

HOMOLOGY DECOMPOSITIONS FOR CLASSIFYING SPACES OF COMPACT LIE GROUPS

ALEXEI STROUNINE

ABSTRACT. Let p be a prime number and G be a compact Lie group. A homology decomposition for the classifying space BG is a way of building BG up to mod p homology as a homotopy colimit of classifying spaces of subgroups of G . In this paper we develop techniques for constructing such homology decompositions. In [6] Jackowski, McClure and Oliver construct a homology decomposition of BG by classifying spaces of p -stubborn subgroups of G . Their decomposition is based on the existence of a finite-dimensional mod p acyclic G – CW -complex with restricted set of orbit types. We apply our techniques to give a parallel proof of the p -stubborn decomposition of BG which does not use this geometric construction.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let p be a fixed prime number and G be a compact Lie group. A homology decomposition for the classifying space BG is a mod p homology isomorphism

$$\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} BG$$

where \mathcal{D} is a small category, F is functor from \mathcal{D} to the category of spaces, and for each object d of \mathcal{D} , $F(d)$ has the homotopy type of BH for some subgroup H of G . Jackowski, McClure and Oliver [6] construct a homology decomposition of BG by classifying spaces of p -stubborn subgroups of G . Their decomposition is based on the existence of a finite-dimensional mod p acyclic G – CW -complex with restricted set of orbit types [6, 2.14]. In this paper we give a parallel proof of the p -stubborn decomposition of BG which does not use [6, 2.14] and has a potential to be extended to p -compact groups.

We will call a set \mathcal{C} of closed subgroups of G a *collection* if it is closed under the process of taking conjugates in G . Let \mathcal{C} be a collection. We define the \mathcal{C} -orbit category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ whose objects are the G -sets G/H , $H \in \mathcal{C}$, and whose morphisms are G -maps. We regard $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ as a topological category, where the set of objects is discrete and where the morphism sets have compact-open topology. By $J_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})}$, or simply J , we will denote the inclusion functor from $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ to the category of G -spaces. Composing J with the Borel construction $EG \times_G -$ gives a functor

$$EG \times_G J : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow Spaces$$

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 55R35; Secondary 55R40.

whose value $EG \times_G G/H$ at an object G/H has the homotopy type of BH . Let $*$ denote the one-point space with the trivial action of G . The natural maps $EG \times_G G/H \rightarrow EG \times_G * = BG$ induce a map

$$(1.1) \quad \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} EG \times_G J \rightarrow BG$$

Here the operator hocolim is the homotopy colimit defined as a special case of the bar construction 2.2.

Definition 1.1. Call a collection \mathcal{C} of subgroups of G *ample* if the map (1.1) induces an isomorphism on mod p homology.

Since the Borel construction commutes with taking homotopy colimits

$$EG \times_G \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} J \cong \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} EG \times_G J$$

to prove that a collection \mathcal{C} is ample, it suffice to show that in the fibration

$$\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} J \rightarrow EG \times_G \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} J \rightarrow BG$$

the fiber $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} J$ is \mathbf{F}_p -acyclic (i.e. the map $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} J \rightarrow *$ induces an isomorphism on mod p homology). For a collection of subgroups \mathcal{C} , we write $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) = \text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} J$. The action of G on the values of the functor J induces an obvious G -action on this space. In section 3 we consider sufficient conditions that imply the \mathbf{F}_p -acyclicity of $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$.

Suppose that the category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$, for some collection \mathcal{C} , has finite morphism sets. Then the standard Bousfield-Kan cohomology spectral sequence of a homotopy colimit [1, XII.5.8] associated to $\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} EG \times_G J$ has the form:

$$(1.2) \quad E_2^{i,j} = \lim_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})}^i H^j(EG \times_G J, \mathbf{F}_p) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\text{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})} EG \times_G J, \mathbf{F}_p)$$

Definition 1.2. An ample collection \mathcal{C} of subgroups of G is said to be *sharp* if $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ is a discrete category (in the sense that the morphism sets of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ have discrete topology) and the spectral sequence (1.2) collapses, that is $E_2^{i,j} = 0$ for $i > 0$ and $E_2^{0,j} \approx H^j(BG, \mathbf{F}_p)$.

The E_2 -term of the above spectral sequence can be identified with the ordinary equivariant cohomology groups of the G -space $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ with certain coefficient systems. In fact a collection \mathcal{C} is sharp if and only if $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ has equivariant cohomology of a point. In section 4 we obtain a refinement of the equivariant transfer map constructed by Oliver [9] which is used to show that, for suitable collections \mathcal{C} , the E_2 -term of the spectral sequence described above has appropriate vanishing properties.

Recall that a compact Lie group P is called *p-toral* if its identity component P_0 is a torus and P/P_0 is a finite p -group. A p -toral subgroup P of G is called *p-stubborn* if $N_G(P)/P$ is finite and has no nontrivial normal p -subgroups.

The main result of this work is the following

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that G is a compact Lie group that contains an element of order p . Then the collection of nontrivial p -toral subgroups of G is ample and the collection of nontrivial p -stubborn subgroups of G is sharp.*

Throughout the paper p is a fixed prime number. The symbol \mathbf{F}_p denotes the field with p elements. A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ between two spaces is said to be an \mathbf{F}_p -equivalence or mod p equivalence and is denoted $X \xrightarrow[p]{} Y$ if it induces an isomorphism

$f_* : H_*(X, \mathbf{F}_p) \rightarrow H_*(Y, \mathbf{F}_p)$. A space X is said to be \mathbf{F}_p -acyclic or mod p acyclic if the projection into a one point space $X \rightarrow *$ is an \mathbf{F}_p -equivalence.

2. BAR CONSTRUCTIONS, HOMOTOPY COLIMITS AND G -SPACES

Throughout this paper a topological category is a category \mathcal{D} with topologized morphism sets such that composition is continuous and for each object d of \mathcal{D} the inclusion $id_d \rightarrow \text{Mor}(d, d)$ is a closed cofibration.

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{D} be a small topological category, $\Phi : \mathcal{D}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ be continuous functors. The *bar construction* $B(\Phi, \mathcal{D}, \Psi)$ is the topological realization $|B_\bullet|$ of the simplicial space B_\bullet , which in dimension k is the following disjoint union of spaces indexed by ordered sets of $k+1$ objects of \mathcal{D} :

$$B_k = \coprod_{(d_0, \dots, d_k)} \Psi(d_k) \times \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_k, d_{k-1}) \times \dots \times \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_1, d_0) \times \Phi(d_0)$$

The face operators δ_i are induced by composite maps

$$\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_{i+1}, d_i) \times \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_i, d_{i-1}) \rightarrow \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_{i+1}, d_{i-1})$$

when $0 < i < k$, and by evaluation maps

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_1, d_0) \times \Phi(d_0) &\rightarrow \Phi(d_1), \\ \Psi(d_k) \times \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d_k, d_{k-1}) &\rightarrow \Psi(d_{k-1}) \end{aligned}$$

when i is respectively 0 and k .

