

# $p$ -STUBBORN SUBGROUPS OF CLASSICAL COMPACT LIE GROUPS

BY BOB OLIVER

For any compact Lie group  $G$ , let  $\mathcal{O}(G)$  denote the “orbit category” of  $G$ : the category whose objects are the orbits  $G/H$  for closed subgroups  $H \subseteq G$ , and whose morphisms are all  $G$ -maps between orbits. For any prime  $p$ , let  $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$  denote the category of those orbits  $G/P$  for  $p$ -stubborn subgroups  $P \subseteq G$ : namely, those subgroups which satisfy the conditions

- (a)  $P$  is  $p$ -toral (i.e., an extension of a torus by a finite  $p$ -group)
- (b)  $N(P)/P$  is finite
- (c)  $N(P)/P$  is  $p$ -reduced: there is no nontrivial normal  $p$ -subgroup  $1 \neq Q \triangleleft N(P)/P$ .

One of the main results in [JMO] is a decomposition for  $BG$  indexed over  $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ . More precisely, for any  $G$  and  $p$ , the natural projection map

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{hocolim} (EG/P) \longrightarrow BG \\ \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ G/P \in \mathcal{R}_p(G) \end{array}$$

induces an equivalence of  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -homology [JMO, §§1-2]. This decomposition of  $BG$ , and the category  $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ , play a central role in [JMO] and [JMO2] as a tool for describing sets of homotopy classes of maps from  $BG$  to  $BH$  for any (other) compact connected Lie group  $H$ . But in most cases, explicit descriptions of the  $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ , or explicit lists of the  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of  $G$ , were not necessary to obtain the results in those papers. However, recent results of Notbohm [N], proving in many cases the uniqueness of the completed classifying spaces  $BG_p^\wedge$  (uniqueness among spaces with the same mod  $p$  cohomology), do require a more precise description of the  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of the classical compact Lie groups. And this provides the motivation for the present paper.

In Theorems 3 below, the  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of the matrix groups  $U(n)$ ,  $O(n)$ , and  $Sp(n)$  are described explicitly for each  $n$  and  $p$ . In Theorems 5 and 7, these results are extended to describe the  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of  $SU(n)$  and  $SO(n)$ . In all cases, the  $p$ -stubborn subgroups show a surprisingly simple pattern: being generated from a small collection of “basic”  $p$ -stubborn subgroups by products and wreath products.

Typeset by  $\mathcal{A}\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$ - $\mathcal{T}\mathcal{E}\mathcal{X}$

For a finite group  $G$ , it turns out that the “ $p$ -stubborn” subgroups are precisely the same as the “radical”  $p$ -subgroups which are used by group theorists when classifying finite simple groups. The description given here of  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of the classical matrix groups is very similar in nature to the description of radical subgroups of symmetric groups found by Alperin & Fong in [AF, Theorem 2A].

The following fundamental properties of  $p$ -stubborn subgroups will be used frequently.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $P$  be a  $p$ -stubborn subgroup of a compact Lie group  $G$ . Then the following two properties hold.*

(i) *Any  $p$ -toral subgroup  $H \subseteq G$  which is normalized by  $N(P)$  (i. e.,  $N(P) \subseteq N(H)$ ) is contained in  $P$ .*

(ii)  *$C_{G_0}(P) \subseteq Z(P)$ , and  $C_G(P) = Z(P)$  if  $G/G_0$  is a  $p$ -group.*

*Proof.* Part (ii) is shown in [JMO, Lemma 1.5(ii)]. Part (i) is essentially shown in the same lemma, but in a slightly different formulation. For that reason, we repeat the proof here.

Assume that  $H \not\subseteq P$ , and that  $N(P)$  normalizes  $H$ . Set  $H' = \langle H, P \rangle \supsetneq P$ . Since  $P$  normalizes  $H$ ,  $H$  is normal in  $H'$ , and  $H'$  is  $p$ -toral since  $H'/H$  is a quotient group of  $P$ . Also,  $N(P) \subseteq N(H')$ ; and

$$\text{Ker}[N(P)/P \rightarrow N(H')/H'] = (H' \cap N(P))/P = N_{H'}(P)/P$$

is a nontrivial normal  $p$ -subgroup of  $N(P)/P$  (cf. [JMO, Lemmas A.2 & A.3]). Which contradicts the assumption that  $P$  is  $p$ -stubborn.  $\square$

We now define certain  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of  $\Sigma_n$ ,  $O(n)$ ,  $U(n)$  and  $\text{Sp}(n)$ : subgroups which will be seen to generate all other  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of such groups.

In the following definitions,  $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{k-1} \in \Sigma_{p^k}$  will denote the permutations

$$\sigma_r(i) = \begin{cases} i + p^r & \text{if } i \equiv 1, \dots, (p-1)p^r \pmod{p^{r+1}} \\ i - (p-1)p^r & \text{if } i \equiv (p-1)p^r + 1, \dots, p^{r+1} \pmod{p^{r+1}}. \end{cases}$$

Note that these generate an elementary abelian  $p$ -subgroup of rank  $k$ . Also,  $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$  denotes a primitive  $p$ -th root of unity. Define matrices  $A_1, \dots, A_{k-1}, B_1, \dots, B_{k-1} \in U(p^k)$  by setting

$$(A_r)_{ij} = \begin{cases} \zeta^{[(i-1)/p^r]} & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad (B_r)_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \sigma_r(i) = j \\ 0 & \text{if } \sigma_r(i) \neq j \end{cases}$$

(where  $[-]$  denotes greatest integer). The  $A_r$  are thus all diagonal matrices, and the  $B_r$  are the permutation matrices for the  $\sigma_r$ . Note that they satisfy the commutator relations

$$[A_r, A_s] = I = [B_r, B_s] = [B_r, A_s] \quad (r \neq s), \quad \text{and} \quad [B_r, A_r] = \zeta \cdot I.$$

