting that $L_{K(n)}S^0$ is $p$-complete, and that $L_{K(n)}S^0 = L_{K(n)}S^0_p$ for positive $n$, we can compose with the map

$$L_{K(n)}S^0_p \to \Sigma F(L_{n-1}S^0, L_nS^0_p)$$

to get a map

$$\zeta_n : S_{-2}^0 \to F(L_{n-1}S^0, L_nS^0_p).$$

Finally, noting that $F(L_{n-1}S^0, L_nS^0_p)$ is $L_{n-1}$-local, we get

$$\zeta_n : \Sigma^{-2}L_{n-1}S^0_p \to F(L_{n-1}S^0, L_nS^0_p).$$

**Conjecture 4.2 (Hopkins’ zeta conjecture)** The map

$$\zeta_n : \Sigma^{-2}L_{n-1}S^0_p \to F(L_{n-1}S^0, L_nS^0_p)$$

is a homotopy equivalence for positive $n$.

I thank Hal Sadofsky for pointing out the following

**Proposition 4.3** If the zeta conjecture is true, then

$$F(L_iS^0, L_nS^0_p) = \Sigma^{-2(n-i)}L_iS^0_p$$

for $i \leq n$. 
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Proof: We proceed by induction on \( n - i \), using the result of Hopkins and Ravenel that \( L_n \) is smashing. We have
\[
F(L_{i-1}S^0, L_nS_p^0) = F(L_{i-1}(L_iS^0), L_nS_p^0) = F(L_{i-1}S^0 \wedge L_iS^0, L_nS_p^0)
\]
\[
= F(L_{i-1}S^0, F(L_iS^0, L_nS_p^0)) = F(L_{i-1}S^0, \Sigma^{-2(n-i)}L_iS_p^0)
\]
\[
= \Sigma^{-2(n-i)}\Sigma^{-2}L_{i-1}S_p^0. \square
\]

In particular, this would say that \( F(HQ, L_nS_p^0) = \Sigma^{-2n}HQ_p \). This is why we need to complete the sphere. If we did not, there would also be maps \( \Sigma^{-1}HQ \rightarrow L_nS^0 \) coming from the fiber of the natural map \( L_nS^0 \rightarrow L_nS_p^0 \).

This corollary of the zeta conjecture also determines some of the structure of \( \pi_nL_nS^0 \). The proof of this gets into some side issues, so I defer it to an appendix.

Corollary 4.4 If the zeta conjecture is true, then
\[
L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}X_p = L_{n-1}X_p \vee \Sigma^{-2}L_{n-1}X_p
\]
for finite \( X \).

Proof: Applying \( L_{n-1} \) to the cofibre sequence given to us by the zeta conjecture and Lemma 4.1, we have a cofibre sequence
\[
L_{n-1}S_p^0 \rightarrow L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}S_p^0 \rightarrow \Sigma^{-2}L_{n-1}S_p^0.
\]
Note, however, that the map
\[
S_p^{-2} \xrightarrow{\xi_n} L_{K(n)}S_p^0 \rightarrow L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}S_p^0
\]
extends to
\[
\Sigma^{-2}L_{n-1}S_p^0 \rightarrow L_{n-1}L_{K(n)}S_p^0,
\]
giving a splitting of the cofibre sequence. Smashing with \( X \) completes the proof. \( \square \)

Theorem 4.5 If the zeta conjecture is true, and if \( f : X \rightarrow Y \) is a map between two finite spectra such that \( L_{K(n)}f : L_{K(n)}X \rightarrow L_{K(n)}Y \) is null for infinitely many \( n \), then \( f \) is null.
**Proof:** It suffices to show that \( f : X \to Y \) is null. Note that \( L_{K(n)} Y_p = L_{K(n)} Y \) if \( n > 0 \). We have the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X & \rightarrow & Y_p \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
L_{n-1} Y_p & \rightarrow & L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} Y_p
\end{array}
\]

