

Lesson 14 Cauchy sequences (the Cauchy criterion) (3.5)

End of 3.4: Lim Sup and Lim inf

(x_n) bounded seq. $\text{Lim Sup } x_n = \text{Lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\text{Sup} \{x_n : n \geq N\}}_{\substack{\text{decreasing seq. } (\geq) \\ \text{bounded below by } -M, \text{ Converges.}}}$
 $-M \leq x_n \leq M$

$\text{Lim inf } x_n = \text{Lim}_{N \rightarrow \infty} \underbrace{\text{inf} \{x_n : n \geq N\}}_{\substack{\text{increasing seq } (\leq) \\ \text{bounded above by } M. \\ \text{Converges.}}}$

Fact: A bounded seq x_n converges if and only if

$$\text{Lim inf } x_n = \text{Lim Sup } x_n$$

$\uparrow$ both = $\text{Lim } x_n$ in this case.

Hadamard's formula: The radius of convergence R of a power series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x-x_0)^n$ is determined by

$$\frac{1}{R} = \text{Lim Sup } \sqrt[n]{|a_n|}.$$

Defⁿ (x_n) is a Cauchy seq means: given $\varepsilon > 0$, there is an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$|x_n - x_m| < \varepsilon \quad \text{when } n \text{ and } m \text{ are both}$$

larger than or equal to N ($n, m \geq N$).

Feeling: if terms of seq get closer and closer, they must get close to something (the limit). 2

Easy fact If (x_n) converges, then it is a Cauchy seq.

why: $x_n \rightarrow L$. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an N such that $|x_n - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ when $n \geq N$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Aha! } |x_n - x_m| &= |x_n - L + L - x_m| \\ &\leq \underbrace{|x_n - L|}_{< \frac{\varepsilon}{2}} + \underbrace{|x_m - L|}_{\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \text{ when } n, m \geq N} \end{aligned}$$

Easy fact Cauchy seq are bounded.

Why: Take $\varepsilon = 1$. Get N such that

$$|x_n - x_m| < 1 \text{ when } n, m \geq N.$$

$$|x_N - x_m| < 1 \text{ when } m \geq N.$$

$$|x_m| = |x_m - x_N + x_N| \leq \underbrace{|x_m - x_N|}_{< 1 \text{ when } m \geq N} + |x_N| < |x_N| + 1$$

Aha! $M = \max(|x_1|, |x_2|, \dots, |x_N|, |x_N| + 1)$ is a bound.

More interesting: Cauchy criterion: Cauchy sequences converge.

Why Suppose (x_n) is a Cauchy seq. Then (x_n) is bounded.

Bolzano-Weierstraß $\Rightarrow (x_n)$ has a conv subseq (x_{n_k}) . Say $x_{n_k} \rightarrow L$.

Claim: $x_n \rightarrow L$ too.

Why: Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There is an N such that

$$|x_n - x_m| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{when } n, m \geq N.$$

$$\left[\begin{aligned} \text{Hmmm. } |x_n - L| &= |x_n - x_{n_k} + x_{n_k} - L| \\ &\leq \underbrace{|x_n - x_{n_k}|}_{\substack{\text{Need this} \\ < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} + \underbrace{|x_{n_k} - L|}_{\substack{\text{Need} \\ < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}}} \end{aligned} \right]$$

Since $x_{n_k} \rightarrow L$, there is a N_2 such that

$$|x_{n_k} - L| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{when } k \geq N_2.$$

Can always choose an n_k far out so that

$k \geq N_2$ and $n_k \geq N$. The idea above

works!



Fascinating fact: Cauchy criterion $\Leftrightarrow$ least upper bound principle.

Dedekind: Dedekind cuts of $\mathbb{Q} \approx \mathbb{R}$. "Model" that make axioms of $\mathbb{R}$ (including l.u.b. princ) hold.

Another model: Cauchy seq $(x_n), (y_n)$. Equivalence $\sim$

Say $(x_n) \sim (y_n)$ means $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |x_n - y_n| = 0$.

Equivalence class $[(x_n)] = \{(y_n) \text{ Cauchy} : (y_n) \sim (x_n)\}$.

$\mathbb{R} \approx \{[(x_n)] : (x_n) \text{ Cauchy seq}\}$.

EX $x_1 = 1, x_2 = 2$. Starting with $n=3$:

$$x_n = \frac{x_{n-1} + x_{n-2}}{2} = \text{ave of previous 2.}$$

Claim: (x_n) is Cauchy.

Step 1: $0 < x_n \leq 2$ for all n . (Why?)

Induction. $x_1, x_2 \leq 2$. Assume we know

$x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$ are all in this range.

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + x_{n-1}}{2} \quad \leftarrow \text{midpoint in range. (or use } \Delta \text{ ineq.)}$$

Step 2 $|x_n - x_{n+1}| = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$. (Book: show this)

True for $n=1$. Suppose we know $|x_n - x_{n+1}| = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$.

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}| &= \left| \underbrace{\frac{1}{2}(x_n + x_{n+1})}_{= x_{n+1}} - \frac{1}{2}(x_{n+1} + x_n) \right| \quad \text{hate this!} \\
 &= \left| \frac{1}{2}(x_{n+1} - x_n) \right| \\
 &= \frac{1}{2} |x_n - x_{n+1}| = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2^{(n+1)-1}} \checkmark
 \end{aligned}$$

Ind. hyp. $\Rightarrow$ true for $n+1$. True for all n .

Step 3 : Show (x_n) is Cauchy.

Say $m > n$.

$$|x_n - x_m| = \left| x_n - x_{n+1} + x_{n+1} - x_{n+2} + x_{n+2} - \dots + x_{m-1} - x_m \right|$$

$$\leq \underbrace{|x_n - x_{n+1}|}_{\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}} + \underbrace{|x_{n+1} - x_{n+2}|}_{\frac{1}{2^n}} + \dots + \underbrace{|x_{m-1} - x_m|}_{\frac{1}{2^{(m-1)-1}}}$$

$$\frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left[1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{m-n-1}} \right]$$

Geom series

$$1+r+r^2+\dots+r^N = \frac{1-r^{N+1}}{1-r}$$

$$\text{So } |x_n - x_m| < \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \left(\frac{1 - (\frac{1}{2})^{m-n}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \right)$$

$$2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} (1 - (\frac{1}{2})^{m-n}) < 2 \cdot \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = \frac{1}{2^{n-2}}$$

Can make $< \text{any } \varepsilon > 0$. Cauchy!

What does it converge to? Hmmm.

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n + x_{n-1}}{2}$$

↓

$$L = \frac{L+L}{2}$$

Darn! $L=L$.

Book: $L = \frac{5}{3}$.