Finally, let  $Q(8) \subseteq S^1(j) \subseteq \mathbb{H}^*$  denote the subgroups

$$Q(8) = \{\pm 1, \pm i, \pm j, \pm k\} \quad \text{and} \quad S^1(j) = \{a + bi, aj + bk : a^2 + b^2 = 1\}.$$

**Definition 2.** For each prime  $p$  and each  $k \geq 0$ , subgroups

$$E_{p^k} \subseteq \Sigma_{p^k} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}} \subseteq \text{U}(p^k) \subseteq \text{O}(2p^k), \text{Sp}(p^k)$$

are defined by setting

$$E_{p^k} = \langle \sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{k-1} \rangle \cong (C_p)^k$$

and

$$\Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}} = \langle u \cdot I, A_r, B_r \mid u \in S^1, 0 \leq r \leq k-1 \rangle \subseteq \text{U}(p^k).$$

If  $p = 2$ , then  $A_r, B_r \in \text{O}(2^k)$ , and we define

$$\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}} \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}} \subseteq \text{O}(2^k) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} \subseteq \text{Sp}(2^k)$$

by setting

$$\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}} = \langle -I, A_r, B_r \mid 0 \leq r \leq k-1 \rangle$$

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}} = \langle \alpha^{\oplus 2^{k-1}}, A_r, B_r \mid \alpha \in \text{SO}(2), 0 \leq r \leq k-1 \rangle,$$

$$\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} = \langle u \cdot I, A_r, B_r \mid u \in Q(8), 0 \leq r \leq k-1 \rangle,$$

and

$$\bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} = \langle u \cdot I, A_r, B_r \mid u \in S^1(j), 0 \leq r \leq k-1 \rangle.$$

Note that the subgroup  $E_{p^k} \subseteq \Sigma_{p^k}$  can be identified with the action of  $(C_p)^k$  on itself. The other groups sit in central extensions

$$1 \rightarrow S^1 \rightarrow \Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}} \rightarrow (C_p)^{2k} \rightarrow 1$$

$$1 \rightarrow \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}} \rightarrow (C_2)^{2k} \rightarrow 1, \quad 1 \rightarrow \text{O}(2) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}} \rightarrow (C_2)^{2k-2} \rightarrow 1$$

$$1 \rightarrow Q(8) \rightarrow \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} \rightarrow (C_2)^{2k} \rightarrow 1, \quad 1 \rightarrow S^1(j) \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} \rightarrow (C_2)^{2k} \rightarrow 1$$

The groups  $\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  and  $\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}}$  are both central products of copies of  $D(8)$  and  $Q(8)$ .

When describing  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of the classical Lie groups, it will be convenient to let  $\mathbb{G}$  denote one of the classes O, U, or Sp. We make here the usual identifications  $\mathbb{G}(k) \times \mathbb{G}(m) \subseteq \mathbb{G}(k+m)$  and  $\mathbb{G}(k) \wr \Sigma_m \subseteq \mathbb{G}(km)$  for  $k, m \geq 1$ . A subgroup  $P \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n)$  will be called irreducible if the induced  $P$ -representation on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , or  $\mathbb{H}^n$  is irreducible.

**Theorem 3.** Fix a prime  $p$ , set  $\mathbb{G} = \text{O}, \text{U}, \text{or Sp}$ , and let  $G = \mathbb{G}(n)$  for some  $n \geq 1$ .

(i) For any  $n \geq 1$ , an irreducible subgroup  $1 \neq P \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n)$  is  $p$ -stubborn if and only if it is conjugate to an iterated wreath product of the form

$$P = \Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r} \quad (\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{G}(m), q_i = p^{t_i} > 1, n = m \cdot p^{t_1 + \cdots + t_r}) \quad (1)$$

where  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{G}(m)$  is one of the groups in the following list:

$\underline{\Gamma}$	$\underline{\mathbb{G}(m)}$	$\underline{N(\Gamma)/\Gamma}$
$\Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}}$	$\text{U}(p^k)$	$\text{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_p)$
$\Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}} (p \text{ odd})$	$\text{O}(2p^k), \text{Sp}(p^k)$	$C_2 \times \text{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_p)$
$\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}} (k \neq 1)$	$\text{O}(2^k)$	$\text{O}_{2^k}^+(\mathbb{F}_2)$
$\bar{\Gamma}_{2^{k+1}}^{\text{O}}$	$\text{O}(2^{k+1})$	$\text{Sp}_{2^k}(\mathbb{F}_2)$
$\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}}$	$\text{Sp}(2^k)$	$\text{O}_{2^k+2}^-(\mathbb{F}_2)$
$\bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}}$	$\text{Sp}(2^k)$	$\text{Sp}_{2^k}(\mathbb{F}_2)$

and where  $q_1 \geq 4$  ( $t_1 \geq 2$ ) if  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1^{\text{O}} = \text{O}(1)$ . Here, unless otherwise specified,  $k$  can be any nonnegative integer. Furthermore, for  $P$  as in (1),

$$N_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P)/P \cong N_{\mathbb{G}(m)}(\Gamma)/(\Gamma) \times GL_{t_1}(\mathbb{F}_p) \times \cdots \times GL_{t_r}(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

(ii) If  $P \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n)$  is an arbitrary  $p$ -stubborn subgroup, then it is conjugate to a subgroup of the form  $P_1 \times \cdots \times P_s$ , where each  $P_i$  is an irreducible  $p$ -stubborn subgroup of some  $\mathbb{G}(n_i)$ , and where  $n_1 + \cdots + n_s = n$ . A subgroup of this form is  $p$ -stubborn if and only if the trivial factor  $1 \subseteq \text{O}(1)$  occurs at most once (if  $p$  is odd and  $\mathbb{G} = \text{O}$ ); and there is no factor  $P_i$  with  $N_{\mathbb{G}(n_i)}(P_i)/P_i = 1$  which occurs with multiplicity exactly 2 or 4 (if  $p = 2$ ) or 3 (if  $p = 3$ ).