By the preceding result, \( L_{n-1} Y_p \) is a summand of \( L_{n-1} L_{K(n)} Y_p \). Thus if \( X \to L_{K(n)} Y_p \) is null, so is \( X \to L_{n-1} Y_p \). The chromatic convergence theorem says that the tower \( L_{n-1} Y \) is pro-isomorphic to the constant tower. It is easy to see that \( L_{n-1} Y_p \) is also pro-isomorphic to the constant tower. Thus, since \( X \to L_{n-1} Y_p \) is null for a cofinal sequence of \( n \)'s, \( X \to Y_p \) is null. \( \square \)

We can use the results in the previous section to prove that such a map must at least be null upon smashing with \( BP \).

**Proposition 4.6** If \( f : X \to Y \) is a map between two finite spectra such that \( L_{K(n)} f \) is null for infinitely many \( n \), then the composite

\[ X \to Y \to BP \wedge Y \]

is null. In particular, if \( E \) is a \( BP \)-module spectrum, \( E_{\ast}(f) : E_{\ast}(X) \to E_{\ast}(Y) \) is zero.

**Proof:** First note that infinite products commute with smashing with finite spectra, by Spanier-Whitehead duality. Thus, \( BP_p \wedge Y \) is a retract of \( \prod L_{K(n)} BP_p \wedge Y \) for any infinite sequence \( (n_i) \). Since the map \( X \to Y \to BP_p \wedge Y \) becomes null on localizaing with respect to \( K(n) \) for infinitely many \( n \), it is null. It follows from general facts about \( p \)-completions of spectra of finite type that the map

\[ X \to Y \to BP \wedge Y \]

is null (see Chapter 9 of [Mar]). Smashing with \( E \), we find that the composite

\[ E \wedge X \to E \wedge Y \to E \wedge BP \wedge Y \]
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is null. But if $E$ is a $BP$-module spectrum, then $E$ is a wedge summand of $E \wedge BP$, so in fact $E \wedge X \to E \wedge Y$ is null. \( \square \)

For several years, Hopkins has been saying that one does not need to reassemble the monochromatic parts of $X$ to recover the homotopy theory of finite spectra. The following corollary indicates a precise sense in which this is true.

**Corollary 4.7** If the zeta conjecture is true, then the natural map $X_p \to \bigoplus L_{K(n)} X_p$ is the inclusion of a summand. In particular, if $Y$ is arbitrary, and $Y \to X$ is a map such that the composite $Y \to X \to L_{K(n)} X$ is null for infinitely many $n$, then $Y \to X \to X_p$ is null.

**Proof:** By the preceding theorem, the map $X_p \to \bigoplus L_{K(n)} X_p$ is injective on maps from finite complexes. Thus, if $F$ denotes the fibre, the map $F \to X_p$ is $f$-phantom. Since there are no $f$-phantom maps to $X_p$, it is null. \( \square \)

## 5 Appendix: The $p$-completion

In this appendix, we investigate the consequences that the zeta conjecture would have on the structure of $\pi_* L_n S^0$. In particular, we show that the only divisible summand is in dimension $-2n$, and except for that summand and the free one in dimension 0, $\pi_* L_n S^0$ is a direct sum of cyclic groups which have bounded torsion in each dimension.

Throughout this section, we assume $n \geq 1$. Let $X = L_n S^0_p$. Recall from Proposition 4.3 that the zeta conjecture would tell us that $F(HQ, X) = \Sigma^{-2n} HQ_p$. Lemma 4.1 tells us that this function spectrum is the fibre of the map

$$X \to L_{K(1) \vee \cdots \vee K(n)} S^0_p.$$ 

Since

$$L_{K(1) \vee \cdots \vee K(n)} S^0_p = L_{M(p)} X,$$

we have a cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma^{-2n} HQ_p \to X \to X_p.$$

Now there are two things we need to do. First, we need to know something about how the homotopy groups of $X_p$ are related to the homotopy groups
of $X$. One might like them to be the $p$-completions of the homotopy groups of $X$. This is false in general, but the following proposition says that they are close to being $p$-complete. That something like this proposition might be true was first suggested to me by Hal Sadofsky.