The degeneracies are given by appropriate inclusions of identities.

Definition 2.2. Let $*$ denote the constant one point valued functor on \mathcal{D} , considered as necessary to be either covariant or contravariant. With the above notation the *homotopy colimits* of functors Φ and Ψ are

$$\text{hocolim} \Phi = B(\Phi, \mathcal{D}, *); \quad \text{hocolim} \Psi = B(*, \mathcal{D}, \Psi)$$

Recall that the n -skeleton B_\bullet^n of a simplicial space is the smallest subobject that contains B_k for all $k \leq n$. The mod p homology spectral sequence associated to the filtration

$$|B_\bullet^0| \subset |B_\bullet^1| \subset \dots \subset |B_\bullet^n| \subset \dots$$

of $|B_\bullet|$ has the E^1 -term $E_{i,j}^1 = H_{j+i}(|B_\bullet^i|, |B_\bullet^{i-1}|; \mathbf{F}_p) \approx H_j(B_i/sB_i; \mathbf{F}_p)$ and is first quadrant strongly convergent. Here sB_i denotes the subspace of B_i which is the union of images of B_{i-1} under the degeneracy operators. A simple application of this spectral sequence is the following:

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that \mathcal{D} is a small topological category, $\Phi, \Phi' : \mathcal{D}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ and $\Psi, \Psi' : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ are continuous functors. If $u : \Phi \rightarrow \Phi'$ and $v : \Psi \rightarrow \Psi'$ are natural transformations such that for each object d of \mathcal{D} $u(d)$ and $v(d)$ are mod p -equivalences, then the map $B(u, \mathcal{D}, v) : B(\Phi, \mathcal{D}, \Psi) \rightarrow B(\Phi', \mathcal{D}, \Psi')$ is a mod p equivalence.*

Proof. Let B_\bullet and B'_\bullet be the simplicial spaces used to define the bar constructions $B(\Phi, \mathcal{D}, \Psi)$ and $B(\Phi', \mathcal{D}, \Psi')$ respectively. The transformations u and v induce a map $(u, v) : B_\bullet \rightarrow B'_\bullet$ of simplicial spaces such that the corresponding maps $B_i \rightarrow B'_i$ and $sB_i \rightarrow sB'_i$ are mod p homology isomorphisms in each dimension. Since the inclusions $sB_i \rightarrow B_i$ and $sB'_i \rightarrow B'_i$ are cofibrations, (u, v) induces an isomorphism of the spectral sequences described above. \square

Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a collection of subgroups of G and X is a G -space. Define $X_{\mathcal{C}}$, the \mathcal{C} resolution of X , to be the bar construction $B(\text{Map}_G(J, X), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}), J)$. There is an obvious G -map $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(X) : X_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow X$ induced by the evaluation maps in each dimension of the simplicial space

$$B_k = \coprod_{(G/H_0, \dots, G/H_k)} G/H_k \times \text{Map}_G(G/H_k, G/H_{k-1}) \times \dots \times \text{Map}_G(G/H_0, X) \rightarrow X$$

Essentially the space $X_{\mathcal{C}}$ contains all the equivariant homotopy information of X which is detectable by the subgroups of G in \mathcal{C} . It is clear from the construction that the isotropy subgroups of $X_{\mathcal{C}}$ are those subgroups H in \mathcal{C} for which the fixed-point space $X^H = \text{Map}_G(G/H, X)$ is nonempty.

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ of G -spaces is said to be a weak G -equivalence (respectively a G -mod- p -equivalence) if for every subgroup H of G the induced map on the fixed point sets $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a weak equivalence (respectively mod p equivalence). We will be interested in finding out to what extent the weak G -homotopy (homology) type of a G space X is determined by the fixed-point sets X^H for some restricted type of subgroups H of G . The following facts are simple consequences of general properties of the bar construction:

Theorem 2.4. *Let G be a compact Lie group, \mathcal{C} a collection of subgroups of G and X a G -space. Then*

- (a) *for every H in \mathcal{C} , the map on the fixed-point sets $(X_{\mathcal{C}})^H \rightarrow X^H$ is a homotopy equivalence;*
- (b) *if H is in \mathcal{C} then $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(G/H) : (G/H)_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G/H$ is a G -equivalence;*
- (c) *if X is a G -CW-complex such that for each orbit type G/H of X , $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(G/H)$ is a G -equivalence then so is $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(X) : X_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow X$.*

Proof. Note that for a topological category \mathcal{D} , functors $\Phi : \mathcal{D}^{op} \rightarrow \text{Top}$ and $\Psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \text{Top}$, and an object d of \mathcal{D} , the evaluation maps induce natural maps

$$(2.1) \quad B(\Phi, \mathcal{D}, \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(d, -)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Phi(d)$$

$$(2.2) \quad B(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(-, d), \mathcal{D}, \Psi) \xrightarrow{\sim} \Psi(d)$$

which are strong deformation retractions [4, 3.1(5)].

(a) For H in \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{aligned} (X_{\mathcal{C}})^H &= B(\text{Map}_G(J, X), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}), J)^H = \\ &= B(\text{Map}_G(J, X), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}), \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})}(G/H, -)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}_G(G/H, X) \approx X^H \end{aligned}$$

(b) For H in \mathcal{C} and Q any subgroup of G

$$\begin{aligned} (G/H_{\mathcal{C}})^Q &= B(\text{Map}_G(J, G/H), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}), J)^Q = \\ &= B(\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})}(-, G/H), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}), \text{Map}_G(G/Q, J)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \\ &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Map}_G(G/Q, G/H) \approx (G/H)^Q \end{aligned}$$

(c) A G -CW-complex X is constructed from a collection of “ G -cells” $\{G/H \times D^n\}$ by taking disjoint unions, pushouts in which one of the maps is a cofibration, and sequential colimits. Clearly for a subgroup Q of G the fixed point functor $\text{Map}_G(G/Q, -)$ preserves this three operations and the bar construction commutes with colimits. Hence $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is a weak G -equivalence if it is one for each G -cell of X . \square