*Proof.* This will be shown in four steps. Fix  $P \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n)$ , and let  $V$  be the corresponding  $n$ -dimensional  $P$ -representation. We write  $\chi_V(g)$  for the character (i.e., trace) of an element  $g \in G$ . (When  $\mathbb{G} = \text{Sp}$ ,  $\chi_V(g)$  means the real part of the sum of the diagonal elements in the matrix  $g$ ; this clearly depends only on the conjugacy class of  $g$  in  $G$ .)

Throughout the first two steps, we assume that  $P \neq 1$  is irreducible and  $p$ -stubborn. Consider the subgroup

$$A = \langle g \in P : \chi_V(g) \neq 0 \rangle \subseteq P.$$

Note that  $A \triangleleft N(P)$ , and that  $[P : A] < \infty$ .

**Step 1** Assume here that for any subgroup  $K \subseteq P$  such that  $K \supseteq A$  and  $K \triangleleft N(P)$ , either  $V|_K$  is irreducible, or it splits as a sum of isomorphic irreducible  $K$ -representations. Set

$$P' = \{g \in C_P(A) : g^p \in A, [g, P] \subseteq A\} \quad \text{and} \quad A' = Z(P').$$

By assumption,  $V|A \cong W^s$  for some irreducible  $A$ -representation  $W$ . Also,  $A$  is generated by elements  $g$  for which  $\chi_W(g) \neq 0$ , and this is only possible if  $A$  is cyclic or  $S^1$ . In particular,  $A$  is abelian, and so  $A \subseteq A' \subseteq P'$ . Since  $A' \triangleleft N(P)$  by construction,  $V|A'$  also splits as a sum of isomorphic irreducible representations. In particular,  $A' = Z(P')$  is abelian and has an effective irreducible representation, and this means  $A'$  must be cyclic or  $S^1$ .

If  $A' \cong C_{p^m}$  and  $p^m > 2$ , then  $V|A'$  extends in a unique way to a representation of the circle  $S^1$ . This uniqueness insures that  $S^1$  also is normalized by  $N(P)$ ; and hence that  $S^1 \subseteq P$  by Lemma But  $[P : A] < \infty$ , so this is impossible; and we see that

$$A = A' = Z(P') \cong \{\pm 1\} \text{ or } S^1 \quad (3)$$

We next claim that

$$[P, P'] \subseteq A \quad \text{and} \quad C_P(P') = A. \quad (4)$$

The first statement follows from the definition of  $P'$ . To see the second, assume that  $C_P(P') \not\subseteq Z(P') = A$ . Then there exists  $gA \in (C_P(P')/A) \cap Z(P/A)$  of order  $p$ . But then  $g \in P'$  by construction, and so  $g \in P' \cap C_P(P') = Z(P') = A$ .

By construction,  $P'/A$  is elementary abelian. So by (3), there is a central extension

$$1 \rightarrow A \rightarrow P' \rightarrow (C_p)^{2k} \rightarrow 1 \quad (5)$$

for some  $k$ ; where  $P'/A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_k, b_1, \dots, b_k \rangle$ , and where the  $a_i$  and  $b_i$  satisfy the commutator relations

$$[a_i, a_j] = [b_i, b_j] = [b_i, a_j] = 1 \quad (i \neq j) \quad \text{and} \quad [b_i, a_i] = \zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}. \quad (6)$$

**Case A** Assume first that  $A \cong S^1$ . Since  $\text{Ext}((C_p)^{2k}, S^1) = 0$  ( $S^1$  is infinitely divisible), there is only one central extension of the form (5) which satisfies the commutator relations (6). Hence  $P' \cong \Gamma_{p^k}^U$ . By (5) and (6), any irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of  $P'$  upon which  $S^1$  acts by the identity is a module over the appropriate twisted group ring  $R = \mathbb{C}[(C_p)^{2k}]^t$ ; and  $R \cong M_{p^k}(\mathbb{C})$  since  $P'$  does have an irreducible  $p^k$ -dimensional module. In other words, there is a unique irreducible  $\mathbb{C}$ -representation of  $P'$  upon which  $S^1$  acts by the identity, and  $G = \mathbb{G}(n) = U(p^k)$ ,  $\text{Sp}(p^k)$ , or  $\text{O}(2p^k)$ . So  $P'$  is conjugate in  $G$  to  $\Gamma_{p^k}^U$ .

Since  $P'$  has a unique representation which extends the given representation on its center, any automorphism of  $P'$  which fixes the center must be realized as conjugation in  $G$ . The image of  $\text{Aut}(P')$  in  $\text{Aut}(P'/A) \cong \text{GL}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is the symplectic group  $\text{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Also, any automorphism of  $P'$  which induces the identity on  $A$  and

on  $P'/A$  is inner (note that there are at most  $p^{2k} = |P'/A|$  such automorphisms). It follows that

$$N(P')/P' \cong \begin{cases} \text{Out}(\Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}} \text{ rel } S^1) \cong \text{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } \mathbb{G} = \text{U} \\ \text{Out}(\Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}}) \cong C_2 \times \text{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_p) & \text{if } \mathbb{G} = \text{O}, \text{Sp}. \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Here, when  $\mathbb{G} = \text{O}$  or  $\text{Sp}$ , the factor  $C_2$  is generated by complex conjugation, regarded as an automorphism of  $\Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}} \subseteq \text{U}(p^k)$ . Note that  $\text{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is  $p$ -reduced for any  $k$  and  $p$ : since the upper and lower triangular matrices, for an appropriate ordering of the basis, make up two Sylow  $p$ -subgroups with trivial intersection.

Set  $P'' = C_P(A)$ . Then  $[P : P''] \leq |\text{Aut}(S^1)| = 2$ . By (3),  $[P, P'] \subseteq A \cong S^1$ . So for any  $x \in P''$ , conjugation by  $x$  is an automorphism of  $P'$  which induces the identity on  $P'/A$  and on  $A$ . Any such automorphism is inner (see (8)); and so  $P'' \subseteq \langle P', C_P(P') \rangle$ . Thus  $P'' = P'$  by (4), and  $P''$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_{p^k}^{\text{U}}$ .