For an abelian group $G$, let $p^\infty G = \bigcap p^n G$.

**Proposition 5.1** For arbitrary $X, Y$, $[Y, X_p]$ is a module over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, has no divisible summands, and $[Y, X_p]/p^\infty [Y, X_p]$ is the $p$-completion of $[Y, X_p]$.

**Proof:** We can assume $X = X_p$ and $Y = Y_p$. Then $Y$ is a module spectrum over $S^0_p$, so maps out of it are a module over $\pi_0 S^0_p = \mathbb{Z}_p$. We will first show that $[Y, X]$ has no divisible summands. Consider the system of cofibre sequences whose $n$th and $n-1$st terms are displayed below.

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
X & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & X \wedge M(p^n) \\
\times p & & = & & \times p^n \\
X & \rightarrow & X & \rightarrow & X \wedge M(p^{n-1}) \\
\times p^n & & \wedge & & \times p^{n-1}
\end{array}
\]

If $f \in [Y, X]$ generates a divisible summand, there are maps $f_n \in [Y, X]$ for all $n$, such that $pf_n = f_{n-1}$, where $f_0 = f$. These will define a map into the inverse limit $Z = \lim \times p : X \rightarrow X$ of the left column in the above diagram. Now inverse limits do not behave very well in general, but the inverse limit of cofibre sequences is still a cofibre sequence, as we will prove below. Thus we get a cofibre sequence

\[Z \rightarrow X \rightarrow \lim(X \wedge M(p^n)) = L_{M(p)}X.\]

Since $X$ is already $M(p)$-local, $Z$ must be null. Since $f$ factors through $Z$, $f$ is null too.

Now we will show that the map

\[[Y, X] \rightarrow [Y, X]_p = \lim[Y, X]/p^n [Y, X]\]

is surjective. The proposition will then follow, since the kernel of the map $A \rightarrow A_p$ for abelian groups $A$ is always $p^\infty A$. Suppose $(f_n) \in [Y, X]_p$, so
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$f_n \in [Y,X]/p^n[Y,X]$. Let $A = [Y,X]$ and $B = [Y,\Sigma X]$, and denote the elements of $B$ killed by $\times p^n$ by $B(p^n)$. Then, using the cofibre sequence

$$X \xrightarrow{\times p^n} X \xrightarrow{i} X \wedge M(p^n),$$

we get a diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A/p^n A & \xrightarrow{i} & [Y,X \wedge M(p^n)] \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \times p \\
A/p^{n-1} A & \xrightarrow{i} & [Y,X \wedge M(p^{n-1})]
\end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{cc}
B(p^n) \\
\downarrow \\
B(p^{n-1})
\end{array}$$

Since $(f_n)$ is a compatible sequence, so is $(i(f_n))$, so we get an element of $\lim [Y,X \wedge M(p^n)]$. The map

$$[Y,X] = [Y,\lim(X \wedge M(p^n))] \to \lim[Y,X \wedge M(p^n)]$$

is not an isomorphism in general, but it is always surjective. So we get a map $f \in [Y,X]$, and it is easy to see that $f$ maps to $(f_n) \in [Y,X]_p$.

This completes the proof of the proposition modulo the following lemma, which I learned from Hal Sadofsky.

**Lemma 5.2** The inverse limit of cofibre sequences is a cofibre sequence.

**Proof:** It is easy to see that products of cofibre sequences are cofibre sequences. Thus, given cofibre sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A_n & \longrightarrow & B_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
A_{n-1} & \longrightarrow & B_{n-1}
\end{array} \xrightarrow{} \begin{array}{cc}
C_n \\
\downarrow \\
C_{n-1}
\end{array}$$
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we get a diagram of cofibre sequences

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\prod A_n & \longrightarrow & \prod B_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\prod A_n & \longrightarrow & \prod B_n
\end{array}
\begin{array}{ccc}
\longrightarrow & \longrightarrow & \longrightarrow \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\prod C_n & \longrightarrow & \prod C_n
\end{array}
\]

where the vertical arrows are the maps whose fibres are the inverse limits. Now it is not always true that the fibres in such a situation form a cofibre sequence, but it is true in this case since the map \( \prod C_n \rightarrow \prod C_n \) is induced by the map \( \prod B_n \rightarrow \prod B_n \).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 5.3** The kernel of the map

\[ [Y, X] \rightarrow [Y, X_p] \]

is precisely the divisible summands in \([Y, X]\).