Corollary 2.5. *If X is a G -space and \mathcal{C} contains all isotropy subgroups of X then $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(X)$ is a weak G -equivalence.*

Corollary 2.6. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a G -map such that $f^H : X^H \rightarrow Y^H$ is a mod p equivalence whenever H is an isotropy subgroup of X or Y , then f is a G -mod- p -equivalence.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} be a collection of isotropy subgroups of X and Y . By 2.4 $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(X) : X_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow X$ and $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(Y) : Y_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow Y$ are weak G -equivalences, and by 2.3 $f_{\mathcal{C}} : X_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow Y_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a G -mod- p -equivalence. \square

Remark 2.7. Note that the G -space $EO(\mathcal{C})$ associated to a collection \mathcal{C} is the \mathcal{C} resolution of a point $*_{\mathcal{C}}$, so its isotropy subgroups are those in \mathcal{C} and for any H in \mathcal{C} the fixed point set $(EO(\mathcal{C}))^H$ is contractible. We will study the space $EO(\mathcal{C})$ in more detail in the next section.

We conclude this section by a lemma that considers \mathcal{C} resolutions of transitive G -spaces.

Lemma 2.8. *Suppose that $H < G$ is a pair of compact Lie groups and \mathcal{C} is a collection of subgroups of G . Let $\mathcal{C}|_H$ be the collection of those subgroups of H which are in \mathcal{C} and $\xi : G \times_H EO(\mathcal{C}|_H) \rightarrow G/H$ be the H -bundle induced by projection $EO(\mathcal{C}|_H) \rightarrow *$. Then there is a weak G -equivalence $f : G \times_H EO(\mathcal{C}|_H) \rightarrow G/H_{\mathcal{C}}$ such that $\xi = \varphi_{\mathcal{C}} \circ f$.*

Proof. The map f is defined on bar constructions in the following way: First we identify the constant functor $* : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}|_H) \rightarrow Top$ with $Map_H(J, *)$ and note that the functor $G \times_H -$ commutes with the bar construction, then we obtain a map of bar constructions induced by the functor $G \times_H - : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}|_H) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) :$

$$\begin{aligned} G \times_H B(*, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}|_H), J) &= B(Map_H(J, *), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}|_H), G \times_H J) \rightarrow \\ &\rightarrow B(Map_G(J, G \times_H *), \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}), J) \end{aligned}$$

Note that the G -spaces $G \times_H EO(\mathcal{C}|_H)$ and $G/H_{\mathcal{C}}$ have the same set of isotropy subgroups, namely those subgroups Q in \mathcal{C} which are subconjugates of H . For any such Q consider the maps on the fixed point spaces

$$\xi^Q : (G \times_H EO(\mathcal{C}|_H))^Q \xrightarrow{f^Q} (G/H_{\mathcal{C}})^Q \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}^Q} (G/H)^Q.$$

The map $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}^Q$ is a weak equivalence by 2.4. The composite ξ^Q is a fiber bundle with the fiber over a point gH in $(G/H)^Q$ being equal to $(EO(\mathcal{C}|_H))^{g^{-1}Qg}$ which is contractible since $g^{-1}Qg \in \mathcal{C}|_H$. Thus f is a weak equivalence on the fixed point sets for isotropy subgroups of $G \times_H EO(\mathcal{C}|_H)$ and $G/H_{\mathcal{C}}$, and hence it is a weak G -equivalence by 2.6. \square

3. THE G -SPACE $EO(\mathcal{C})$

As mentioned before a collection of subgroups \mathcal{C} is ample if the space $EO(\mathcal{C})$ has mod p homology of a point. In this section we state some conditions that imply the mod p -acyclicity of this space. We first note that this is a necessary condition for ampleness of \mathcal{C} if $\pi_0(G)$ is a p -group:

Lemma 3.1. *Let G be a compact Lie group such that $\pi_0(G)$ is a finite p -group. Then \mathcal{C} is an ample collection of subgroups of G if and only if $EO(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} *$.*

Proof. If $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} *$ the conclusion is obvious. Suppose now that $EG \times_G E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} BG$. Let $\pi = \pi_1(G) \approx G/G_0$. Since π is a p -group it acts nilpotently on $\mathbf{F}_p[\pi]$ and the homology isomorphism $EG \times_G E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow EG \times_G *$ with coefficients in \mathbf{F}_p implies the isomorphism with twisted coefficients $H_*(EG \times_G E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}), \mathbf{F}_p[G/G_0]) \rightarrow H_*(EG \times_G *, \mathbf{F}_p[G/G_0])$. By Shapiro's lemma $H_*(EG \times_G X, \mathbf{F}_p[G/G_0]) \approx H_*(EG \times_{G_0} X, \mathbf{F}_p)$, thus we have a fibration $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow EG \times_{G_0} E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow BG_0$ in which the base space is simply-connected and the projection map is a homology isomorphism. Serre long exact sequence on homology of a fibration implies the acyclicity of the fiber. \square

Definition 3.2. Call a subgroup H in \mathcal{C} a *core subgroup of the collection \mathcal{C}* if H is normal in G and HP is in \mathcal{C} for every P in \mathcal{C} .

The following is a theorem of Quillen in a slightly more general form:

Lemma 3.3. *If a collection of subgroups \mathcal{C} has a core subgroup then $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ is contractible.*

Proof. Let P be a core subgroup of \mathcal{C} . Consider the following subcollection of \mathcal{C}

$$\mathcal{C}_P = \{H \in \mathcal{C} : P \subset H\}.$$

Note that P acts trivially on every object of the associated orbit category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P)$, therefore we can identify the functor $J_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P)}$ with $Mor_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P)}(G/P, -)$. By (2.1) the space $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P) = B(*, \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P), Mor_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P)}(G/P, -))$ is contractible.

The map $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P) \rightarrow E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ induced by inclusion of categories is a homotopy equivalence: The inverse is induced by the functor $(-)_P : \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P)$ that sends every object of G/H of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ its P -orbit space $(G/H)_P$. Since P is a normal subgroup of G , $(G/H)_P$ is a transitive G space of type G/HP . The projection $G/H \rightarrow (G/H)_P$ defines a natural transformation between the identity functor on $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ and the composite $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{(-)_P} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C}_P) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$. By [4, 3.1(7)] this transformation gives a homotopy between the maps on $E\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ induced by these functors. \square

We denote by $\mathcal{O}_p(G)$ (respectively $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$) the orbit category associated to the collection of all nontrivial p -toral subgroups (respectively all nontrivial p -stubborn subgroups).