If  $\mathbb{G} = \text{U}$  or if  $p$  is odd, then  $P = P''$  and we are done. If  $p = 2$  and  $\mathbb{G} = \text{O}$  or  $\text{Sp}$ , then  $N(P'')/P'' \cong C_2 \times \text{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_2)$  by (8). Furthermore,  $N_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P) \subseteq N_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P'')$  by construction of  $P''$ ; so  $P/P''$  is 2-stubborn in  $N(P'')/P''$ , and hence must be precisely the  $C_2$  factor ( $|P/P''| \leq 2$ ). It follows that  $P$  is conjugate to  $\bar{\Gamma}_{2^{k+1}}^{\text{O}} \subseteq \text{O}(2^{k+1})$  or  $\bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} \subseteq \text{Sp}(2^k)$ ; and that  $N(P)/P \cong \text{Sp}_{2k}(\mathbb{F}_2)$ .

**Case B** Now assume that  $A \cong \{\pm 1\}$ . In particular,  $p = 2$  and  $\mathbb{G} = \text{O}$  or  $\text{Sp}$ . Then any central extension of the form given in (5) and (6) is isomorphic, either to a central product of copies of  $D(8)$ , or a central product of one copy of  $Q(8)$  and copies of  $D(8)$ . In either case  $P'$  has a unique effective irreducible representation: of real type in the first case, and of symplectic type in the second case. In other words,  $P' \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n)$  is conjugate to one of the pairs  $\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}} \subseteq \text{O}(2^k)$  or  $\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} \subseteq \text{Sp}(2^k)$ . As in Case A, any automorphism of  $P'$  which induces the identity on  $P'/A$  is inner; and so  $P = P'$  by (4).

Finally, since  $P$  has a unique effective irreducible representation,

$$N_{\mathbb{G}(2^k)}(\Gamma_{2^k}^{\mathbb{G}})/(\Gamma_{2^k}^{\mathbb{G}}) \cong \text{Out}(\Gamma_{2^k}^{\mathbb{G}}) \cong \begin{cases} \text{O}_{2^k}^+(\mathbb{F}_2) & \text{if } \mathbb{G} = \text{O} \\ \text{O}_{2^{k+2}}^-(\mathbb{F}_2) & \text{if } \mathbb{G} = \text{Sp} \end{cases}$$

(see [12, §4] for the definitions of these groups). By a theorem of Dieudonné [12, pp. 47–51], for  $k \geq 3$ , any nontrivial normal subgroup of  $\text{O}_{2^k}^{\pm}(\mathbb{F}_2)$  contains its commutator subgroup, which is simple. So  $\text{O}_{2^k}^{\pm}(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is 2-reduced when  $k \geq 3$ ; and the following list shows that  $\text{O}_{2^k}^{\pm}(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is 2-reduced in all other cases except for  $\text{O}_2^+(\mathbb{F}_2)$ :

$$\text{O}_2^+(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong C_2, \quad \text{O}_2^-(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \Sigma_3, \quad \text{O}_4^+(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \Sigma_3 \wr \Sigma_2, \quad \text{and} \quad \text{O}_4^-(\mathbb{F}_2) \cong \Sigma_5.$$

Thus  $\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  and  $\Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}}$  are 2-stubborn for all  $k \geq 0$ , except  $\Gamma_2^{\text{O}} \cong D(8)$ .

**Step 2** We now assume that there exists a subgroup  $A \subseteq K \subseteq P$  such that  $K \triangleleft N(P)$ , and such that  $V|K$  splits as a sum of nonisomorphic irreducible  $K$ -representations. Write  $V|K \cong V_1 \times \cdots \times V_r$  ( $r > 1$ ), where each  $V_i$  is a sum of isomorphic  $K$ -representations, and where for  $i \neq j$  the irreducible summands of  $V_i$  and  $V_j$  are nonisomorphic.

Since  $V$  is irreducible as a  $P$ -representation,  $P/K$  permutes the  $V_i$  transitively. In particular, they all have the same dimension: set  $m = n/r = \dim(V_i)$ . So (after conjugating) we may assume that  $K \subseteq \mathbb{G}(m)^r$ .

Let  $K'/K \subseteq P/K$  be the subgroup of elements which leave each  $V_i$  invariant. Let  $K_i \subseteq \mathbb{G}(m)$  be the image of  $K'$  in the  $i$ -th factor, so that

$$K' \subseteq \bar{K} = K_1 \times \cdots \times K_r \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n).$$

Since  $K \triangleleft N(P)$ , the conjugation action of  $N(P)$  permutes the  $V_i$ , and so  $\bar{K}$  is normalized by  $N(P)$ . Lemma 1 now applies to show that  $\bar{K} \subseteq P$ .

Now set  $L = P/\bar{K}$ . Then  $L$  permutes the  $V_i$  effectively and transitively. Also, since  $\chi_V(g) = 0$  for all  $g \in P \setminus \bar{K}$ , the action of any  $1 \neq x \in L$  must permute the  $V_i$  freely. So we can regard  $L$  as a free transitive subgroup of  $\Sigma_r$ . Then  $P$  is conjugate to  $K_1 \wr L$ , and

$$N_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P)/P \cong N_{\mathbb{G}(m)}(K_1)/K_1 \times N_{\Sigma_r}(L)/L \cong N_{\mathbb{G}(m)}(K_1)/K_1 \times \text{Aut}(L). \quad (9)$$

In particular,  $\text{Aut}(L)$  is  $p$ -reduced, so  $L$  must be elementary abelian, and is conjugate to  $E_{p^k} \subseteq \Sigma_{p^k}$  ( $r = p^k$ ). Also,  $K_1$  is  $p$ -stubborn in  $\mathbb{G}(m)$ . So by induction, we see that  $P$  is conjugate to an iterated wreath product  $\Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r}$ , where  $q_i = 2^{t_i}$  and  $\Gamma$  is one of the groups listed in (2). Also, each of the  $\Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_i}$  is  $p$ -stubborn in the appropriate  $\mathbb{G}(m_i)$  and normal in  $N(P)$ ; and so

$$N_G(P)/P \cong N_{\mathbb{G}(m)}(\Gamma)/\Gamma \times GL_{t_1}(\mathbb{F}_2) \times \cdots \times GL_{t_r}(\mathbb{F}_2).$$

Note in particular that  $q_1 \geq 4$  if  $\Gamma = \text{O}(1)$  (and  $p = 2$ ) — since  $\text{O}(1) \wr \Sigma_2$  is not 2-stubborn in  $\text{O}(2)$ .