**Proof:** Any divisible summand in \([Y, X]\) must map to 0 in \([Y, X_p]\), by the proposition. To see the converse, note that we showed that the fibre of \( X \rightarrow X_p \) is the rational spectrum \( Z = \lim (\times p : X \rightarrow X) \). So \([Y, Z]\) is a divisible group, and thus its image in \([Y, X]\) is also divisible. \( \square \)

Now the second thing we need to do is to get some kind of control over the homotopy of \( L_n S^0 \).

**Lemma 5.4** \( \pi_i L_n S^0 \) is a countable abelian group.

**Proof:** We will show this using the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence

\[ E_2^{s,t} = \text{Ext}_{BP_*BP_*}^{s,s+i}(BP_*, BP_*(L_n S^0)) \Rightarrow \pi_i L_n S^0 \]

This spectral sequence converges in a very strong sense, in that \( E_\infty^{s,s+i} \) is 0 for large enough \( s \) (and fixed \( i \)) [Rav92]. Thus, if \( E_\infty^{s,s+i} \) is countable for all \( s,t \), so is \( \pi_i L_n S^0 \). However, \( E_2^{s,t} \) is a subquotient of \( E_\infty^{s,s+i} \), so it will suffice to show that \( E_2 \) is countable in each bidegree.
One way to calculate the Ext groups of a $BP_*BP$ comodule $M$ is to use the cobar complex, made up out of

$$\Omega^s(M) = M \otimes_{BP_*} BP_*BP \otimes_{BP_*} \cdots \otimes_{BP_*} BP_*BP$$

where there are $s$ factors of $BP_*BP$. Note that $BP_*BP$ is countable in each degree. I claim that if $M, N$ are countable in each degree their tensor product will be too. Indeed, the degree $t$ part of their tensor product is a quotient of $\bigoplus M_k \otimes N_{t-k}$. The tensor product of two countable abelian groups is countable, as is the countable direct limit (or sum) of countable abelian groups. Thus, if $M$ is countable in each degree, so is $\Omega^s M$, and thus also $\text{Ext}^s_{BP_*BP}(BP_*, M)$.

Thus it will suffice to show that $BP_*(L_nS^0)$ is countable in each degree. Since $L_n$ is smashing, $BP_*(L_nS^0) = \pi_*(L_nBP)$. This is calculated by Ravenel in [Rav84]. His result is that

$$\pi_*(L_nBP) = BP_* \oplus \Sigma^{-n} N^{n+1}$$

for $n \geq 1$, where $N^{n+1}$ is defined inductively by $N^0 = BP_*$ and the short exact sequence

$$(0 \rightarrow N^k \rightarrow v^{-1}_k N^k \rightarrow N^{k+1} \rightarrow 0).$$

If $N^k$ is countable in each degree, so is $v^{-1}_k N^k$, as it is a direct limit of countable groups. So by induction, $N^{n+1}$ is countable in each degree, so is $BP_*(L_nS^0)$ and we are done. \( \square \)

Note that there is a sense in which countable torsion groups $A$ are completely classified (Ulms’s Theorem [Kap]). This classification is complicated, however, because $p^\infty A$ may not be 0. One certainly hopes that this complication does not arise in $L_nS^0$. We will see below that it does not if the zeta conjecture is true.

**Theorem 5.5** Suppose the zeta conjecture is true. Then for all $i$, $\pi_i L_nS^0 = D_i \oplus T_i$, where $D_i = 0$ if $i \neq 0, -2n$, and $D_0 = \mathbb{Z}$, $D_{-2n} = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(p)$, and $T_i$ is a bounded torsion group which is a countable direct sum of cyclic groups.