Remark 3.4. If G has a nontrivial normal p -subgroup P , then P is a core subgroup of the collection of nontrivial p -toral subgroups, hence $E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \simeq *$. This is the original result of Quillen.

The following theorem will allow us to reduce the proof of the homology decomposition theorem to the case of compact Lie groups with simpler structure (e.g. groups of smaller dimension or groups with π_0 a p -group).

Theorem 3.5. *Let G be a compact Lie group and p be a prime number. Suppose that there exists a normal subgroup K of G such that for any p -toral subgroup Q of G (including the trivial subgroup) $E\mathcal{O}_p(QK) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} *$. Then $E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} *$.*

Proof. Denote the collection of nontrivial p -toral subgroups of G by \mathcal{C} . Let $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{C} \cup \{KQ : Q \in \mathcal{C}\} \cup \{K\}$. Clearly \mathcal{D} is a collection of subgroups. Consider the following diagram of functors

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{O}_p(G) & \xrightarrow{J} & G\text{-spaces} \\
 F \downarrow & \nearrow F_{h^*}J & \nearrow J \\
 \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D}) & &
 \end{array}$$

Here F is the inclusion functor and $F_{h^*}J$ is the Kan extension of J along F as defined in [4, 5]. Then

$$E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \cong \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})} F_{h^*}J \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} \operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{D})} J \cong *$$

The first map is an equivalence by the homotopy pushdown theorem [4, 5.5], the third one is the equivalence of lemma 3.3 (Note that K is a core subgroup of \mathcal{D}). The map in the middle is constructed in the following way:

For each object G/H of \mathcal{D} , by definition the Kan extension is the bar construction $F_{h^*}J(G/H) = B(\operatorname{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(F, G/H), \mathcal{O}_p(G), J)$, which is in fact the \mathcal{C} resolution of G/H . There is a natural transformation $F_{h^*}J \rightarrow J$ defined on each object G/H of \mathcal{D} to be the map $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}(G/H) : G/H_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow G/H$. We will show that this map is mod p equivalence for each object G/H of \mathcal{D} which will imply the mod p equivalence of the map on the homotopy colimits by 2.3.

Note that the projection of the fiber bundle $G \times_H E\mathcal{O}_p(H) \rightarrow G/H$ is a mod p equivalence since its fiber $E\mathcal{O}_p(H)$ is mod p acyclic by assumption when H is in the form KQ for a p -toral Q or it is contractible when H is nontrivial p -toral. By 2.8 this projection factors through a weak G -equivalence:

$$G \times_H E\mathcal{O}_p(H) \xrightarrow{\sim} G/H_{\mathcal{C}} \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}} G/H$$

Therefore $\varphi_{\mathcal{C}}$ is a mod p equivalence and this finishes the proof. \square

Remark 3.6. Let G be an infinite compact Lie group, we let $K = G_0$, the identity component of G . For any p -toral Q , $\pi_0(QG_0)$ is a p -group, thus Theorem 3.5 combined with lemma 3.1 allows us to reduce the proof of the homology decomposition theorem to the case of a compact Lie group π_0 of which is a p -group.

4. THE TRANSFER ON EQUIVARIANT COHOMOLOGY

Let G be a compact Lie group. We will denote by $\mathcal{O}(G)$ the orbit category $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ when \mathcal{C} is the collection of all subgroups of G .

Definition 4.1. A contravariant coefficient system M for G is a contravariant functor from $\mathcal{O}(G)$ to Ab , the category of abelian groups, such that if f and g are G -homotopic maps in $\mathcal{O}(G)$, $M(f) = M(g)$.

Let X be a G -space and M a coefficient system. By $H_G^*(X, M)$ we will denote the ordinary equivariant cohomology of X with coefficients in M as defined in [5] and [12].

Suppose F is a functor from a small discrete (in the sense that the set of morphisms has the discrete topology) category \mathcal{D} to the category of G -spaces such that $F(d)$ is a transitive G -space for each object d of \mathcal{D} . There is an equivariant cohomology spectral sequence of $\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F$ associated to the skeleton filtration of $\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F$:

$$(4.1) \quad E_2^{i,j} = \lim_{\mathcal{D}}^i H_G^j(F, M) \Rightarrow H_G^{i+j}(\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F, M)$$

Note that by the dimension axiom of the ordinary equivariant cohomology $H_G^j(F, M) = 0$ when $j > 0$ and $H_G^0(F, M) = M(F)$. Thus the spectral sequence (4.1) collapses and

$$(4.2) \quad H_G^i(\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F, M) \approx \lim^i_{\mathcal{D}} M(F).$$

The mod p cohomology Bousfield-Kan spectral sequence for $\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} EG \times_G F$ has the form

$$E_2^{i,j} = \lim^i_{\mathcal{D}} H^j(EG \times_G F, \mathbf{F}_p) \Rightarrow H^{i+j}(\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} EG \times_G F, \mathbf{F}_p)$$

Clearly its E_2 term can be identified with (4.2): $M^j = H^j(EG \times_G -, \mathbf{F}_p)$ defines a contravariant coefficient system and $E_2^{i,j} \approx H_G^i(\operatorname{hocolim}_{\mathcal{D}} F, M^j)$. The next proposition is obvious.

Proposition 4.2. *Let G be a compact Lie group and $M^j = H^j(EG \times_G -, \mathbf{F}_p)$ a contravariant coefficient system. A collection \mathcal{C} of subgroups of G , for which $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{C})$ is discrete, is sharp if and only if $EO(\mathcal{C})$ has M^j equivariant cohomology of a point:*

$$H_G^i(EO(\mathcal{C}), M^j) \leftarrow H_G^i(*, M^j).$$

We now describe sufficient conditions that imply the equivariant acyclicity of a G -space X . First we make a few definitions. Let P be a maximal p -toral subgroup of G , M a coefficient system for G . Define $M|_P$, the restriction of M to P , to be the composite $\mathcal{O}(P) \xrightarrow{G \times_P -} \mathcal{O}(G) \xrightarrow{M} Ab$. Following the terminology of [7] we will call a subgroup Q of G *sub- p -toral* if it is contained in a p -toral subgroup of G . We call a sub- p -toral subgroup Q of G *p -relevant* if it contains an element of order p (equivalently, it is not a finite group of order prime to p). For a G -space X we let $X_s = \{x \in X : G_x \cap P \text{ is } p\text{-relevant}\}$, a P -invariant subspace of X . Recall that a G -space X is said to have finitely many orbit types if there are finitely many conjugacy classes of isotropy subgroups of X . The following is a result of Slomińska:

Proposition 4.3. ([10, 1.1]) *Let G be a Lie group and P be a maximal p -toral subgroup of G . Suppose that X is a G -CW complex with finitely many orbit types. With the notation above, if X_s is P -mod- p -equivalent to a point, then, for $j > 0$*

$$H_G^*(X, M^j) \leftarrow H_G^*(*, M^j)$$

Proof. The main idea behind the proof, which can be found in [10], is that the functors M^j are restrictions of Mackey functors defined on stable orbit category of G as described in [8], for which a natural equivariant cohomology transfer map is constructed. The transfer exhibits the map $H_G^*(X, M^j) \leftarrow H_G^*(*, M^j)$ as a retract of $H_P^*(X, M^j|_P) \leftarrow H_P^*(*, M^j|_P)$. Since the coefficients $M^j|_P$ ($j > 0$) vanish on the P -orbits of X outside X_s , the inclusion map $X_s \rightarrow X$ induces an isomorphism $H_P^*(X, M^j|_P) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_P^*(X_s, M^j|_P)$. Finally $H_P^*(X_s, M^j|_P)$ is isomorphic to $H_P^*(*, M^j|_P)$ by [6, A.13]. \square

Remark 4.4. The problem one faces trying to get a result analogous to 4.3 when $j = 0$ is that the functor $M^0|_P$ does not vanish on outside of X_s and the map $H_P^*(X, M^j|_P) \rightarrow H_P^*(X_s, M^j|_P)$ induced by inclusion is not necessarily an isomorphism. Another result of Slomińska [10, 1.2] covers the case $j = 0$, however it does depend on Jackowski-McClure-Oliver construction. We will give an independent proof, which is based on ideas we are planning to exploit later.

Proposition 4.5. *Let G be a compact Lie group, P be a maximal p -toral subgroup of G , and X be a G -CW-complex with finitely many orbit types such that each point in X is fixed by an element in G of order p . If X_s/P is mod- p -acyclic then so is the orbit space X/G .*

Note that the coefficient system M^0 is a constant system,

$$M^0(G/H) \approx H^0(BH, \mathbf{F}_p) = \mathbf{F}_p$$

and the equivariant cohomology $H_G^*(X, \mathbf{F}_p)$ is the ordinary cohomology of the orbit space $H^*(X/G, \mathbf{F}_p)$. Our proof of 4.5 is analogous to the proof of 4.3 and is based on the construction of the transfer map given by Oliver [9]. For the rest of this section H^* denotes the Čech cohomology.

Theorem 4.6. ([9]) *Let G be a compact Lie group, P a subgroup of G and R any coefficient group then for any paracompact and perfectly normal G -space X , there is a transfer homomorphism*

$$(4.3) \quad \text{tr}f : H^*(X/P; R) \rightarrow H^*(X/G; R),$$

natural in X , and such that the composite

$$\text{tr}f \circ \pi^* : H^*(X/P; R) \rightarrow H^*(X/G; R),$$

where π denotes the projection $X/P \rightarrow X/G$, is multiplication by the Euler characteristic $\chi(G/P)$.

Note that any G -CW-complex is paracompact and perfectly normal. We will show that

Lemma 4.7. *If P is a maximal p -toral subgroup of G , X is a G -space such that each point in X is fixed by an element in G of order p , and $R = \mathbf{F}_p$, then the transfer map (4.3) factors as $H^*(X/P; \mathbf{F}_p) \xrightarrow{i^*} H^*(X_s/P; \mathbf{F}_p) \rightarrow H^*(X/G; \mathbf{F}_p)$, where i^* is induced by inclusion $X_s \rightarrow X$.*

First we recall basic properties of the Dold fixed point index [2] and the key constructions from [9]:

The fixed point index assigns an integer $\text{Ind}(f)$ to any map $f : U \rightarrow M$, where M is an ENR (Euclidean neighborhood retract), U an open subset of M , and $\text{Fix}(f) = \{u \in U : f(u) = u\}$ is compact. We will use the following properties of the index:

Lemma 4.8. ([2, 1.3-1.8]) *Let $f : U \rightarrow M$ be as above.*

(a) *If $V \subseteq U$ is open and $\text{Fix}(f) \subseteq V$, then $\text{Ind}(f|_V) = \text{Ind}(f)$.*

(b) *If $U = \coprod_{i=0}^n U_i$, a finite disjoint union of open sets, then $\text{Ind}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n \text{Ind}(f|_{U_i})$.*

(c) *If $\varphi : U \times I \rightarrow M$ is a homotopy such that $\text{Fix}(\varphi) = \{u \in U : \varphi(u, t) = u \text{ for some } t \in I\}$ is compact, then $\text{Ind}(\varphi_0) = \text{Ind}(\varphi_1)$ ($\varphi_t = \varphi(-, t)$, $t \in I$).*

(d) *If M and M' are ENR's, $U \subseteq M$ and $U' \subseteq M'$ are open sets, and $f : U \rightarrow M'$, $g : U' \rightarrow M$ are maps, then the two composites $gf : f^{-1}(U') \rightarrow M$ and $fg : g^{-1}(U) \rightarrow M'$ have homeomorphic fixed point sets and, if these sets are compact, $\text{Ind}(gf) = \text{Ind}(fg)$.*

As a simple consequence of 4.8 we have the following:

Lemma 4.9. *Suppose that M is an ENR, V an open subset of M , $f : V \rightarrow M$ is a compactly fixed map and $q : M \rightarrow M$ is an automorphism of M . Then the map $qfq^{-1} : q(V) \xrightarrow{q^{-1}} V \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{q} M$ has the same index as f .*

Proof. Note that $qf : V \rightarrow M$ and $q^{-1}|_{q(V)} : q(V) \rightarrow M$ are maps whose two composites have the same index by 4.8 (d). \square

Definition 4.10. Let X be a space and Y be a G -space. A homotopy

$$\varphi : X \times I \rightarrow Y/G$$

is called *stratum decreasing* if for any x in X and $t \geq s$ in I the isotropy subgroups of the orbit $\varphi(x, t)$ in Y are subconjugates to the ones of $\varphi(x, s)$.

Lemma 4.11. ([9, Theorem 2]) *Let G be a compact Lie group acting on spaces X and Y , where X is paracompact and perfectly normal and Y is completely regular, then, for any G -map $f : X \rightarrow Y$, any stratum decreasing homotopy of f/G can be lifted to a G -homotopy of f .*

Lemma 4.12. ([9, Theorem 3]) *For any pair $P \subset G$ of compact Lie groups and any paracompact perfectly normal G -space X there is a stratum decreasing homotopy $\varphi : X/P \times I \rightarrow X/P$ of the identity on X/P , commuting with the projection $\pi : X/P \rightarrow X/G$; and such that the restriction of π :*

$$\hat{\pi} : \text{Fix}(\varphi_1) \rightarrow X/G \quad (\varphi_1 = \varphi(-, 1))$$

has finite fibers.