**Step 3** In order to finish the proof of point (i), it remains to show that all of the groups listed there are  $p$ -stubborn (and with  $N(P)/P$  as given). Fix a subgroup of the form  $P = \Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r} \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n)$ : where  $q_i = 2^{t_i}$ ,  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{G}(m)$  is one of the groups listed in (2), and  $q_1 \geq 4$  if  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1^{\text{O}} = \text{O}(1)$ . When  $r = 0$ ,  $\Gamma$  was shown to be  $p$ -stubborn in Step 2. Otherwise,

$$P' = (\Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_{r-1}})^{q_r} \triangleleft P$$

is the subgroup generated by elements of nonzero character on  $V$ . In particular,  $P' \triangleleft N(P)$ , and so

$$N(P)/P \cong N(P')/P' \times GL_{t_r}(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

It now follows inductively that

$$N(P)/P \cong N_{\mathbb{G}(m)}(\Gamma)/\Gamma \times GL_{t_1}(\mathbb{F}_p) \times \cdots \times GL_{t_r}(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

This is  $p$ -reduced since  $N(\Gamma)/\Gamma$  is (Step 1); and so  $P$  is  $p$ -stubborn.

**Step 4** Now let  $P \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n)$  be an arbitrary  $p$ -stubborn subgroup. Assume that the corresponding  $P$ -representation factors as a product  $V_1 \times \cdots \times V_s$  of irreducible representations. In other words, after conjugating, we may assume that  $P \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n_1) \times \cdots \times \mathbb{G}(n_s)$ , where  $n_i = \dim(V_i)$ , and where the image  $P_i \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n_i)$  of  $P$  in the  $i$ -th factor is irreducible for each  $i$ .

By Lemma 1,  $C_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P) = Z(P)$  — unless possibly  $p$  is odd and  $\mathbb{G} = \mathbb{O}$  in which case  $[C_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P) : Z(P)] \leq 2$ . In this latter case,  $C_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P)$  is a product of unitary groups and one copy of  $\mathbb{O}(m)$ , where  $m = \dim(V^P)$ . Also,  $m \leq 1$ , since  $C_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P)/Z(P) \supseteq \mathbb{O}(m)$  is the number of trivial summands in  $V$ .

In either case,  $C_{\mathbb{G}(n)}(P)$  is abelian, so the  $V_i$  are distinct as  $P$ -representations, and are permuted by  $N(P)$ . In particular,  $N(P) \subseteq N(P_1 \times \cdots \times P_s)$ , and so Proposition 2.2 applies to show that  $P = P_1 \times \cdots \times P_s$ .

After reindexing, we can write  $P = (P_1)^{m_1} \times \cdots \times (P_r)^{m_r}$ , where the  $P_i \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n_i)$  are irreducible and pairwise distinct as representations. Then

$$N(P)/P \cong (N_{\mathbb{G}(n_1)}(P_1)/P_1) \wr \Sigma_{m_1} \times \cdots \times (N_{\mathbb{G}(n_r)}(P_r)/P_r) \wr \Sigma_{m_r}.$$

In particular, since  $N(P)/P$  is  $p$ -reduced by assumption, each of the  $N(P_i)/P_i$  must be  $p$ -reduced, and so each of the  $P_i$  must be  $p$ -stubborn in  $\mathbb{G}(q_i)$ . If  $N(P_i)/P_i$  is  $p$ -reduced, then the wreath product  $(N(P_i)/P_i) \wr \Sigma_{m_i}$  is  $p$ -reduced if and only if either  $N(P_i)/P_i \neq 1$ , or  $\Sigma_{m_i}$  is  $p$ -reduced. Finally,  $\Sigma_m$  fails to be  $p$ -reduced only when  $(p, m)$  is one of the pairs  $(2, 2)$ ,  $(2, 4)$ , or  $(3, 3)$ ; and this finishes the proof of point (ii).  $\square$

For the sake of completeness, we also note the following conditions for when for two  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}(n)$ , of the form described in Theorem 3, one is contained (up to conjugacy) in the other.

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $p$ , and  $G = \mathbb{G}(n)$  be as in Theorem 3, and let  $P' \subseteq P$  be a pair of  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of  $G$ .*

(i) *If  $P$  is reducible — if  $P = P_1 \times \cdots \times P_s$  where  $P_i \subseteq \mathbb{G}(n_i)$  is 2-stubborn and irreducible — then  $P' = P'_1 \times \cdots \times P'_s$  for some subgroups  $P'_i \subseteq P_i$   $p$ -stubborn in  $\mathbb{G}(n_i)$ .*

(ii) *If  $P$  is irreducible and  $P'$  is reducible, then  $P$  is a wreath product*

$$P = \Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r}$$



for some  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{G}(q_0)$  as in Theorem 3 and some  $r \geq 1$ . And  $P' = P'_1 \times \cdots \times P'_t$ , where each  $P'_i$  is an irreducible subgroup of  $\Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_s} \subseteq P$  (one of the standard subgroups of this form) for some  $0 \leq s < r$ .

(iii) Now assume that  $P$  and  $P'$  are both irreducible, and that

$$P = \Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r} \quad \text{and} \quad P' = \Gamma' \wr E_{q'_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q'_s}$$

(up to conjugacy), where  $\Gamma \subseteq \mathbb{G}(q_0)$  and  $\Gamma' \subseteq \mathbb{G}(q'_0)$ . Then either  $P' = P$ , or  $s < r$  and  $q_0, \dots, q_r$  is a refinement of  $q'_0, \dots, q'_s$  (i.e.,  $q'_0 = q_0 \cdots q_{i_0}$ , etc.).