**Proof:** It is clear that $D_0 = \mathbb{Z}$, since the composite $\pi_0 S^0 \rightarrow \pi_0 L_nS^0 \rightarrow \pi_0 L_1S^0$ is the identity. The rest of $\pi_i L_nS^0$ is all torsion. It suffices to prove the theorem for $X = L_nS^0_p$, which differs from $L_nS^0$ only in that $D_0 = \mathbb{Z}_p$ instead of $\mathbb{Z}$.
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So we have the cofibre sequence

$$\Sigma^{-2n} H \mathbb{Q}_p \to X \to X_p.$$ 

The first thing we prove is that the map $\pi_{-2n+1} X_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ cannot be onto. If it were, we would have a short exact sequence

$$0 \to A \to G \to \mathbb{Q}_p \to 0$$

where $A = \pi_{-2n+1} X$ is a countable torsion group, and $G = \pi_{-2n+1} X_p$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ module with no divisible summands whose $p$-completion is $G/p^\infty G$. This means that $A = \text{Tor}(G)$, and that this is necessarily a short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ modules. There is an induced short exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ modules

$$0 \to A/p^\infty A \to G/p^\infty G \to H.$$

The induced map of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ modules $\mathbb{Q}_p \to H$ is surjective, so $H$ must be either $\mathbb{Q}_p$, $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(p)$, or 0. In any case, $H$ is divisible.

Now, $B = A/p^\infty A$ is a countable torsion group, and $p^\infty B = 0$. Thus, by Theorem 11 of [Kap], it must be a direct sum of cyclics

$$B = \bigoplus_i \mathbb{Z}/p^{n_i}.$$ 

Further, it is sitting inside the $p$-complete group $G/p^\infty G$. Therefore, its $p$-completion $B_p$ is also inside $G/p^\infty G$. If $B$ is unbounded torsion, one can see from the direct sum decomposition of $B$ that $B_p/B \subseteq H$ is uncountable, and in fact even the torsion of $B_p/B$ is uncountable. This is impossible, given the possibilities for $H$. Thus $B$ must be bounded torsion, say $p^N B = 0$. But in that case, we have $p^N A \subseteq p^\infty A$, and so we can deduce that the times $p$ map from $p^n A$ to itself is surjective. This means $p^n A$ is divisible, and since $A$ has no divisible summands, it must be 0. Therefore $A$ has bounded torsion. But $A = \text{Tor}(G)$, and a torsion subgroup which is bounded torsion always splits off. Thus $G = A \oplus \mathbb{Q}_p$, violating the fact that $G$ has no divisible summands.

Therefore, the map $\pi_{-2n+1} X_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$ is not surjective. Since its image is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$ submodule, its image must be either 0 or isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. But it can’t be 0, for then $\mathbb{Q}_p$ would be a summand of $\pi_{-2n} L_n S^0$, which would then survive to $\pi_{-2n} L_0 S^0 = 0$. Thus, the image must be $\mathbb{Z}_p$, showing that $D_{-2n} = \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}(p)$. 
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To see that $T_i$ is bounded torsion, we use a similar argument to the one above that ruled out surjectivity of the map $\pi_{-2n+1}X_p \to \mathbb{Q}_p$. For all $i$, $T_i$ is a direct summand in $\pi_iX_p$, and the cokernal is either 0 or $\mathbb{Z}_p$, which occurs when $i = -2n + 1, 0$. Thus $B_i = T_i/p^\infty T_i$ is the torsion subgroup of the $p$-complete group $\pi_iX_p/p^\infty \pi_iX_p$. Also $p^\infty B_i = 0$, so $B_i$ is a direct sum of cyclics. We saw above that a direct sum of cyclics which is unbounded torsion can never be the torsion subgroup of a $p$-complete group. So $B_i$ is bounded torsion. We also saw above that this means that $T_i$ is bounded torsion as well, so is a direct sum of cyclics. □
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