The ‘‘stratum decreasing’’ property of φ implies that it restricts to a homotopy $\varphi_s : X_s/P \times I \rightarrow X_s/P$ with analogous properties. We will denote by $\hat{\pi}_s$ the restriction of $\hat{\pi}$ to $\text{Fix}_s(\varphi_1) = \text{Fix}(\varphi_1) \cap X_s/P$.

Let \mathcal{H} (respectively \mathcal{H}_s) denote the sheaf over X/G induced by the presheaf $U \rightarrow H^0(\hat{\pi}^{-1}(U); \mathbf{F}_p)$ (respectively $U \rightarrow H^0(\hat{\pi}_s^{-1}(U); \mathbf{F}_p)$) (U open in X/G). Since $\hat{\pi}$ and $\hat{\pi}_s$ are closed maps, \mathcal{H} (respectively \mathcal{H}_s) has stalks $\mathcal{H}_x = H^0(\hat{\pi}^{-1}(x); \mathbf{F}_p)$ (respectively $(\mathcal{H}_s)_x = H^0(\hat{\pi}_s^{-1}(x); \mathbf{F}_p)$) ($x \in X/G$). Since each of the sets $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(x)$, $\hat{\pi}_s^{-1}(x)$ is zero dimensional, $H^*(\text{Fix}(\varphi_1), \mathbf{F}_p) \cong H^*(X/G, \mathcal{H})$ and $H^*(\text{Fix}_s(\varphi_1), \mathbf{F}_p) \cong H^*(X/G, \mathcal{H}_s)$.

For any $x \in X/G$, choose \tilde{x} in the orbit x . Let K denote the isotropy subgroup $G_{\tilde{x}}$ of \tilde{x} . The fiber $\pi^{-1}(x)$ can be identified with the orbit space $(G/P)_K$ of the K -action on G/P through the homeomorphism $(G/P)_K \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(x) \subseteq X/P$ that sends the equivalence class $[gP]$ in $(G/P)_K$ to $[g^{-1}\tilde{x}]$ in X/P . Under this identification φ restricts to a homotopy $\varphi|_{(G/P)_K \times I} : (G/P)_K \times I \rightarrow (G/P)_K$, which is stratum decreasing with respect to the action of K by [9, Lemma 5 (iii)]. By 4.11 it can be lifted to a K -equivariant homotopy ψ of the identity map on G/P . Note that the fixed point set of the resulting map $\psi_1 = \psi(-, 1)$ projects into $\hat{\pi}^{-1}(x) \subset (G/P)_K$. For any point $y \in \hat{\pi}^{-1}(x) \subset (G/P)_K$ the corresponding K -orbit $\bar{y} \subset G/P$ has a K -equivariant tubular neighborhood U that does not contain any fixed point sets of ψ_1 other than those in \bar{y} . Let $I(y)$ denote the Dold fixed point index of $\psi_1|_U : U \rightarrow G/P$. This index is independent of the choice of \tilde{x} , ψ and U . Oliver defines a map $J(\varphi)$ from the sheaf \mathcal{H} to the constant sheaf $X/G \times \mathbf{F}_p$, which is a homomorphism

$$J(\varphi)_x : H^0(\hat{\pi}^{-1}(x); \mathbf{F}_p) \cong \bigoplus_{y \in \hat{\pi}^{-1}(x)} H^0(y; \mathbf{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sum I(y)} \mathbf{F}_p$$

on stalks. The map $J(\varphi)$ is continuous [9, 14], and hence a sheaf homomorphism.

Our proof of 4.7 is based on the following fact:

Lemma 4.13. *With the notation above, $I(y)$ is divisible by p for every $y \in \hat{\pi}^{-1}(x)$, which is not in $\hat{\pi}_s^{-1}(x)$.*

Proof. Let $y \in \hat{\pi}^{-1}(x) - \hat{\pi}_s^{-1}(x) \subseteq (G/P)_K$. It follows from the definition of X_s that the K -isotropy subgroups of any point of the corresponding orbit \bar{y} in G/P are finite of order prime to p . Since ψ_1 is a K -equivariant map it either fixes the entire K -orbit \bar{y} or has no fixed points in \bar{y} . In the latter case $I(y) = 0$ and the conclusion is obvious. For the rest of the proof we assume that $\bar{y} \subseteq \text{Fix}(\psi_1)$. Let Q be a cyclic subgroup of K of order p , and U be a K equivariant tubular neighborhood of \bar{y} in G/P that does not contain fixed point sets of ψ_1 others than those in \bar{y} . Clearly Q acts freely on U . Consider projections $U \xrightarrow{\alpha} U/Q \xrightarrow{\beta} U/K$. Since any subspace of a paracompact and perfectly normal space is paracompact and perfectly normal ([9, Lemma 1 (2)]), by 4.12 there exist a stratum decreasing homotopy of the identity $\omega : U/Q \times I \rightarrow U/Q$ which commutes with the projection $U/Q \rightarrow U/K$, and such that the resulting map ω_1 has finite fixed-point set on each fiber of $U/Q \rightarrow U/K$. Composing ω with ψ_1/Q we get a homotopy