*Proof.* Part (i) is clear. To see the other parts, define

$$A = \langle g \in P : \text{Tr}(g) \neq 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad A' = \langle g \in P' : \text{Tr}(g) \neq 0 \rangle.$$

These subgroups can take the following forms (listed only for  $P$ ):

- (a)  $P$  is reducible. Then  $A = P$ .
- (b)  $P = \Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r}$ , where  $(r \geq 1)$ . Then  $A = (\Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_{r-1}})^{q_r}$ .
- (c)  $P = \Gamma_{p^k}^X$  or  $\bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^X$ . Then

$$A \cong \begin{cases} S^1 & \text{if } P = \Gamma_{p^k}^U \\ \{\pm 1\} & \text{if } P = \Gamma_{2^k}^O \text{ or } \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}} \\ S^1 & \text{if } P = \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^O \text{ or } \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{Sp}}. \end{cases}$$

If  $P'$  is reducible, then  $P' \subseteq A$  by (a). So  $P$  must be a wreath product as in (b), and  $P'$  factors as a product of  $q_r$   $p$ -stubborn subgroups of  $\mathbb{G}(n/q_r)$ , each of which is contained in  $\Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_{r-1}}$ . Part (ii) now follows by induction.

Now assume that  $P$  and  $P'$  are both irreducible, and have the form given in (iii). If  $r \geq 1$  (i.e.,  $P$  is a nontrivial wreath product), then since  $A' \subseteq A$ , we see that  $q_r | q'_s$ , and that

$$\left( \Gamma' \wr E_{q'_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q'_{s-1}} \right)^{q'_s/q_r} \subseteq \Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_{r-1}}.$$

Point (iii) now follows by induction.  $\square$

Theorem 3 describes the  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of the matrix groups  $O(n)$ ,  $U(n)$ , and  $\text{Sp}(n)$ . However, what we are mostly interested in are the connected simple groups. The next two theorems describe the connection between the  $p$ -stubborn subgroups of  $\text{SU}(n)$  and of  $U(n)$ ; and between the 2-stubborn subgroups of  $\text{SO}(n)$  and  $O(n)$ . In the first case, the categories  $\mathcal{R}_p(\text{SU}(n))$  and  $\mathcal{R}_p(U(n))$  are in fact isomorphic.

**Theorem 5.** *A subgroup  $P \subseteq \mathrm{SU}(n)$  is  $p$ -stubborn if and only if  $\langle P, Z(\mathrm{U}(n)) \rangle$  is a  $p$ -stubborn subgroup of  $\mathrm{U}(n)$ ; if and only if  $P = \bar{P} \cap \mathrm{SU}(n)$  for some  $p$ -stubborn subgroup  $\bar{P}$  of  $\mathrm{U}(n)$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1(ii), for any connected  $G$  and any  $p$ -stubborn subgroup  $P \subseteq G$ ,  $P \supseteq C_G(P) \supseteq Z(G)$ . And for any  $P \subseteq \mathrm{SU}(n)$  such that  $P \supseteq Z(\mathrm{SU}(n))$ , if we set  $\bar{P} = \langle P, Z(\mathrm{U}(n)) \rangle$ , then  $P$  is  $p$ -toral if and only if  $\bar{P}$  is,  $N_{\mathrm{SU}(n)}(P)/P \cong N_{\mathrm{U}(n)}(\bar{P})/\bar{P}$ ; and so  $P$  is  $p$ -stubborn if and only if  $\bar{P}$  is.  $\square$

Note the following corollary to Theorem 5. For convenience, for any prime power  $p^k$ , we write  $\Gamma_{p^k}^{\mathrm{SU}} = \mathrm{SU}(p^k) \cap \Gamma_{p^k}^{\mathrm{U}}$ . Note that there is a central extension  $1 \rightarrow C_{p^k} \rightarrow \Gamma_{p^k}^{\mathrm{SU}} \rightarrow (C_p)^{2k} \rightarrow 1$ .

**Corollary 6.** *If  $P \subseteq \mathrm{SU}(n)$  is a finite  $p$ -stubborn subgroup, then  $n = p^k$  for some  $k$ , and  $P$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_{p^k}^{\mathrm{SU}}$ . Any irreducible  $p$ -stubborn subgroup of  $\mathrm{SU}(p^k)$  contains a subgroup conjugate to  $\Gamma_{p^k}^{\mathrm{SU}}$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $P \subseteq \mathrm{U}(n)$  is  $p$ -stubborn: then  $P = P' \cap \mathrm{SU}(n)$  for some  $p$ -stubborn  $P' \subseteq \mathrm{U}(n)$  by Theorem 5. In particular, if  $P$  is finite, then  $\dim(P') = 1$  and  $P'$  is irreducible. So  $n = p^k$  for some  $k \geq 0$ ,  $P'$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_{p^k}^{\mathrm{U}}$  by Theorem 3(i), and  $P$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_{p^k}^{\mathrm{SU}} = \Gamma_{p^k}^{\mathrm{U}} \cap \mathrm{SU}(p^k)$ .

The last statement follows easily from Theorems 3(i) and 5.  $\square$

The relation between 2-stubborn subgroups of  $\mathrm{SO}(n)$  and  $\mathrm{O}(n)$  is more complicated.