$$U/Q \times I \xrightarrow{\omega} U/Q \xrightarrow{\psi_1/Q} (G/P)_Q$$

which, by 4.11, can be lifted to a Q -equivariant homotopy $\bar{\omega} : U \times I \rightarrow G/P$ of $\psi_1|_U$. Note that the fixed point set of $\bar{\omega}$ projects to the fixed point set of $\varphi_1|_{U/K} : U/K \rightarrow (G/P)_K$, which consists of one point y , therefore $\text{Fix}(\bar{\omega})$ is compact. By 4.8 (c) $\text{Ind}(\psi_1|_U) = \text{Ind}(\bar{\omega}_1)$. Now the p -fold covering α projects $\text{Fix}(\bar{\omega}_1)$ into a finite set $\text{Fix}(\bar{\omega}_1/Q) = \text{Fix}(\omega_1) \cap \beta^{-1}(y)$. For all $z \in \text{Fix}(\bar{\omega}_1/Q)$ chose disjoint neighborhoods $V_z \ni z$ in U/Q which are evenly covered by α . Note that $\{\alpha^{-1}V_z\}_{z \in \text{Fix}(\bar{\omega}_1/Q)}$ is an open covering of $\text{Fix}(\bar{\omega}_1)$ by disjoint sets, by 4.8 (b) $\text{Ind}(\bar{\omega}_1) = \sum_{z \in \text{Fix}(\bar{\omega}_1/Q)} \text{Ind}(\bar{\omega}_1|_{\alpha^{-1}V_z})$. Each of the open sets $\alpha^{-1}V_z$ is a disjoint union of p open sets each of which is homeomorphic to V_z . Let V denote one of them, then $\alpha^{-1}V_z = \coprod_{q \in Q} qV$ and $\text{Ind}(\bar{\omega}_1|_{\alpha^{-1}V_z}) = \sum_{q \in Q} \text{Ind}(\bar{\omega}_1|_{qV})$. By 4.9 $\text{Ind}(\bar{\omega}_1|_{qV}) = \text{Ind}(\bar{\omega}_1|_V)$ for each $q \in Q$ (note that $\bar{\omega}_1$ is a Q -equivariant map and $\bar{\omega}_1|_{qV} = (q\bar{\omega}_1q^{-1})|_{qV}$). \square

Proof of 4.7. Note that the sheaf map $i^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_s$ induced by inclusions $\hat{\pi}_s^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \hat{\pi}^{-1}(U)$ (U open in X/G) is a quotient map, and 4.13 implies that $J(\varphi)|_{\text{Ker}(i^*)} = 0$.

Therefore $J(\varphi)$ can be factored as $\mathcal{H} \xrightarrow{i^*} \mathcal{H}_s \xrightarrow{J(\varphi)_s} X/G \times \mathbf{F}_p$. Consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^*(X_s/H, \mathbf{F}_p) & \rightarrow & H^*(\text{Fix}_s(\varphi_1), \mathbf{F}_p) & \cong & H^*(X/G, \mathcal{H}_s) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \searrow J_s \\ H^*(X/H, \mathbf{F}_p) & \rightarrow & H^*(\text{Fix}(\varphi_1), \mathbf{F}_p) & \cong & H^*(X/G, \mathcal{H}) \xrightarrow{J} H^*(X/G, \mathbf{F}_p) \end{array}$$

Here J and J_s are the maps induced by $J(\varphi)$ and $J(\varphi)_s$ respectively and the unlabeled maps are those induced by inclusions. The bottom row composite is Oliver's transfer $\text{trf} : H^*(X/P; \mathbf{F}_p) \rightarrow H^*(X/G; \mathbf{F}_p)$ which factors through the top row. \square

5. RELATION BETWEEN TWO DECOMPOSITIONS

In this section we establish a relation between the p -toral and p -stubborn decompositions. The following properties of p -toral subgroups will be used:

Lemma 5.1. ([7, 3.1, 3.2]) *Let G be a compact Lie group and Q be a sub- p -toral subgroup of G .*

(a) *For any p -toral subgroup $P \supsetneq Q$ in G , $N_P(Q)/Q$ is p -toral and nontrivial.*

(b) *If G acts smoothly on a compact manifold M , then $\chi(M^Q) \equiv \chi(M) \pmod{p}$.*

(c) *If $N_G(Q)/Q$ is finite and has no nontrivial normal p -subgroup, then Q is p -toral.*

Lemma 5.2. ([6, 1.6]) *The category $\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ is equivalent to a finite category.*

Lemma 5.3. *Let G be a compact Lie group which is not a finite group of order prime to p . Suppose that for any p -relevant subgroup Q of G such that $N_G(Q)/Q$ is infinite, $E\mathcal{O}_p(N_G(Q)/Q) \xrightarrow{p} *$. Then for any p -relevant subgroup Q the fixed point space $E\mathcal{R}_p(G)^Q$ is mod p acyclic.*

Proof. To simplify the notation denote $E\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ by X . Note that X has finitely many orbit types by 5.2. Let S denote the set of p -relevant subgroups Q such that X^Q is not mod p acyclic. We will prove that S is empty. Assuming the contrary, we order the elements of S by inclusion and use Zorn's lemma to prove that S has a maximal element:

Let $Q_1 \subset Q_2 \subset \dots \subset Q_i \subset \dots$ be a chain in S and Q be the closure of the union of Q_i 's. Note that a subgroup H of G is sub- p -toral if and only if $(G/P)^H \neq \emptyset$, where P is a maximal p -toral subgroup of G . For i sufficiently large, $(G/P)^{Q_i} = (G/P)^Q$ and $X^{Q_i} = X^Q$ [11, Proposition IV.3.4], therefore Q is in S .

Now let Q be a maximal element of S . We prove that X^Q is mod p acyclic and get a contradiction.

If $N_G(Q)/Q$ is finite and has no nontrivial normal p subgroups then Q is p -toral and therefore $X^Q \cong *$. Otherwise consider X^Q and $(G/P)^Q$ as a $W = N_G(Q)/Q$ spaces. The isotropy subgroups of the action of W on X^Q are of the form $(H \cap N_G(Q))/Q = N_P(Q)/Q$ for H a p -stubborn subgroup containing Q . By 5.1 (a) these subgroups of W are p -toral and nontrivial. Let \bar{H} be a nontrivial p -toral subgroup of W and $H \subset N_G(Q)$ its preimage. Note that H properly contains Q and is a sub- p -toral subgroup of G since $(G/P)^H$ is nonempty:

$$\chi((G/P)^H) = \chi(((G/P)^Q)^{\bar{H}}) \equiv \chi((G/P)^Q) \equiv \chi(G/P) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

By the maximality of Q the fixed point set $(X^Q)^{\bar{H}} = X^H$ is mod p acyclic. Therefore X^Q is mod p equivalent to $E\mathcal{O}_p(W)$ which is either mod p acyclic by the hypothesis if W is infinite or contractible if W has a nontrivial normal p -subgroup. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Under the hypothesis of 5.3, the map $E\mathcal{R}_p(G) \rightarrow E\mathcal{O}_p(G)$ induced by the inclusion of categories $\mathcal{R}_p(G) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_p(G)$ is a G -mod- p -equivalence, which implies that one of the collections is ample if and only if the other is.*

Proof. The map induces mod p homology isomorphism on the fixed point sets $E\mathcal{R}_p(G)^H \rightarrow E\mathcal{O}_p(G)^H$ for every isotropy subgroup H of $E\mathcal{R}_p(G)$ or $E\mathcal{O}_p(G)$. The result follows from 2.6. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Suppose that G is a compact Lie group that contains an element of order p . Under the hypothesis of 5.3, the collection of nontrivial p -stubborn subgroups of G is sharp.*

Proof. Let P be a maximal p -toral subgroup of G . Consider the P space $(ER_p(G))_s$. By definition, isotropy subgroups of $(ER_p(G))_s$ are p -relevant and for every isotropy subgroup Q of $(ER_p(G))_s$, including P , $(ER_p(G))_s^Q = ER_p(G)^Q$ is mod p acyclic by 5.3. Therefore the projection map $ER_p(G) \rightarrow *$ is an equivalence by 2.6. The result follows from 4.3 and 4.5. \square

6. PROOF OF THE HOMOLOGY DECOMPOSITION THEOREM

In this section we complete our proof of 1.3. First we observe the following elementary facts:

Lemma 6.1. *Suppose that G is a compact Lie group and $\pi_0(G)$ is a p -group. If N is a proper subgroup of G that contains G_0 , then N is a proper subgroup of its normalizer in G .*

Proof. The p -group N/G_0 acts on G/N by left translation. The fixed point set of this action contains a nontrivial coset gN . The element g is in the normalizer of N and not in N . \square

Lemma 6.2. *Let G be a compact Lie group and N be a normal subgroup of G that contains G_0 . If P is a maximal p -toral subgroup of G , then $P \cap N$ is a maximal p -toral subgroup of N .*

Proof. Clearly P_0 is the identity component of $P \cap N$ and $(P \cap N)/P_0$ is a subgroup of the p -group P/P_0 , hence $P \cap N$ is p -toral. Since N is normal in G the coset space $N/(N \cap P)$ is homeomorphic to NP/P . Therefore $\chi(N/(N \cap P)) = \chi(NP/P) \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ which implies the maximality of $N \cap P$ in N . \square

Lemma 6.3. *Suppose that G is a compact Lie group, $\pi_0(G)$ is a p -group, N is a subgroup of G that contains G_0 . If P is a maximal p -toral subgroup of G , then $P \cap N$ is a maximal p -toral subgroup of N .*

Proof. By 6.1 there exists a normal series $N = N_1 \triangleleft N_2 \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft N_m = G$. The proof is by downward induction on the subscript of N_i . The inductive step is provided by 6.2. \square

Now we are ready to prove the main result. Note that under the hypothesis of 5.3 the conclusion of 1.3 has been proven in the previous section (5.5 and 5.4). The following theorem implies this hypothesis.

Theorem 6.4. *For any infinite compact Lie group G , the G -space $E\mathcal{O}_p(G)$ is mod p acyclic.*

Proof. The proof is by induction on the dimension of G .

When $\dim G = 0$ the statement of the theorem is vacuously true.

Let n be a positive integer. Suppose the theorem holds for any infinite G whose dimension is less than n . First we prove that $E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} *$ for compact Lie group G for which $\dim G = n$ and $\pi_0(G)$ is a p -group and then proceed to an arbitrary compact Lie group of dimension n .

Suppose $\pi_0(G)$ is a finite p -group. We consider two cases:

Case 1. For any p -relevant subgroup Q of G , $\dim N_G(Q)/Q < \dim G$. Then by the inductive assumption the hypothesis of lemma 5.3 is satisfied and hence $EG \times_G E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} BG$. Now an application of 3.1 shows that $E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} *$

Case 2. There is a p -relevant subgroup Q of G with $\dim N_G(Q)/Q = \dim G$. Then obviously Q is a finite group and its normalizer $N = N_G(Q)$ contains the identity component of G . Let K denote the normal subgroup of G which is the intersection of all maximal p -toral subgroups of G . We will show that K contains an element of order p .

Let P be a maximal p -toral subgroup of G that contains Q . The subgroup Q is contained in $P \cap N$, which is a maximal p -toral subgroup of N by 6.3. Since Q is normal in N , it is contained in the intersection of maximal p -toral subgroups of N , which is, again by 6.3, $K \cap N$. Therefore K contains Q and hence an element of order p .

If G is itself a p -toral group then $E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \simeq *$.

If G is not p -toral then for any p -toral subgroup H of G $\dim H < n$ and, since KH is contained in some maximal p -toral subgroup of G , $\dim KH < n$. If K is infinite then by the inductive assumption $E\mathcal{O}_p(KH) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} *$ and $E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \xrightarrow[p]{\sim} *$ by 3.5.

If K is finite, then the group of its inner automorphisms $A = G/C_G(K)$ is also finite and is a p -group. The action of A on K fixes a subgroup K^A of K and $|K^A| \equiv |K| \equiv 0$. The Sylow p -subgroup of K^A is a nontrivial normal p -subgroup of G and $E\mathcal{O}_p(G) \simeq *$ by 3.3.

This finishes the proof of the case when $\pi_0(G)$ is a p -group.

The case of an arbitrary compact Lie group of dimension n now follows by an application of 3.5. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan, *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*, Lecture Notes in Math. 304, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972.
- [2] A. Dold, *Fixed point index and fixed point theorem for Euclidean neighborhood retracts*, Topology 4, (1965), 1-8.
- [3] W. G. Dwyer, *Homology decompositions for classifying spaces of finite groups*, Topology 36 (1997), 783-804.
- [4] J. Hollender and R. M. Vogt, *Modules of topological spaces, applications to homotopy limits and E_∞ structures*, Arch. Math., Vol. 59 (1992), 115-129.
- [5] S. Illman, *Equivariant singular homology and cohomology*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 156, 1975.
- [6] S. Jackowski, J. E. McClure and R. Oliver, *Homotopy classification of self-maps of BG via G -actions*, Annals of Math. 135 (1992), 183-270.
- [7] S. Jackowski and R. Oliver, *Vector bundles over classifying spaces of compact Lie groups*, Acta Math., 176 (1996), 109-143.
- [8] L. G. Lewis, J. P. May, M. Steinberger, *Equivariant Stable Homotopy Theory*, Lecture Notes in Math. 1213, Springer Verlag (1986).
- [9] R. Oliver, *A transfer homomorphism for compact Lie group actions*, Mathematische Annalen 260 (1982), 351-374.
- [10] J. Slomińska, *Homology decompositions of Borel constructions*, preprint (Toruń) 1996.
- [11] T. tom Dieck, *Transformation groups*. de Gruyter Stud. Math., 8. de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1987.
- [12] S. J. Wilson, *Equivariant homology theories on G -complexes*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 212 (1975), 155-171.

MATHEMATICS DEPARTMENT, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, IN 46556
E-mail address: alexei.strounine.1@nd.edu