**Proposition 7.** *For any 2-stubborn subgroup  $P \subseteq \mathrm{SO}(n)$ , there is a unique 2-stubborn subgroup  $\bar{P} \subseteq \mathrm{O}(n)$  such that  $P = \bar{P} \cap \mathrm{SO}(n)$  and  $N_{\mathrm{O}(n)}(\bar{P}) = N_{\mathrm{O}(n)}(P)$ . If  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$  is a pair of 2-stubborn subgroups of  $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ , then  $\bar{P}_1 \subseteq \bar{P}_2$ .*

*Proof.* If  $P \subseteq \mathrm{SO}(n)$  is 2-stubborn, then  $N_{\mathrm{SO}(n)}(P)/P$  is 2-reduced, and so the intersection  $\bar{P}/P$  of the 2-Sylow subgroups in  $N_{\mathrm{O}(n)}(P)/P$  has order at most 2. If  $\bar{P}/P = 1$ , then  $\bar{P} = P$  is 2-stubborn in  $\mathrm{O}(n)$ . Otherwise,  $\bar{P} \not\subseteq \mathrm{SO}(n)$  and  $N_{\mathrm{O}(n)}(\bar{P})/\bar{P} = N_{\mathrm{O}(n)}(P)/\bar{P}$  is 2-reduced; and so  $\bar{P}$  is 2-stubborn and  $P = \bar{P} \cap \mathrm{SO}(n)$ . The uniqueness of  $\bar{P}$  is clear.

Now assume that  $P_1 \subseteq P_2$  are 2-stubborn in  $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ , and that  $\bar{P}_i \supseteq P_i$  are as above. We must show that  $\bar{P}_1 \subseteq \bar{P}_2$ .

An inspection of the list in Theorem 3 shows that for any irreducible 2-stubborn subgroup  $\bar{P} \subseteq \mathrm{O}(m)$ , either  $m = 2$  (and  $\bar{P} = \mathrm{O}(2)$ ), or  $\bar{P} \subseteq \mathrm{SO}(m)$ , or there exist elements in  $\bar{P} \setminus \mathrm{SO}(m)$  of nonzero trace. So in all cases,  $P = \bar{P} \cap \mathrm{SO}(m)$  is irreducible. Upon extending this to arbitrary 2-stubborn subgroups, we see that

the  $P_i$  (for  $i = 1, 2$ ) have the same decompositions into irreducible representations as the  $\bar{P}_i$ . In particular, we may assume that  $P_2$  is irreducible.

Now define subgroups

$$A_i = \langle g \in P_i : \text{Tr}(g) \neq 0 \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{A}_i = \langle g \in \bar{P}_i : \text{Tr}(g) \neq 0 \rangle.$$

Clearly,  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ . We may assume that  $n \geq 3$ . Then there are the following possibilities:

- (a)  $\bar{P}_i$  is not irreducible (and  $i = 1$ ). Then  $A_i = P_i$  and  $\bar{A}_i = \bar{P}_i$ .
- (b)  $\bar{P}_i = \Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r}$ , where ( $r \geq 1$ ). Then  $\bar{A}_i = (\Gamma \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_{r-1}})^{q_r}$  and  $A_i = \bar{A}_i \cap P_i = \bar{A}_i \cap \text{SO}(n)$ .
- (c)  $\bar{P}_i = \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  (some  $k \geq 2$ ). Then  $A_i = \bar{A}_i \cong \{\pm 1\}$ .
- (d)  $\bar{P}_i = \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  (some  $k \geq 2$ ). Then  $A_i = \bar{A}_i \cong \text{SO}(2)$ .

Note that in each case,  $\bar{P}_i = \langle \bar{A}_i, P_i \rangle$ . So it will suffice to show that  $\bar{A}_1 \subseteq \bar{A}_2$ .

Assume first that  $\bar{P}_2$  is of type (b). Then  $\bar{A}_2$  is a product of 2-stubborn subgroups of  $\bar{B} \subseteq \text{O}(n/q_r)$ . If  $\bar{P}_1$  is reducible, then  $P_1 = A_1 \subseteq A_2$ , so  $\bar{P}_1$  also splits as a product of 2-stubborn subgroups of  $\text{O}(n/q_r)$ , and we get that  $\bar{P}_1 \subseteq \bar{A}_2 \subseteq \bar{P}_2$  by induction on  $n$ . A similar argument applies to show that  $\bar{A}_1 \subseteq \bar{A}_2$  if  $\bar{P}_1$  is a wreath product. And if  $\bar{P}_1 = \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  or  $\bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  (where  $2^k = n \geq 4$ ), then  $\bar{P}_1 \subseteq \text{SO}(n)$ , so  $P_1 = \bar{P}_1$ , and there is nothing to prove.

Finally, assume that  $P_2 = \bar{P}_2 = \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  or  $\bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  (where  $2^k = n \geq 4$ ). Then  $A_2 \cong \{\pm 1\}$  or  $\text{O}(2)$ , respectively, and  $A_1 \subseteq A_2$ . And an inspection of cases (a)–(d) above shows that either  $\bar{P}_1 = \bar{P}_2$ , or  $\bar{P}_1 = \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  and  $\bar{P}_2 = \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$ .  $\square$

By Proposition 7, for each  $n$ , there is a well defined functor

$$\epsilon_n : \mathcal{R}_2(\text{SO}(n)) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_2(\text{O}(n)),$$

defined by setting  $\epsilon_n(\text{SO}(n)/P) = \text{O}(n)/\bar{P}$  whenever  $P \subseteq \text{SO}(n)$  and  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{O}(n)$  are 2-stubborn subgroups such that  $P = \bar{P} \cap \text{SO}(n)$  and  $N_{\text{O}(n)}(\bar{P}) = N_{\text{O}(n)}(P)$ .

**Theorem 8.** *If  $4 \nmid n$ , then  $\epsilon_n$  is an isomorphism of categories. Otherwise, its failure to be an isomorphism is described by the following points:*

(i) *For any 2-stubborn subgroup  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{O}(n)$ ,  $P = \bar{P} \cap \text{SO}(n)$  is 2-stubborn, and  $N_{\text{O}(n)}(P) = N_{\text{O}(n)}(\bar{P})$  unless  $\bar{P}$  has the form  $\bar{P} = (\text{O}(1) \wr E_4) \times P'$  for  $P' \subseteq \text{SO}(n-4)$  (in which case  $N_{\text{O}(n)}(P) \neq N_{\text{O}(n)}(\bar{P})$ ).*

(ii) *Let  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{O}(n)$  be any 2-stubborn subgroup. Then*

$$\{g\bar{P}g^{-1} \cap \text{SO}(n) : g \in \text{O}(n)\}$$

consists of 1  $\text{SO}(n)$ -conjugacy class if  $N(\bar{P}) \not\subseteq \text{SO}(n)$ , and consists of 2 conjugacy classes if  $N(\bar{P}) \subseteq \text{SO}(n)$ .

(iii) Fix an irreducible 2-stubborn subgroup  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{O}(2^k)$  (any  $k \geq 0$ ). Then  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{SO}(2^k)$  if and only if  $\bar{P}$  is conjugate to one of the groups

$$\Gamma_{q_0}^{\text{O}} \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r} \quad (q_0 \geq 4) \quad \text{or} \quad \bar{\Gamma}_{q_0}^{\text{O}} \wr E_{q_1} \wr \cdots \wr E_{q_r} \quad (q_0 \geq 4). \quad (1)$$

And for such  $\bar{P}$ ,  $N(\bar{P}) \subseteq \text{SO}(2^k)$  unless  $\bar{P}$  is conjugate to  $\Gamma_4^{\text{O}}$ .

*Proof.* If  $n$  is odd, then  $\text{O}(n) \cong \text{SO}(n) \times \{\pm I\}$ , and so  $\epsilon_n$  is clearly an isomorphism of categories. If  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then any 2-stubborn subgroup  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{O}(n)$  has the form  $\bar{P}' \times \text{O}(2)$  for some  $\bar{P}' \subseteq \text{O}(n-2)$ . In particular, if we set  $P = \bar{P} \cap \text{SO}(n)$ , then  $\text{O}(n)/\bar{P} = \epsilon_n(\text{SO}(n)/P)$  by (i); and  $\text{O}(n)/\bar{P}$  and  $\text{SO}(n)/P$  have the same morphisms since  $\bar{P} \not\subseteq \text{SO}(n)$ .

(i) Let  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{O}(n)$  be any 2-stubborn subgroup, and set  $P = \bar{P} \cap \text{SO}(n)$ . By Theorem 3(ii),  $\bar{P}$  splits as a product of subgroups  $P_i \subseteq \text{O}(n_i)$  (where  $n = \sum n_i$ ). Let  $r$  be the number of factors for which  $P_i \not\subseteq \text{SO}(n_i)$ . If  $r = 0$ , then  $P = \bar{P}$  and is clearly 2-stubborn in  $\text{SO}(n)$ . If  $r \geq 2$ , then  $N_{\text{O}(n)}(P) = N_{\text{O}(n)}(\bar{P})$  ( $\bar{P}$  is the product of the projections of  $P$  into the irreducible factors); and so  $P$  is again 2-stubborn. We are left with the case  $r = 1$ ; and we can just as easily assume here that  $\bar{P} \not\subseteq \text{SO}(n)$  is irreducible. And a quick survey of the list in Theorem 3(i) shows that  $\bar{P} = \text{O}(1) \wr E_4 \subseteq \text{O}(4)$  is the only case where  $N(\bar{P}) \neq N(P)$ .

(ii) Clear.

(iii) If  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{O}(2^k)$  is irreducible and 2-stubborn, then by Theorem 3(i),  $\bar{P} \subseteq \text{SO}(n)$  if and only if it is one of the groups listed in (1) above. The only claim which is not easily checked is that  $N_{\text{O}(2^k)}(\bar{P}) \subseteq \text{SO}(2^k)$  when  $\bar{P} = \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  ( $k \geq 3$ ) or  $\bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$  ( $k \geq 2$ ). When  $\bar{P} = \bar{\Gamma}_{2^k}^{\text{O}}$ , this follows since  $N(\bar{P})/\bar{P} \cong \text{Sp}_{2k-2}(\mathbb{F}_2)$  is generated by elements in  $\text{U}(2^{k-1}) \subseteq \text{SO}(2^k)$ .

Now assume  $\bar{P} = \Gamma_{2^k}^{\text{O}} = \langle A_0, B_0, \dots, A_{k-1}, B_{k-1} \rangle$  (see Definition 2); and  $k \geq 3$ . Then for  $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ,  $A_i$  and  $B_i$  lie in the simply connected subgroup  $\text{SU}(2^{k-1}) \subseteq \text{SO}(2^k)$ . So the commutators  $[A_i, B_i]$  ( $1 \leq i \leq k-1$ ) all lift to the same commutator in  $\tilde{P} \subseteq \text{Spin}(2^k)$ . By symmetry,  $[A_0, B_0]$  lifts to the same commutator ( $k \geq 3$ ); and so only one lifting of  $-I$  lies in  $[\tilde{P}, \tilde{P}]$ . But for any  $x \in \text{O}(2^k) \setminus \text{SO}(2^k)$ ,  $\text{conj}(x)$  lifts to a unique automorphism of  $\text{Spin}(2^k)$  which switches the two liftings of  $-I$ ; and so  $x \notin N(P)$ . Thus  $N(P) = N(\bar{P}) \subseteq \text{SO}(2^k)$ .  $\square$

The importance of identifying those  $p$ -stubborn  $P' \subseteq \text{O}(n)$  for which  $N(P') \subseteq \text{SO}(n)$  is that these are precisely the subgroups whose conjugacy class in  $\text{O}(n)$  splits up into two conjugacy classes in  $\text{SO}(n)$ .

## References

- [AF] J. L. Alperin & P. Fong, Weights for symmetric and general linear groups, *J. Algebra* 131 (1990), 2-22
- [JMO] S. Jackowski, J. McClure, & B. Oliver, Homotopy classification of self-maps of  $BG$  via  $G$ -actions, *Annals of Math.* (to appear)
- [JMO2] S. Jackowski, J. McClure, & B. Oliver, Homotopy theory of classifying spaces of compact Lie groups
- [N] D. Notbohm, Homotopy uniqueness of classifying spaces of compact connected Lie groups at primes dividing the order of the Weyl group, *Habilitationschrift* (Göttingen)